

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
WACO DIVISION

SOLAS OLED LTD., an Irish corporation,

Plaintiff,

v.

LG DISPLAY CO., LTD., a Korean corporation; LG ELECTRONICS, INC., a Korean corporation; and SONY CORPORATION, a Japanese corporation,

Defendants.

**CASE NO. 6:19-CV-00236-ADA**

**JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

**DEFENDANTS' UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR ISSUANCE OF  
LETTER ROGATORY TO TOMOYUKI SHIRASAKI**

Pursuant to the Court's inherent authority to issue Letters Rogatory, Defendants LG Display Co., Ltd., LG Electronics, Inc., and Sony Corporation (collectively "Defendants"), by and through their undersigned attorneys, hereby request that the Court issue a Letter Rogatory for International Judicial Assistance to obtain documents and testimony from Tomoyuki Shirasaki. Plaintiff Solas OLED Ltd. ("Solas") does not oppose this motion.

Defendants' use of Letters Rogatory is an appropriate method of obtaining discovery from Mr. Shirasaki because Japan is not a signatory to the Hague Convention on the Taking of Evidence Abroad in Civil and Criminal Matters. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(2)(2)(B); All Writs Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 1651, 1781 (permitting "the transmittal of a letter rogatory or request directly from a tribunal in the United States to the foreign or international tribunal, officer, or agency to whom it is addressed and its return in the same manner."); *see also* U.S. Dep't of State, Depositions in Japan, <https://jp.usembassy.gov/u-s-citizen-services/attorneys/depositions-in-japan/> (last visited on Jun. 17, 2020).

Judicial assistance between the United States and Japan is governed by Article 5 of the Vienna Convention on Consular Relations. *See* Vienna Convention on Consular Relations (1963),

LG Display Co., Ltd.

available at [http://legal.un.org/ilc/texts/instruments/english/conventions/9\\_2\\_1963.pdf](http://legal.un.org/ilc/texts/instruments/english/conventions/9_2_1963.pdf) (last visited Jun. 17, 2020). Article 5(j) of the Convention acknowledges that the use of Letters Rogatory is an appropriate method of requesting evidence located in a foreign State. *See* Vienna Convention on Consular Relations (1963). As numerous courts have confirmed, the use of Letters Rogatory has been the traditional method of requesting foreign judicial assistance in obtaining evidence located abroad. *See, e.g., Bakeer v. Nippon Cargo Airlines, Co.*, 2011 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 90102, \*61-62 (E.D.N.Y. 2011) (noting that “[s]ince Japan is not a signatory to the Hague Convention on the Taking of Evidence Abroad in Civil or Commercial Matters . . . , [t]he only method for obtaining the testimony of an unwilling Japanese witness is through ‘a letter rogatory executed by a Japanese court’”); *United States v. Walus*, 616 F.2d 283, 304 (7th Cir. 1980) (holding district court should have granted request by defendant for use of letters rogatory to obtain evidence located abroad that was relevant to defendant’s case).

The proposed letter submitted herewith solicits documents and deposition testimony from Mr. Shirasaki.

The requested order for the issuance of a Letter Rogatory is necessary because Article 17 of the Japan-United States Consular Convention only authorizes American consular officers to take depositions in Japan, “on behalf of the courts or other judicial tribunals or authorities of the sending state (United States), voluntarily given, in accordance with the laws of the receiving state (Japan).” This provision regarding the authority of consular officers to take depositions has been interpreted by the Japanese Government very strictly. *See* U.S. Dep’t of State, Depositions in Japan. Japanese law and practice, and the mutually-agreed upon interpretation of the U.S.-Japan Consular Convention concerning obtaining evidence in Japan, permits the taking of deposition of a willing witness on U.S. consular premises for use in U.S. courts only: (1) when pursuant to a commission (Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 28(b)(2)) to take a deposition issued by a court to any Consul or Vice-Consul of the United States at Tokyo/Osaka; or (2) on notice, provided an order issued by a court in the United States specifically authorizes a U.S. consular officer to take the deposition on notice. *See id.*

For these reasons, Defendants respectfully request the Court grant its unopposed motion,

endorse the attached Letter Rogatory, and direct the Clerk to place the Court's seal upon them and return them to Defendants for delivery to the foreign jurisdiction.

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Jennifer H. Doan

Jennifer H. Doan  
Texas Bar No. 08809050  
Email: jdoan@haltomdoan.com  
Joshua R. Thane  
Email: jthane@haltomdoan.com  
Texas Bar No. 24060713  
J. Randy Roeser  
Email: rroeser@haltomdoan.com  
Texas Bar No. 24089377  
Cole A. Riddell  
Email: criddell@haltomdoan.com  
Texas Bar No. 24105423  
**HALTOM & DOAN**  
6500 Summerhill Road, Suite 100  
Texarkana, Texas 75503  
Tel: 903.255.1000  
Fax: 903.255.0800

Douglas E. Lumish  
California State Bar No. 183863  
Email: doug.lumish@lw.com  
Gabriel S. Gross  
California State Bar No. 254672  
Email: gabe.gross@lw.com  
**LATHAM & WATKINS LLP**  
140 Scott Drive  
Menlo Park, California 94025  
Tel: 650.328.4600  
Fax: 650.463.2600

Joseph H. Lee  
California State Bar No. 248046  
Email: joseph.lee@lw.com  
**LATHAM & WATKINS LLP**  
650 Town Center Drive, 20th Floor  
Costa Mesa, California 92626  
Tel: 714.540.1235  
Fax: 714.755.8290

Blake R. Davis  
California State Bar No. 294360  
Email: blake.davis@lw.com  
**LATHAM & WATKINS LLP**  
505 Montgomery Street, Suite 2000  
San Francisco, California 94111  
Tel: 415.391.0600  
Fax: 415.395.8095

**Attorneys for Defendants**  
**LG DISPLAY CO., LTD.;**  
**LG ELECTRONICS, INC.; and**  
**SONY CORPORATION**

Gregory S. Gewirtz (*pro hac vice*)  
Email: ggewirtz@lernerdavid.com  
Jonathan A. David (*pro hac vice*)  
Email: jdavid@lernerdavid.com  
**LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG,**  
**KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK, LLP**  
20 Commerce Drive  
Cranford, New Jersey 07016  
Tel: 908.654.5000  
Fax: 908.654.7866  
Email: litigation@lernerdavid.com

**Attorneys for Defendant**  
**SONY CORPORATION**

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned certifies that on the 8th day of September, 2020, I electronically filed this document with the Clerk of Court via the Court's CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to all counsel of record, all of whom have consented to electronic service in this action.

/s/ Jennifer H. Doan  
Jennifer H. Doan

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
WACO DIVISION**

SOLAS OLED LTD., an Irish corporation,

Plaintiff,

v.

LG DISPLAY CO., LTD., a Korean  
corporation; LG ELECTRONICS, INC., a  
Korean corporation; and SONY  
CORPORATION, a Japanese corporation,

Defendants.

**CASE NO. 6:19-CV-00236-ADA**

**JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

**ORDER**

Before the Court is Defendants LG Display Co., Ltd., LG Electronics, Inc., and Sony Corporation's Unopposed Motion for Issuance of Letter Rogatory to Tomoyuki Shirasaki ("Motion"). Being well-advised that it is unopposed, and having fully considered the Motion, the Court is of the opinion that the Motion should be GRANTED. Attached hereto are signed and stamped copies of the issued Letter Rogatory to Tomoyuki Shirasaki.

IT IS SO ORDERED this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2020

\_\_\_\_\_  
ALAN D. ALBRIGHT  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.