

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

**CIXI CITY LIYUAN AUTO PARTS CO. LTD., TYGER AUTO, INC., AND  
HONG KONG CAR START INDUSTRIAL CO. LTD**

Petitioners,

v.

**LAURMARK ENTERPRISES, INC.**

Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2020-01030  
U.S. Patent No. 8,061,758

**PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE**

**Table of Contents**

**Table of Contents** ..... i

**Table of Authorities** ..... iv

**List of Exhibits** .....v

**I. INTRODUCTION** .....1

**II. OVERVIEW OF THE ’758 PATENT** .....2

**III. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION** .....3

**A. “the first lateral frame member including a first interlocking element interlocked with a back lateral edge of the first spacer bar and with first panel pivotally attached to the first spacer bar”** .....3

**1. The claim itself demonstrates that the first interlocking element: (i) is part of the lateral frame member; (ii) is interlocked with the first spacer bar; and (iii) provides a pivotal attachment between the first panel and first spacer bar** .....4

**2. The specification supports Patent Owner’s construction**.....6

**3. The prosecution history supports Patent Owner’s construction** .....10

**4. Petitioners’ attempt to equate this limitation to the specification’s optional “backing bars” is erroneous**.....12

**IV. PETITIONER HAS FAILED TO DEMONSTRATE A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE** .....15

**A. Ground 1: Stone in View of Thoman Fails to Render the Challenged Claims Unpatentable** .....15

**1. Stone Does Not Disclose or Render Obvious Claim 2’s “the first lateral frame member including a first interlocking element interlocked with a back lateral edge of the first spacer bar and with first panel pivotally attached to the first spacer bar”** .....15

**2. Thoman Does Not Disclose or Render Obvious Claim 2’s “the first lateral frame member including a first interlocking**

element interlocked with a back lateral edge of the first spacer bar and with first panel pivotally attached to the first spacer bar” .....18

3. The Combination of Stone and Thoman Does Not Render Obvious Claim 2’s “a first interlocking element interlocked with a back lateral edge of the first spacer bar and with first panel pivotally attached to the first spacer bar” .....19

B. Ground 2: Stone in View of Thoman and Kooiker 296 Fails to Render the Challenged Claims Unpatentable .....20

C. Ground 3: Stone in View of Thoman, Erlandsson, and Kooiker 296 Fails to Render the Challenged Claims Unpatentable.....21

1. Petitioners provide inadequate motivation to combine Erlandsson .....22

2. Petitioners fail to identify a “lateral frame member” which “*includ[es]*” “a first interlocking element” in their proposed combination .....25

3. Petitioners’ proposed combination does not disclose or render obvious an interlocking element providing pivotal attachment .....27

D. Ground 4: Steffens in View of Keller and Erlandsson Fails to Render the Challenged Claims Unpatentable .....29

1. Steffens Does Not Disclose or Render Obvious Claim 2’s “the first lateral frame member including a first interlocking element interlocked with a back lateral edge of the first spacer bar and with first panel pivotally attached to the first spacer bar” .....29

2. Keller Does Not Disclose or Render Obvious Claim 2’s “the first lateral frame member including a first interlocking element interlocked with a back lateral edge of the first spacer bar and with first panel pivotally attached to the first spacer bar” .....30

3. Erlandsson Does Not Disclose or Render Obvious Claim 2’s “the first lateral frame member including a first interlocking element interlocked with a back lateral edge of the first spacer bar and with first panel pivotally attached to the first spacer bar” .....31

*a. Petitioners provide inadequate motivation to combine Erlandsson with Steffens in the manner claimed .....32*

*b. Petitioners' proposed combination does not disclose or render obvious an interlocking element providing pivotal attachment. .34*

**V. CONCLUSION .....36**  
**Certificate of Service 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.6(e).....37**  
**Certificate of Compliance Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.24.....38**

**Table of Authorities**

**Cases**

*In re ICON Health and Fitness, Inc.*,  
496 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2007) .....24

*Kinetic Concepts, Inc. v. Smith & Nephew, Inc.*,  
688 F.3d 1342 (Fed. Cir. 2012) .....27

**Rules**

37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(4).....27

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.