### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

\_\_\_\_\_

### BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

YITA LLC, Petitioner

v.

MACNEIL IP LLC, Patent Owner

\_\_\_\_\_

Case IPR2020-01139 U.S. Patent No. 8,382,186

### PETITIONER YITA LLC'S MOTION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.14 AND 42.54 TO SEAL EXHIBIT 1048 AND ENTER PROTECTIVE ORDER

Mail Stop "Patent Board"
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450



### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

| I.   | INTRODUCTION   | 1 |
|------|--|---|
| II.  | GOVERNING RULES AND PTAB GUIDANCE  | 1 |
| III. | IDENTIFICATION OF CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION AND CERTIFICATION THAT THE CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION SOUGHT TO BE PROTECTED HAS NOT BEEN MADE PUBLICLY AVAILABLE | 2 |
| IV.  | GOOD CAUSE EXISTS FOR SEALING THE CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION.  | 2 |
| V.   | RELIEF REOUESTED   | 3 |



### I. INTRODUCTION

Petitioner Yita LLC ("Petitioner"), requests that the confidential version of Exhibit 1048 ("the Granger deposition transcript") be sealed under 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.14 and 42.54. Good cause to seal the Granger deposition transcript exists because Patent Owner has represented that certain information in the Granger deposition transcript is sensitive, non-public information that a business would not make public. Petitioner therefore submits this Motion to Seal the confidential version of the Grainger deposition transcript (EX1048) under the Board's Default Protective Order already entered in this case<sup>1</sup>. (*See* Paper 48).

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.54(a), Petitioner's counsel conferred in good faith with Patent Owner's counsel in an attempt to resolve any dispute about this Motion. Patent Owner does not oppose this motion.

### II. GOVERNING RULES AND PTAB GUIDANCE

In determining whether to grant a Motion to Seal, the Board must find "good cause," 37 C.F.R. § 42.54(a), and "strike a balance between the public's interest in maintaining a complete and understandable file history and the parties' interest in protecting truly sensitive information," Consolidated Trial Practice Guide, November 2019 ("TPG"), 19. The Board identifies confidential information in a



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Petitioner currently takes no position on whether the information Patent Owner has indicated is confidential is, in fact, confidential.

manner "consistent with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c)(1)(G), which provides for protective orders for ... confidential research, development, or commercial information." TPG, 19.

Based on the procedure provided in the TPG, Petitioner seeks to prevent the disclosure of sensitive information that Patent Owner has represented is contained in the Granger deposition transcript.

# III. IDENTIFICATION OF CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION AND CERTIFICATION THAT THE CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION SOUGHT TO BE PROTECTED HAS NOT BEEN MADE PUBLICLY AVAILABLE

Patent Owner has represented to Petitioner that certain information in the Granger deposition transcript is confidential and thus has not been published or otherwise made public.

To the best of Petitioner's knowledge, the information sought to be sealed has not been published or otherwise made public.

### IV. GOOD CAUSE EXISTS FOR SEALING THE CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION.

Patent Owner has represented that public disclosure of the Granger deposition transcript would significantly harm Patent Owner.

The public interest also will not be harmed by granting this Motion to Seal the documents as "PROTECTIVE ORDER MATERIAL," because a redacted



Case IPR2020-01139

U.S. Patent No. 8,382,186

version of the Granger deposition transcript (incorporating redactions provided by

counsel for Patent Owner) is filed publicly herewith.

In short, according to Patent Owner's representations about the information,

granting this Motion to Seal would achieve "a balance between the public's

interest in maintaining a complete and understandable file history and the parties'

interest in protecting truly sensitive information." 77 Fed. Reg. at 48,760.

Therefore, good cause exists for granting this motion to seal.

V. RELIEF REQUESTED

For the reasons stated above, Petitioner requests that the Board seal and

protect Exhibit 1048, the Granger deposition transcript under the Board's Default

Protective Order already entered in this proceeding. (See Paper 48). Petitioner

further requests that the Board seal and protect the confidential information in this

document until such time as it receives and rules on this Motion.

Respectfully submitted,

STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX P.L.L.C.

/Jason A. Fitzsimmons/

Jason A. Fitzsimmons (Reg. No. 65,367)

Attorney for Petitioner

Date: August 24, 2021

1100 New York Avenue, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20008

(202) 371-2600



## DOCKET

## Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

### **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

### **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

### **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

