

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ADVANCED MICRO DEVICES, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

AQUILA INNOVATIONS, INC.,
Patent Owner.

IPR2019-01525
Patent 6,239,614 B1

Before SALLY C. MEDLEY, DENISE M. POTHIER, and
AMBER L. HAGY, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

HAGY, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER

Granting Patent Owner's Motion for *Pro Hac Vice*
Admission of Joshua L. Young
37 C.F.R. § 42.10

On October 19, 2020, Patent Owner filed a Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission of Joshua L. Young. Paper 24 (“Motion”). The Motion is supported by a Declaration of Mr. Young. Paper 25.¹ Petitioner has not opposed the Motion.

Upon review of the record before us, we determine that the requirements of 37 C.F.R. § 42.10 have been met and there is good cause to admit Mr. Young *pro hac vice*.

It is, therefore,

ORDERED that Patent Owner’s Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission of Joshua L. Young is granted; Mr. Young is authorized to act only as back-up counsel;

FURTHER ORDERED that Patent Owner is to continue to have a registered practitioner represent it as lead counsel;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Young is to comply with the Consolidated Trial Practice Guide² (84 Fed. Reg. 64,280 (Nov. 21, 2019)), and the Board’s Rules of Practice for Trials as set forth in Part 42 of Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations; and

¹ Patent Owner filed the Declaration as a Paper. We deem this to be harmless error. Patent Owner is reminded, however, that affidavits and declarations must be filed as exhibits. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.63(a) (“Evidence consists of affidavits, transcripts of depositions, documents, and things. All evidence must be filed in the form of an exhibit.”).

² Available at <https://www.uspto.gov/TrialPracticeGuideConsolidated>.

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Young is to be subject to the Office's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a), and the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq.*³

³ Mr. Young declares he “will be subject to the USPTO Code of Professional Responsibility set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq.*,” rather than the *USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct* set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq.* Paper 25, 2. We deem this to be harmless error.

IPR2019-01525
Patent 6,239,614 B1

PETITIONER:

Michael Specht
Mspecht-ptab@sternekessler.com

Christopher O'Brien
Cobrien-ptab@sternekessler.com

Wenchong Shu
Wshu-ptab@sternekessler.com

Micahel Ray
Mray-ptab@sternekessler.com

Jonathan Tuminaro
Jtuminar-ptab@sternekessler.com

PATENT OWNER:

Jing Cherng
gcherng@fawlaw.com