

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

DELL, INC.,  
ZTE (USA) INC.,  
and  
ZTE CORPORATION,  
*Petitioners*

v.

3G LICENSING S.A.,  
*Patent Owner*

---

Case IPR2020-01157  
Patent No. 7,274,933

---

**PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE**

Mail Stop PATENT BOARD  
Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
*Submitted Electronically via PTAB E2E*

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION.....	1
II. APPLICABLE LEGAL PRINCIPLES .....	3
A. Legal Standards .....	3
B. Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art .....	7
C. Claim Construction.....	8
1. Home Network.....	8
2. Home Network Display Name.....	8
III. PETITIONERS FAIL TO DEMONSTRATE THAT THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE.....	10
A. Grounds 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 Fail Because the Asserted Prior Art Does Not Disclose Displaying a User’s Cellular Provider’s Name When Outside a User’s Cellular Provider’s Service Area.....	10
1. McElwain Fails to Disclose Displaying a Home Network Name .....	11
2. Uchida Fails to Disclose Displaying a Home Network Name When on a Network Apart from that of the User’s Service Provider .....	14
3. Hicks Discloses Display of “Home” or “Roam” .....	15
B. Grounds 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 Fail Because the Asserted Prior Art Does Not Disclose the Use of Multiple MCC/MNC Pairs as Home Network Identifiers on a Home Network Display List to a POSITA.....	15
1. The Asserted 3GPP Standards and Hicks Demonstrate That the HPLMN List File Did Not Exist in GSM Prior to the ’933 Patent.....	16
2. McElwain and Uchida do not Disclose Methods a POSITA Would Find Applicable to the ’933 Patent’s GSM System .....	19
C. Secondary Considerations Support a Finding of Non-obviousness.....	22
1. Long Felt but Unsolved Need .....	22
2. Acceptance of Industry .....	23
IV. CONCLUSION .....	25

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
<b>Cases</b>	
<i>Apple Inc. v. Contentguard Holdings, Inc.</i> , IPR2015-00442, Paper 9 (PTAB July 13, 2015).....	5, 6, 7
<i>Ariosa Diagnostics v. Verinata Health, Inc.</i> , 805 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2015) .....	3
<i>Cisco Sys., Inc. v. C-Cation Techs., LLC</i> , IPR2014-00454, Paper 12 (PTAB, Aug. 29, 2014) .....	4
<i>ClassCo, Inc. v. Apple, Inc.</i> , 838 F.3d 1214 (Fed. Cir. 2016) .....	5
<i>Edmund Optics, Inc. v. Semrock, Inc.</i> , IPR2014-00583, Paper 50 (PTAB, Sep. 9, 2015) .....	4
<i>Fox Factory, Inc. v. SRAM, LLC</i> , 944 F.3d 1366 (Fed. Cir. 2019) .....	5
<i>Graham v. John Deere Co.</i> , 383 U.S. 1 (1966) .....	4
<i>Harmonic Inc. v. Avid Tech., Inc.</i> , 815 F.3d 1356 (Fed. Cir. 2016) .....	3
<i>In re Depomed, Inc.</i> , 680 Fed. Appx 947 (Fed. Cir. 2017) .....	5
<i>In re Magnum Oil Tools Int’l.</i> , 829 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2016) .....	3, 5, 6
<i>In re NTP, Inc.</i> , 654 F.3d 1279 (Fed. Cir. 2011) .....	7
<i>In re Omeprazole Patent Litig. v. Apotex Corp.</i> , 536 F.3d 1361 (Fed. Cir. 2008) .....	7
<i>In re Stepan Co.</i> , 868 F.3d 1342 (Fed. Cir. 2017) .....	6
<i>InTouch Techs., Inc. v. VGo Communs., Inc.</i> , 751 F.3d 1327 (Fed. Cir. 2014) .....	7
<i>KSR Int’l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007) .....	7
<i>KSR Int’l Co. v. Teleflex, Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007) .....	5
<i>Lectrosonics, Inc. v. Zacsom, Inc.</i> , IPR 2018-00129, Paper No. 33 (P.T.A.B. Jan 24, 2020) .....	5

<i>Ortho-McNeil Pharm. v. Mylan Labs</i> , 520 F.3d 1358 (Fed. Cir. 2008) .....	7
<i>P&amp;G v. Teva Pharms. USA, Inc.</i> , 566 F.3d 989 (Fed. Cir. 2009) .....	7
<i>Pro-Mold &amp; Tool Co. v. Great Lakes Plastics, Inc.</i> , 75 F.3d 1568 (Fed. Cir. 1996) .....	5, 24
<i>SAS Inst., Inc. v. Iancu</i> , 138 S. Ct. 1348 (2018).....	3
<i>Unigene Labs., Inc. v. Apotex, Inc.</i> , 655 F.3d 1352 (Fed. Cir. 2011) .....	6
<i>Wasica Finance GmbH v. Continental Auto. Sys.</i> , 853 F.3d 1272 (Fed. Cir. 2017) .....	4
<b>Statutes</b>	
35 U.S.C. § 103 .....	4
35 U.S.C. § 312(a)(3).....	3
<b>Regulations</b>	
37 C.F.R. § 42.100 .....	8
37 C.F.R. § 42.6(a)(3) .....	4
37 C.F.R. § 42.65 .....	4

## TABLE OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit	Description
2001	Declaration of Stu Lipoff in Support of Patent Owner
2002	Affidavit of Stephanie Berger
2003	Affidavit of Neil Benchell
2004	Affidavit of Andrew DeMarco
2005	Second Declaration of Stu Lipoff in Support of Patent Owner
2006	3rd Generation Partnership Project; Technical Specification Group Core Network; NAS Functions related to Mobile Station (MS) in idle mode (Release 7) (3GPP TS 23.122 V7.0.0) (“TS 23.122 V7.0.0”)
2007	3rd Generation Partnership Project; Universal Mobile Telecommunications (UMTS); Characteristics of the USIM application (Release 6) (3GPP TS 31.102 V6.8.0) (“TS-31.102 V6.8.0”)
2008	<i>“The North American Official Cellular User’s Guide” Available to Help Cellular Telephone Users</i> , Business Wire, December 18, 1990
2009	Amy Zuckerman, <i>Those Black Holes in Your Mobile Phone Service</i> , New York Times, December 24, 2000
2010	Nancy Gohring, <i>Falling Short of Replacement: Wireless Carrier Plans Compete with Landline but Don’t Cut it Out of the Equation</i> , Telephony, April 27, 2008
2011	Judy Strausbaugh, <i>Oh, Give me a Cell Phone Where the Signals Won’t Roam</i> , Sunday News (Lancaster, PA), May 19, 2002

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.