From: Malik, Jitty

To: Baumgarten, Elise; Faegenburg, Russell W.; Fisher, Stanley; Mahaffy, Shaun; Pacchioli, Alissa M.; West,

Christopher W.; Radeke, Heike Simone; Pacchioli, Alissa M.; Van Buskirk, Tedd W.; Teschner, Michael H.; Wong,

Jovial; Fundakowski, Claire (CFundakowski@winston.com); Fischer, Sarah; Zullow, Keith A

Cc: Merck-Sitagliptin

Subject: RE: IPR2020-00040, IPR2020-01045, IPR2020-01060, IPR2020-01072

Date: Thursday, July 2, 2020 6:09:26 PM

Counsel,

To be clear, Merck and joinder Petitioners are free to agree to whatever conditions they see fit amongst themselves. However, no agreement between Merck and joinder Petitioners will bind Mylan. As an illustrative example, below it states: "Merck understands that each party reserves the right to present arguments related to party-specific discovery issues. In other words, Teva will present argument if Merck seeks discovery from Teva; no other party will present argument on an issue specific to another party." As lead Petitioner in an instituted IPR, Mylan always reserves the right to interject and speak on any issue.

Thanks and I hope everybody stays safe over the holiday weekend,

Jitty

Jitendra ("Jitty") Malik Ph.D.

Partner

Katten

Katten Muchin Rosenman LLP 550 S. Tryon Street, Suite 2900 | Charlotte, NC 28202-4213 direct +1.704.344.3185 jitty.malik@katten.com | katten.com

From: Baumgarten, Elise < EBaumgarten@wc.com>

Sent: Thursday, July 2, 2020 1:45 PM

To: Faegenburg, Russell W. <rfaegenburg@lernerdavid.com>; Fisher, Stanley <SFisher@wc.com>; Malik, Jitty <jitty.malik@katten.com>; Mahaffy, Shaun <SMahaffy@wc.com>; Pacchioli, Alissa M. <alissa.pacchioli@katten.com>; West, Christopher W. <christopher.west@katten.com>; Radeke, Heike Simone <heike.radeke@katten.com>; Pacchioli, Alissa M. <alissa.pacchioli@katten.com>; Van Buskirk, Tedd W. <tvanbuskirk@lernerdavid.com>; Teschner, Michael H.

<mteschner@lernerdavid.com>; Wong, Jovial <JWong@winston.com>; Fundakowski, Claire
(CFundakowski@winston.com) <CFundakowski@winston.com>; Fischer, Sarah
<SFischer@goodwinlaw.com>; Zullow, Keith A <KZullow@goodwinlaw.com>

Cc: Merck-Sitagliptin < MerckSitagliptin@wc.com>

Subject: RE: IPR2020-00040, IPR2020-01045, IPR2020-01060, IPR2020-01072

EXTERNAL EMAIL – EXERCISE CAUTION

Russ,

I write in response to your email dated July 1 on behalf of Teva, Watson, DRL, and Sun. Merck would like to clarify a few points raised in your email.



- 2. You state that Joinder Petitioners will "withdraw their respective experts once Dr. Chorghade has submitted all necessary declarations."
 - Merck understands that each Joinder Petitioner will withdraw any expert declaration from their expert immediately after Dr. Chorghade is deposed. Once Dr. Chorghade is deposed on his currently submitted declaration, Ex. 1002, Merck expects that the Joinder Petitioners will immediately withdraw all currently filed expert declarations in this case.
 - Please confirm that Joinder Petitioners withdrawal of their experts will not be discretionary. In other words, once Dr. Chorghade is deposed, Joinder Petitioners agree to immediately withdraw their own experts.

5.c. Joinder Petitioners state that each Joinder Petitioner reserves the right to address any party-specific issues with its own evidence.

- Merck understands that each party reserves the right to present arguments related to party-specific discovery issues. In other words, Teva will present argument if Merck seeks discovery from Teva; no other party will present argument on an issue specific to another party.
- Merck also understands that each Joinder Petitioner reserves the right to address substantively any party-specific discovery. For example, if Merck obtains discovery from Teva, Teva reserves the right to request to address that discovery in a substantive written submission. Merck reserves the right to request additional briefing to address any such arguments.
- Merck understands that these are the only party-specific issues that the Joinder Petitioners may raise.

