

Hearing

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

-----  
BAYERISCHE MOTOREN WERKE AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT &

BMW OF NORTH AMERICA, LLC,

Petitioner

vs

PAICE LLC & THE ABELL FOUNDATION, INC.

Patent Owner.

-----  
CASE IPR2020-00994

Patent 7,104,347

-----  
TELEPHONIC HEARING

\*\* REVISED \*\*

24 REPORTED BY: Ronda J. Thomas, RPR, CRR

25 Job No. 184779

Hearing

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

Wednesday, September 30, 2020

4:00 p.m.

A telephonic hearing was held in the above-titled matter before the Patent Trial and Appeal Board.

Hearing

APPEARANCES:

PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD:

The Honorable Arthur Peslak

The Honorable Kal Deshpande

The Honorable Sally Medley

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

VINCENT GALLUZZO, ESQUIRE

JEFFREY SANOK, ESQUIRE

ON BEHALF OF PATENT OWNER:

BRIAN LIVENDALEN, ESQUIRE

GRANT RICE, ESQUIRE

1                   Hearing

2                   P R O C E E D I N G S

3                   JUDGE PESLAK: This is the Patent  
4 Trial and Appeal Board. This is  
5 IPR2020-00914. I'm Judge Peslak. On the  
6 phone with me are Judge Medley and Judge  
7 Deshpande.

8                   Who's on the call for petitioner?

9                   MR. GALLUZZO: Your Honor, this is  
10 Vince Galluzzo, backup counsel for  
11 Petitioners. And also on the line with me is  
12 lead counsel Jeff Sanok.

13                  JUDGE PESLAK: Good afternoon,  
14 counsel.

15                  Who's on the line for Patent Owner?

16                  MR. LIVEDALEN: Good afternoon, this  
17 is Brian Livedalen from Fish & Richardson and  
18 along with me is Tim Rice also from Fish &  
19 Richardson.

20                  JUDGE PESLAK: This was called because  
21 Petitioner is requesting to file a five-page  
22 reply to address 314(a) arguments raised by  
23 the Patent Owner in the preliminary response.  
24 We understand that at Patent Owner opposes  
25 the request.

## Hearing

1  
2           Petitioner, without getting into the  
3 merits of the 314(a) arguments, can you tell  
4 us why you need to file a reply?

5           MR. GALLUZZO: Yes, Your Honor. Thank  
6 you for the opportunity for the call today.

7           Petitioners do request the Board's  
8 permission to submit a short reply to the  
9 preliminary response on the narrow issues of  
10 discretionary denial under 314(a).

11           I think just one correction, Your  
12 Honor. Our request did not specify a number  
13 of pages. Petitioner had stated that five  
14 pages would be appropriate if the Board  
15 requested. To be clear, we're asking for  
16 seven pages if the Board would grant it. I  
17 just wanted to make that clear up front.

18           But a reply is appropriate here for  
19 several reasons, but the first is that the  
20 preliminary response argues discretionary  
21 denial because of the complex history of  
22 eight previous IPR petitions on the '347  
23 patent. These were all filed sometime  
24 between 2014 and 2016. Five of them were  
25 instituted, three of them never reached an

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.