## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

\_\_\_\_\_

## BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

\_\_\_\_\_

WALMART INC., Petitioner,

v.

CARAVAN CANOPY INTERNATIONAL, INC., Patent Owner.

\_\_\_\_\_

Case No. IPR2020-01026
Patent No. 5,944,040
Issue Date: AUGUST 31, 1999
Title: COLLAPSIBLE TENT FRAME

\_\_\_\_\_

PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.107



# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

					<u>Page</u>		
I.	INT	RODU	JCTIO	N	1		
II.	BAC	CKGR	OUND	)	2		
III.	THE BOARD SHOULD EXERCISE ITS STATUTORY DISCRETION AND DENY INSTITUTION						
	A.	The	Co-Pe	ending Related Litigations	4		
	B.	The	Apple	Factors Favor Denial	6		
		1.	Exis	stence of a Stay (Apple Factor 1)	7		
		2.	Tria	l Timing (Apple Factor 2)	7		
		3.	Inve	estment in the Parallel Proceedings (Apple Factor 3)	7		
		4.	Petit	tion Timing (Apple Factor 3 Continued)	9		
		5.	Ove	rlap in Issues (Apple Factor 4)	10		
		6.	Whe	ether the Parties are the Same (Apple Factor 5)	13		
		7.	Othe	er Circumstances (Apple Factor 6)	14		
			a)	Strength of the Merits	14		
			b)	§ 325(d) Implications	14		
			c)	Quality, Efficiency, and Fairness Concerns Underlying the AIA	15		
IV.	LEV	EL O	F ORD	DINARY SKILL IN THE ART	15		
V.	CLA	IM C	ONST	RUCTION	16		
VI.	WALMART HAS NO REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD OF SUCCESS16						
	A. Walmart's Motivations To Combine The References Lack Ar Rational Underpinning						
	1.		Walmart's Proposed Combinations Would Not Increase Headroom				
		2.	Wal: Con	mart's Reasoning For Increasing Canopy Pitch Is tradicted By Contemporaneous Art	28		
		3.	Wal: Wou	mart's Proposed Modifications To Tsai and Yang uld Actually Increase Canopy Sag	34		



	B.	Individual Deficiencies In The Grounds Of Unpatentability40					
		1.	Groun A PO	nds 1-3 Generally: Walmart Fails To Explain Why SITA Would Ignore A Primary Teaching of Yang	40		
		2.	Grou	nd 1: Yang in view of Lynch	41		
			a)	Walmart's Proposed Modification Would Increase the Collapsed Volume of Yang	41		
			b)	Walmart's Expert Proposes a Different Modification Than Walmart	43		
		3.	Grou	nd 2: Yang in view of the alleged AAPA	45		
		4.	Grou	nd 3: Yang in view of Berg	49		
		5.	Group Propo	nds 4-7 Generally: Losi Teaches Away From Walmart' osed Modifications To Its Parent Patent Tsai	's 50		
		6.	Groun	nd 4: Tsai in view of Lynch	53		
		7.	Groun	nd 5: Tsai in view of Alleged AAPA	54		
		8.	Groun	nds 6 and 7: Tsai in view of Berg (and Carter)	55		
			a)	Walmart and Its Expert Propose Two Different Modifications of Tsai in View of Berg	55		
			b)	A POSITA Would Not Have Been Motivated to Make Either Modification to Tsai	58		
VII.	THE	CITEI SIDER	REF RED B	ERENCES ARE DUPLICATIVE OF THOSE Y THE EXAMINER	61		
	A.	The Of Ot	Cited Reher Re	References Were Either Considered By Or Are Cumulate References Considered By The Examiner	tive 62		
	B.	Walmart Omits The Disclosure Of Losi To Allege Material Error By The Examiner					
	C.	Waln Suppl	nart's I lement	Expert's Declaration Fails To Meaningfully The Record	72		
VIII.	THE DECI	MERE LARA	E EXIS	STENCE OF WALMART'S EXPERT'S DOES NOT DEMAND INSTITUTION	73		
IX.	THE EXC	BOAR ESSIV	D SH E ANI	OULD DENY INSTITUTION DUE TO WALMART' D INSUFFICIENTLY PLED GROUNDS	S 74		
X.	CONO	CLUS	ION		75		



## **EXHIBIT LIST**

Exhibit No.	Description
2001	Caravan Canopy Int'l, Inc. v. Home Depot U.S.A., et al., No. SACV 19-01072, Order Consolidating Cases, dated December 13, 2019
2002	Caravan Canopy Int'l, Inc. v. Costco Wholesale Corporation, et al., No. SACV 19-01072, Scheduling Order, dated January 27, 2020
2003	Caravan Canopy Int'l, Inc. v. Walmart Inc., et al., No. 19-06978 Consolidated with 19-01072, Walmart's Memorandum in Support of its Motion to Stay Litigation Pending Inter Partes Review, dated June 18, 2020
2004	Caravan Canopy Int'l, Inc. v. Lowe's Home Centers, LLC et al., No. 19-06952 Consolidated with 19-01072, Request for Clarification re Stay of Litigation, dated August 26, 2020
2005	Caravan Canopy Int'l, Inc. v. Home Depot U.S.A., Inc., et al., No. SACV 19-01072, Order Denying Defendants' Request for Clarification, dated August 28, 2020
2006	Claim Chart for Walmart's Ozark Trial Canopy, dated December 9, 2019
2007	Caravan Canopy Int'l, Inc. v. Walmart Inc., et al., No. 19-06978 Consolidated with 19-01072, Defendant Walmart Inc.'s Preliminary Invalidity Contentions, dated March 16, 2020
2008	Walmart's Initial Invalidity Contentions Claim Chart – Ex. D
2009	Walmart's Initial Invalidity Contentions Claim Chart – Ex. A
2010	Caravan Canopy Int'l, Inc. v. Costco Wholesale Corporation, et al., No. 19-01072, Costco Wholesale Corporation's Invalidity Contentions and Accompanying Document Production, dated November 4, 2019
2011	Caravan Canopy Int'l, Inc. v. Z-Shade Co. LTD., et al., No. 19-06224, Z-Shade Co., LTD.'s Invalidity Contentions and Accompanying Document Production, dated November 4, 2019



Exhibit No.	Description
2012	Caravan Canopy Int'l, Inc. v. Shelterlogic Corp., et al., No. 19-01224 Consolidated with 19-01072, Invalidity Contentions of Shelterlogic Corp., dated January 21, 2020
2013	Caravan Canopy Int'l, Inc. v. Lowe's Home Centers, LLC et al., No. 19-06952, Lowe's Invalidity Contentions and Accompanying Document Production, dated November 4, 2019
2014	Declaration of Lance Rake
2015	U.S. Patent No. 5,701,923 to Losi, Jr. et al.
2016	U.S. Patent No. 5,275,188 to Tsai
2017	U.S. Patent No. 5,421,356 to Lynch
2018	U.S. Patent No. 5,634,483 to Gwin
2019	U.S. Patent No. 5,794,640 to Jang
2020	U.S. Patent No. 4,641,676 to Lynch
2021	U.S. Patent No. 4,607,656 to Carter



# DOCKET A L A R M

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

