IPR2020-01060 U.S. Patent No. 7,326,708 Petition for *Inter Partes* Review Attorney Docket No. REDDY 7.1R-024

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

DR. REDDY'S LABORATORIES, INC. AND DR. REDDY'S LABORATORIES, LTD. Petitioner,

v.

MERCK SHARP & DOHME CORP.
Patent Owner.

U.S. Patent No. 7,326,708 to Cypes *et al*.

Issue Date: February 5, 2008

Title: Phosphoric acid salt of a dipeptidyl peptidase-IV inhibitor

Inter Partes Review No. IPR2020-01060

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,326,708 UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 AND 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.1-.80, 42.100-.123



TABLE OF CONTENTS

TAB	LE OI	FAUT	HORITIES	V		
PETI	ITION	ER'S	EXHIBIT LIST	. xii		
I.	INTRODUCTION					
II.	OVE	OVERVIEW				
III.	STANDING (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a)); PROCEDURAL STATEMENTS					
IV.	MANDATORY NOTICES (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(a)(1))					
	A.	Each	Real Party In Interest (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1))	6		
	B.	Notic	e Of Related Matters (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2))	6		
		1.	Judicial Matters Involving The '708 Patent	6		
		2.	Administrative Matters	7		
	C.	•	gnation Of Lead And Backup Counsel Service (37 C.F.R. §§ 42.8(b)(3), 42.8(b)(4))	7		
V.	STATEMENT OF THE PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED AND THE REASONS THEREFOR (37 C.F.R. § 42.22(a))					
VI.	THE	. '708 I	PATENT	8		
VII.	CLA	IM CO	ONSTRUCTION	10		
VIII.	PER	SON C	OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART ("POSA")	11		
IX.	IDE	NTIFIC	CATION OF CHALLENGE (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b))	12		
X.	INV.	ALIDI	TY ANALYSIS	13		
	A.		nd 1: Claims 1-3, 17, 19, And 21-23 Are ipated by WO '498	13		
		1.	Disclosure Of WO '498	14		



IPR2020-01060 (U.S. Patent No. 7,326,708) Petition for *Inter Partes* Review

	2.	Cla	im 1	17
	3.	3. Claim 2		25
	4.	Cla	im 3	25
	5.	Claim 17		
		a.	A pharmaceutical composition comprising	26
		b.	a therapeutically effective amount of the salt according to claim 2	26
		c.	in association with one or more pharmaceutically acceptable carriers.	
	6.	Claim 19		27
		a.	A method for the treatment of type 2 diabetes comprising	27
		b.	administering to a patient in need of such treatment a therapeutically effective amount of the salt according to claim 2 or a hydrate thereof.	27
	7.	Cla	ims 21-22	28
	8.	8. Claim 23		30
B.	Ground 2: Claims 1-3, 17, 19 And 21-23 Are Anticipated By The '871 Patent			31
	1.	Claims 1 And 2		32
	2.	Claim 3		33
	3.	Claims 17 And 19		34
	4.	Clair	ms 21-23	36
C.	Ground 3: Claims 3, 17, 19, And 21-23 Would Have Been Obvior In View Of WO '498			
	1.	The	Level Of Ordinary Skill In The Pertinent Art	39

IPR2020-01060 (U.S. Patent No. 7,326,708) Petition for *Inter Partes* Review

	2.	The S	cope And Content Of The Prior Art	39
		a.	WO '498 (EX1004)	39
		b.	Claim 3	39
		c.	Claim 17 i. A pharmaceutical composition comprising	
			ii. a therapeutically effective amount of the salt according to claim 2	42
			iii.In association with one or more pharmaceutically acceptable carriers	42
		d.	i. A method for the treatment of type 2 diabetes comprising	
			ii. administering to a patient in need of such treatment a therapeutically effective amount of the salt according to claim 2 or a hydrate thereof	43
		e.	Claims 21-23	43
D.	Ground 4: Claims 1-3, 17, 19, And 21-23 Would Have Been Ol In View Of WO '498 And Bastin			
	1.	The	Level of Ordinary Skill In The Pertinent Art	46
		a.	The Scope And Content Of The Prior Art	46
			i. WO '498 (EX1004)	46
			ii. Bastin (EX1006)	46
	2.	The l	Differences Between The Claims And Prior Art	47
		a.	Claim 1	47
			i. There Is No Requirement to Select a Lead Compound in Salt Selection Cases	47

IPR2020-01060 (U.S. Patent No. 7,326,708) Petition for *Inter Partes* Review

			b.	WO '498 And Bastin Would Have Rendered The Phosphoric Acid Salt Obvious	50
			c.	Claims 2 And 3	56
			d.	Claims 17 And 19	56
			e.	Claims 21-23	57
	Е.			Claim 4 Would Have Been Obvious in View Of WO '4 l Brittain	
		1.	The	Level Of Ordinary Skill In The Pertinent Art	59
		2.	The	Scope And Content Of The Prior Art	59
			a.	WO '498 (EX1004) and Bastin (EX1006)	59
			b.	Brittain (EX1005)	59
		3.	The	Differences Between The Claim And Prior Art	60
	F.			Claim 4 Would Have Been View Of WO '498 And Brittain	62
		1.	The	Level of Ordinary Skill in the Pertinent Art	62
		2.	The	Scope And Content Of The Prior Art	62
			a.	WO '498 (EX1004) And Brittain (EX1005)	62
		3.	The	Differences Between The Claim And Prior Art	62
	G.	Seco	ndary	Considerations Of Nonobviousness	63
XI.				SHOULD INSTITUTE TRIAL BASED FITION (35 U.S.C. § 325(d) OR § 314(a))	66
XII.	CONCLUSION69				

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

