UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
11/463,215	08/08/2006	David F. MACNEIL	31700.000107	6568
64770 7590 11/27/2007 MOMKUS MCCLUSKEY MONROE MARSH & SPYRATOS, LLC 3051 OAK GROVE ROAD SUITE 220 DOWNERS GROVE, IL 60515-1181			· EXAMINER	
			MORROW, JASON S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	·		3612	
				·
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Symmetry	11/463,215	MACNEIL, DAVID F.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jason S. Morrow	3612				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· ·					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-27</u> is/are pending in the application	4)⊠ Claim(s) 21-27 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-24</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>25 and 26</u> is/are rejected.	·					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>27</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	B) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 August 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	· ·				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attaches and a						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of References Cited (P10-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Uher:						



11/463,215 Art Unit: 3612

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is not on a separate sheet. Please resubmit the abstract on a separate sheet by itself. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 25 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wheaton (US Patent Number 3,288,187).

Re claim 25, Wheaton discloses a removable vehicle floor tray which may be removably installed into a vehicle foot well, comprising a floor (10) substantially occupying a horizontal plane, and a plurality of sidewalls (12) including a first longitudinally oriented upstanding sidewall extending from the floor to a top margin of the first sidewall and a second substantially transversely oriented upstanding sidewall extending from the floor to a top margin of the second sidewall, the sidewalls joined at an angle to each other, the top margin of the first sidewall being continuous with a top margin of the second sidewall, all of said top margins being



Application/Control Number:

11/463,215

Art Unit: 3612

Page 3

substantially located in a an inclined plane which tilts forwardly and upwardly relative to said

horizontal plane, the inclined plane being entirely vertically spaced from the floor.

Re claim 26, the sidewalls of the tray further include a third upstanding sidewall (as seen

in figure 2) which extends from the floor to a top margin and a fourth upstanding sidewall (as

seen in figure 1) which extends from the floor to a top margin, the top margins of the third and

fourth sidewalls being continuous with the top margins of the first and second sidewalls and

being substantially coplanar therewith.

Wheaton does not disclose, the floor being at least five inches below a nearest one of the

top margins at the deepest part of the floor.

The examiner takes Official Notice that the variation in the sizes of floor mats is old and

well known in the art.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to modify a floor tray, such as that disclosed by Wheaton, to have the floor

be at least five inches below a nearest one of the top margins at the deepest part of the floor,

since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art,

discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. See *In re* 

Aller, 105 US PQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955).

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 21-24 are allowed.

DOCKET A L A R M 11/463,215 Art Unit: 3612

5. Claim 27 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### **Conclusion**

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason S. Morrow whose telephone number is (571) 272-6663. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00a.m.-4:30p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Dayoan can be reached on (571) 272-6659. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