As to other conditions that Merck sought from Joinder Petitioners to ensure that they served the true role of a silent understudy (see, e.g., 5.d.i., 5.d.iii, 5.d.vii, 5.d.viii), Merck understands that, unless otherwise indicated in its email, Joinder Petitioners agree with the conditions outlined in its June 26 letter.

Joinder Petitioners appear to have taken the position that Merck is not entitled to party discovery. Merck believes that any formal request for party discovery (and Joinder Petitioners' substantive position in response) is premature. Currently, the issue is whether joinder is appropriate. As such, Merck seeks to ensure that it will have sufficient opportunity and time to seek any party discovery to which it believes it is entitled if joinder occurs. It is Joinder Petitioners' burden to show that joinder is appropriate, and they must account for the schedule in so doing.

In the interest of transparency, Merck previewed some of the discovery it intends to seek should joinder be granted. That Merck referenced certain patent office filings is not surprising, as those are the documents to which Merck has access at this time. Merck will have specific, particularized requests related to that work (which include multiple declarations submitted by Teva's declarant here Len Chyall), and can further confer with Teva on the inconsistencies and pertinence of the discovery in due course. If needed, Merck can file an application for discovery. However, at this stage, an application is premature because Teva is not a party to any instituted IPR. Similarly, Merck will have requests for DRL and Sun, but saw (and still sees) it as premature to raise them before institution. For example, as to DRL and Sun, their own patents and related publications, on



salts and polymorphs of sitagliptin (e.g., U.S. Patent No. 8,309,724 and WO2013001457A1), characterizes the relevant disclosures of the '871 patent, which is the subject of several Grounds, in a manner that is inconsistent with the positions taken in the Petitions. Merck believes it is entitled to discovery relevant to these inconsistencies as a matter of right, and alternatively, if not of right, to request discovery related to these issues if the Board orders joinder. If joinder is ordered, the parties can confer on the appropriateness of specific discovery requests related to these inconsistencies and, if no agreement is reached, Merck will seek authorization from the Board for such discovery.

Please let us know immediately if Merck's statements above do not align with Joinder Petitioners' understanding.

Thanks.

Elise

Elise M. Baumgarten Williams & Connolly LLP

725 Twelfth Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20005 (P) 202-434-5894 | (F) 202-434-5029 ebaumgarten@wc.com | www.wc.com/ebaumgarten

From: Faegenburg, Russell W. < rfaegenburg@lernerdavid.com>

Sent: Wednesday, July 1, 2020 12:23 PM

To: Fisher, Stanley <<u>SFisher@wc.com</u>>; Malik, Jitty <<u>jitty.malik@katten.com</u>>; Mahaffy, Shaun <<u>SMahaffy@wc.com</u>>; Pacchioli, Alissa M. <<u>alissa.pacchioli@katten.com</u>>; West, Christopher W. <<u>christopher.west@katten.com</u>>; Radeke, Heike Simone <<u>heike.radeke@katten.com</u>>; Pacchioli, Alissa M. <<u>alissa.pacchioli@katten.com</u>>; Van Buskirk, Tedd W. <<u>tvanbuskirk@lernerdavid.com</u>>; Teschner, Michael H. <<u>mteschner@lernerdavid.com</u>>; Wong, Jovial <<u>JWong@winston.com</u>>; Fundakowski, Claire (<u>CFundakowski@winston.com</u>) <<u>CFundakowski@winston.com</u>>; Fischer, Sarah <<u>SFischer@goodwinlaw.com</u>>; Zullow, Keith A <<u>KZullow@goodwinlaw.com</u>>

Cc: Merck-Sitagliptin < <u>MerckSitagliptin@wc.com</u>>

Subject: RE: IPR2020-00040, IPR2020-01045, IPR2020-01060, IPR2020-01072

Stan,

This is in response to your June 26, 2020 letter concerning the joinder motions filed by Teva, DRL and Sun ("Joinder Petitioners"). Our firm represents DRL, but I write on behalf of all three Joinder Petitioners.

