

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

SAMSUNG DISPLAY CO., LTD., DELL, INC., and APPLE INC.,  
Petitioner,

v.

SOLAS OLED LTD.,  
Patent Owner.

---

IPR2020-00140<sup>1</sup>  
Patent 6,072,450

---

APPLE INC.,  
Petitioner,

v.

SOLAS OLED LTD.,  
Patent Owner.

---

IPR2020-01059  
Patent 6,072,450

---

Before SALLY C. MEDLEY, JESSICA C. KAISER, and JULIA HEANEY,  
*Administrative Patent Judges.*

HEANEY, *Administrative Patent Judge.*

---

<sup>1</sup> Apple Inc., which filed a petition in IPR2020-01059, has been joined as a petitioner in IPR2020-00140.

IPR2020-00140  
IPR2020-01059  
Patent 6,072,450

ORDER  
Settlement as to Dell, Inc. and Apple Inc.  
Termination Due to Settlement After Institution of Trial  
35 U.S.C. § 317; 37 C.F.R. § 42.74

I. INTRODUCTION

Samsung Display Co., Ltd. (“Samsung”) and Dell, Inc. (“Dell”) filed a Petition seeking institution of an *inter partes* review of claims 1–9, 11–13, and 15–18 of U.S. Patent No. 6,072,450 (Ex. 1001, “the ’450 patent”). Paper 1.<sup>2</sup> After reviewing the Petition (Paper 1) and Patent Owner’s preliminary response (Paper 7), we instituted an *inter partes* review. Paper 9.

After institution, Apple Inc. (“Apple”), filed a petition and a joinder motion in IPR2020-01059, requesting that Apple be joined as a petitioner in IPR2020-00140. *Apple Inc. v. Solas OLED, Ltd.*, IPR2020-01059, Paper 1 (petition), Paper 3 (joinder motion). After considering the parties’ papers, we instituted trial in IPR2020-01059, granted Apple’s joinder motion, and added Apple as a petitioner to IPR2020-00140. *Apple Inc. v. Solas OLED, Ltd.*, IPR2020-01059, Paper 8 (Institution Decision). In addition, we entered a copy of that decision in IPR2020-00140. Paper 27.

On March 3, 2021, pursuant to our authorization, Petitioner Dell, Petitioner Apple, and Patent Owner Solas OLED, Ltd. (“Solas”) filed a Joint Motion to Terminate as to Petitioner Dell and Petitioner Apple. Paper 44 (“Motion” or “Mot.”). Dell, Apple, and Solas also filed a copy of settlement

---

<sup>2</sup> Unless otherwise indicated, all Paper numbers referenced herein refer to IPR2020-00140.

IPR2020-00140  
IPR2020-01059  
Patent 6,072,450

agreements (Ex. 2009, Dell; Ex. 2010, Apple), along with an authorized joint request to treat the settlement agreement as business confidential information and to keep it separate under 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c) (Paper 45).

In the Motion, Dell, Apple and Solas state that they have settled their dispute with respect to IPR2020-00140, that Apple and Solas have settled their dispute with respect to IPR2020-01059, and that the related district court litigations styled *Solas OLED Ltd. v. Dell Technologies Inc.*, 6:19-cv-00514-ADA (W.D. Tex.) and *Solas OLED Ltd. v. Apple Inc.*, 6:19-cv-00537-ADA (W.D. Tex.) have been dismissed. Mot. 3.

They also submit that each of Confidential Exhibit 2009 and Confidential Exhibit 2010 is a true copy of the respective settlement agreement and there are no collateral agreements made in connection with, or in contemplation of, the termination of the *inter partes* review. *Id.* at 1.

Under 35 U.S.C. § 317(a), “[a]n *inter partes* review instituted under this chapter shall be terminated with respect to any petitioner upon the joint request of the petitioner and the patent owner, unless the Office has decided the merits of the proceeding before the request for termination is filed.” Any agreement or understanding “made in connection with, or in contemplation of, the termination of an *inter partes* review” must be in writing, and a true copy of any such documents must be filed in the Office before termination. *Id.* § 317(b); accord 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(b).

Because the moving parties (Dell, Apple, and Solas) represent that they have complied with the applicable requirements, we terminate the *inter partes* review with respect to Petitioner Dell and Petitioner Apple. *See*

IPR2020-00140  
IPR2020-01059  
Patent 6,072,450

35 U.S.C. § 317(a); 37 C.F.R. § 42.74. We also grant the parties' request to treat the settlement agreements (Exhibit 2009, Exhibit 2010) as business confidential information. *See* 35 U.S.C. § 317(b); 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c).

Samsung, an original Petitioner in IPR2020-00140, is not a party to the settlement agreements and did not join the Motion. Accordingly, IPR2020-00140 remains pending as to Petitioner Samsung Display Co., Ltd.

#### ORDER

Accordingly, it is:

ORDERED that the Joint Motion to Terminate with respect to Dell and Apple is granted in IPR2020-00140;

FURTHER ORDERED that the case caption for future submissions in IPR2020-00140 shall not list Dell and Apple as petitioners;

FURTHER ORDERED that the settlement agreements (Exhibit 2009, Exhibit 2010) be treated as business confidential information and be kept separate from the files of the involved U.S. Patent No. 6,072,450;

FURTHER ORDERED this paper does not constitute a final written decision pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 318(a); and

FURTHER ORDERED that IPR2020-01059 be terminated under 37 C.F.R. § 42.72.

IPR2020-00140  
IPR2020-01059  
Patent 6,072,450

PETITIONER:

David A. Garr  
Grant Johnson  
Peter P. Chen  
COVINGTON & BURLING LLP  
dgarr@cov.com  
gjohnson@cov.com  
pchen@cov.com

Adam P. Seitz  
Paul R. Hart  
ERISE IP, P.A.  
adam.seitz@eriseip.com  
paul.hart@eriseip.com

PATENT OWNER:

Neil A. Rubin  
Reza Mirzaie  
RUSS AUGUST & KABAT  
nrubin@raklaw.com  
rmirzaie@raklaw.com

Philip Wang  
Neil A. Rubin  
Reza Mirzaie  
Kent N. Shum  
RUSS AUGUST & KABAT  
pwang@raklaw.com  
nrubin@raklaw.com  
rmirzaie@raklaw.com  
kshum@raklaw.com