

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

**BAYERISCHE MOTOREN WERKE AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT &  
BMW OF NORTH AMERICA, LLC,**  
Petitioners

v.

**PAICE LLC & THE ABELL FOUNDATION, INC.**  
Patent Owners

---

*Inter Partes* Review No.: To Be Assigned

U.S. Patent No. 7,104,347 K2

---

DECLARATION OF DR. GREGORY W. DAVIS

**BMW 1008**

## Table of Contents

I.	QUALIFICATIONS AND PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE .....	11
II.	RELEVANT LEGAL STANDARDS .....	18
III.	QUALIFICATIONS OF ONE OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART .....	20
IV.	STATE OF THE ART .....	21
	A. “Series” Hybrid Vehicle.....	25
	B. “Parallel” Hybrid Vehicle .....	28
	C. Hybrid Vehicle “Control Strategies” .....	40
	D. Monitoring Driving Patterns .....	52
	E. Turbochargers .....	54
V.	THE ‘347 Patent .....	63
	A. Effective Filing Date of the ‘347 Patent.....	63
	B. Prosecution History of the ‘347 Patent.....	65
VI.	CHALLENGED CLAIMS OF THE ‘347 PATENT AND PROPOSED CLAIM CONSTRUCTIONS .....	70
VII.	OVERVIEW OF THE PRIOR ART .....	72
	A. U.S. Patent No. 5,343,970 to Severinsky.....	72
	B. Bumby .....	74
	C. U.S. Patent No. 5,586,613 to Ehsani .....	96
	D. Graf – U.S. Patent No. 6,188,945.....	98
	E. Ma – International Application Publication No. WO 92/15778....	99
	F. Nii – United States Patent No. 5,650,931 .....	99
VIII.	GROUND 1A – CLAIM 24 IS OBVIOUS OVER SEVERINSKY ’970 IN VIEW OF GRAF .....	100
IX.	GROUND 1B – CLAIM 33 IS OBVIOUS OVER SEVERINSKY ’970 IN VIEW OF MA .....	159

X.	GROUND 1C – CLAIM 38 IS OBVIOUS OVER SEVERINSKY ’970 IN VIEW OF EHSANI .....	185
XI.	GROUND 2A – CLAIM 2 IS OBVIOUS OVER SEVERINSKY ’970 IN VIEW OF EHSANI AND GRAF .....	190
	A. Motivation to Combine .....	190
	B. Claim 2 .....	194
XII.	GROUND 2B – CLAIM 11 IS OBVIOUS OVER SEVERINSKY ’970 IN VIEW OF EHSANI AND MA.....	220
XIII.	GROUND 2C – CLAIM 17 IS OBVIOUS OVER SEVERINSKY ’970 IN VIEW OF EHSANI .....	224
XIV.	GROUND 3A – CLAIM 24 IS OBVIOUS OVER SEVERINSKY IN VIEW OF NII .....	228
XV.	GROUND 3B – CLAIM 2 IS OBVIOUS OVER SEVERINSKY ’970 IN VIEW OF EHSANI IN AND NII .....	232
XVI.	GROUND 4A – CLAIMS 2 AND 24 ARE OBVIOUS OVER BUMBY IN VIEW OF GRAF .....	233
	A. Claim 2 .....	233
	B. Claim 24 .....	263
XVII.	GROUND 4B – CLAIMS 11 AND 33 ARE OBVIOUS OVER BUMBY IN VIEW OF MA .....	294
	A. Claim 11 .....	294
	B. Claim 33 .....	324
XVIII.	GROUND 4C – CLAIMS 17 AND 38 ARE OBVIOUS OVER BUMBY IN VIEW OF EHSANI .....	326
	A. Claim 17 .....	326
	B. Claim 38 .....	329
XIX.	OBJECTIVE EVIDENCE OF NONOBVIOUSNESS .....	334
XX.	OPINIONS FROM MY REPLY DECLARATIONS IN IPR2014-00571	

AND IPR2014-00579 .....334

A. RESPONSE TO PATENT OWNERS' PREVIOUS  
ARGUMENTS REGARDING SEVERINSKY '970 .....334

B. RESPONSE TO PATENT OWNERS' PREVIOUS  
ARGUMENTS REGARDING BUMBY .....347

XXI. CONCLUSION .....393

## LIST OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit No.	Description of Exhibit
BMW1001	U.S. Patent No. 7,104,347, including <i>Inter Partes</i> Review Certificates issued as U.S. Patent No. 7,104,347 K1 and U.S. Patent No. 7,104,347 K2
BMW1002	USPTO Assignments on the Web for U.S. Patent No. 7,104,347 K2
BMW1003	<i>Ford Motor Co. v. Paice LLC</i> , IPR2014-00571, Paper 44, Final Written Decision (P.T.A.B. Sep. 28, 2015)
BMW1004	<i>Ford Motor Co. v. Paice LLC</i> , IPR2014-00579, Paper 45, Final Written Decision (P.T.A.B. Sep. 28, 2015)
BMW1005	<i>Paice LLC v. Ford Motor Co.</i> , Appeal Nos. 2016-1412, -1415, -1745, Doc. 46-2, Opinion (Fed. Cir. Mar. 7, 2017)
BMW1006	<i>Ford Motor Co. v. Paice LLC</i> , IPR2015-00794, Paper 31, Final Written Decision (P.T.A.B. Nov. 1, 2016)
BMW1007	<i>Paice LLC v. Ford Motor Co.</i> , Appeal Nos. 2017-1442, -1443, -1472, Doc. 59-2, Opinion (Fed. Cir. Feb. 1, 2018)
BMW1008	Declaration of Dr. Gregory W. Davis in Support of <i>Inter Partes</i> Review of U.S. Patent No. 7,104,347 K2
BMW1009	<i>Curriculum Vitae</i> of Dr. Gregory W. Davis
BMW1010	<i>Ford Motor Co. v. Paice LLC</i> , IPR2014-00795, Paper 31, Final Written Decision (P.T.A.B. Nov. 1, 2016)
BMW1011	<i>Ford Motor Co. v. Paice LLC</i> , IPR2014-00884, Paper 38, Final Written Decision (P.T.A.B. Dec. 10, 2015)
BMW1012	File History for U.S. Patent No. 7,104,347 K2
BMW1013	U.S. Patent No. 5,343,970 (“Severinsky” or “Severinsky ’970”)
BMW1014	Bumby, J.R. et al., “Computer modelling of the automotive energy requirements for internal combustion engine and battery electric-powered vehicles,” <i>IEE PROCEEDINGS</i> , Vol. 132, Pt. A, No. 5

BMW 1008

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.