Joinder Petitioners agree to a "silent understudy" role as previously stated, and with respect to items 2, 5 and 6 of your letter, Joinder Petitioners agree as follows:



- 2. Joinder Petitioners will withdraw their respective experts once Dr. Chorghade has submitted all necessary declarations (including any reply declaration) and has been deposed with respect to all of them. In that instance, Joinder Petitioners intend to rely solely on Dr. Chorghade's opinions and testimony. (Joinder Petitioners have the same comments in response to item 5.b.)
- 5.a. Joinder Petitioners will not raise any new grounds not already instituted by the Board in the Mylan IPR.
- 5.c. Joinder Petitioners will not present any additional arguments or IPR briefs. To the extent there is a party-specific issue, each Joinder Petitioner reserves the right to address that issue with its own evidence.
- 5.d. Mylan will be Lead Petitioner, file all substantive written submissions, conduct all argument at hearings and examine and defend witness depositions. Joinder petitioners will not file additional pages to Mylan's papers. The deposition timeframes for one party will apply. Joinder petitioners will be bound by discovery agreements between Mylan and Merck. Joinder petitioners will not serve objections to discovery requests served on Mylan and will not serve discovery requests in the Mylan IPR.

All of these conditions regarding item 5.d. apply other than with respect to party discovery on Joinder Defendants or any issue involving a Joinder Petitioner that is specific to that Petitioner. For example, although Joinder Petitioners generally agree not to file substantive papers in the Mylan IPR, each Joinder Petitioner reserves the right to file papers relating to any party-specific issue that applies to that Petitioner, and will seek Board authorization to file any such paper or to take any action on its own. Likewise, if Merck were to seek party discovery from one of the Joinder Petitioners, that Petitioner would reserve the right to respond appropriately, including by resisting such discovery and by issuing its own request for discovery.

6. Joinder Petitioners confirm that if Mylan is no longer a party, they will meet and confer to select a new Lead Petitioner to step into Mylan's shoes and Joinder Petitioners will be bound by the same conditions already in place.



With respect to items 1, 3 and 4 of your letter, Joinder Petitioners disagree that Merck has identified any basis to seek party discovery, and the discovery matters you discuss are, therefore, premature and not pertinent to the joinder inquiry.

You have only purported to identify a basis for discovery from Teva, not from either DRL or Sun. Even as to Teva, you have not identified specifically what you are seeking beyond documents and testimony readily available from public patent office proceedings, or even how any of the information from such proceedings is inconsistent with Teva's invalidity arguments in the present IPR. Teva is willing to further meet and confer with Merck to understand the specific discovery Merck will be seeking from Teva.

Given that Merck has not yet established any right to party discovery, and its ability to obtain party discovery is speculative, Merck has not established a basis to alter the schedule in the Mylan IPR based on proposed joinder. As stated above, and in Joinder Petitioners' motion papers, Joinder Petitioners are "me too" Petitioners and have agreed to the same "understudy" conditions on which the Board has previously relied in permitting joinder. If Merck wishes to pursue discovery, either now or at some time in the future, it is free to make a motion for discovery and, if it chooses, to make an application to extend the IPR schedule. Joinder Petitioners, if joined, will comply with any change that the Board orders with respect to the schedule in the Mylan IPR.

Sincerely yours,

Russ

From: Fisher, Stanley [mailto:SFisher@wc.com]

Sent: Wednesday, July 1, 2020 8:54 AM

To: Malik, Jitty; Mahaffy, Shaun; Pacchioli, Alissa M.; West, Christopher W.; Radeke, Heike Simone; Pacchioli, Alissa M.; Van Buskirk, Tedd W.; Teschner, Michael H.; Faegenburg, Russell W.; Wong, Jovial;

Fundakowski, Claire (CFundakowski@winston.com); Fischer, Sarah; Zullow, Keith A

Cc: Merck-Sitagliptin

Subject: RE: IPR2020-00040, IPR2020-01045, IPR2020-01060, IPR2020-01072

[EXTERNAL E-MAIL]

Counsel,

Merck reserves all rights to oppose joinder, and if joinder is ordered, to seek party discovery in advance of the deposition of Dr. Chorghade, along with a reasonable adjustment to the schedule to accommodate party discovery. Do any of the other Petitioners (Teva, Sun, or DRL) intend to respond



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

