

Douglas E. Lumish
Direct Dial: 650.463.2633
doug.lumish@lw.com

140 Scott Drive
Menlo Park, California 94025
Tel: +1.650.328.4600 Fax: +1.650.463.2600
www.lw.com

LATHAM & WATKINS LLP

October 8, 2020

VIA EMAIL

Philip X. Wang
Russ August & Kabat
12424 Wilshire Boulevard
Twelfth Floor
Los Angeles, California 90025
pwang@raklaw.com

FIRM / AFFILIATE OFFICES
Beijing Moscow
Boston Munich
Brussels New York
Century City Orange County
Chicago Paris
Dubai Riyadh
Düsseldorf San Diego
Frankfurt San Francisco
Hamburg Seoul
Hong Kong Shanghai
Houston Silicon Valley
London Singapore
Los Angeles Tokyo
Madrid Washington, D.C.
Milan

Re: *Solas OLED Ltd. v. LG Display Co., Ltd., LG Electronics, Inc., & Sony Corporation, Case No. 6:19-cv-00236-ADA*

Dear Philip:

Defendants LG Display Co., Ltd., LG Electronics, Inc., & Sony Corporation hereby stipulate that, if the Patent Trial and Appeal Board (“PTAB”) institutes the pending *inter partes* review petition in IPR2020-01055 challenging the patentability of claims 1, 9, 10, 11, 15, 36, 37, and 39 of U.S. Patent Number 7,907,137, then Defendants will not pursue the instituted invalidity grounds in the above-captioned litigation, 6:19-cv-00236.

- For convenient reference, the proposed invalidity grounds in IPR2020-01055 are: Claims 1, 10, 11, 36 and 37 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Miyazawa (U.S. Appl. Publ. No. 2005/0116902), alone or with Childs (Int’l Pat. Publ. No. WO 2005/069267).
- Claims 9, 15 and 39 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Miyazawa, alone or with Childs, and Kasai (U.S. Appl. Pub. No. 2005/0156837).

To avoid any doubt, if the PTAB declines institution of IPR2020-01055, Defendants reserve the right to pursue these invalidity grounds in the parallel litigation.

Best Regards,

/s/ Douglas E. Lumish

Douglas E. Lumish
of LATHAM & WATKINS LLP

LG Display Co., Ltd.
Exhibit 1021