

Case IPR2020-00985  
U.S. Patent No. 6,651,134

**UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

---

**BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

---

ADVANCED MICRO DEVICES, INC.,  
Petitioner

v.

MONTEREY RESEARCH, LLC,  
Patent Owner

---

Case IPR2020-00985

U.S. Patent No. 6,651,134

---

**DECLARATION OF MICHAEL C. BROGIOLI, PH.D.**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<b><u>Page</u></b>
I. Introduction.....	1
II. Bases for Opinions .....	1
III. Materials reviewed.....	2
IV. Education and Experience .....	2
A. Overview.....	3
B. Experience with Burst Mode Accesses In Memory Devices.....	6
V. Legal standards .....	7
A. Burden of Proof.....	7
B. Anticipation and Obviousness .....	8
1. Anticipation .....	8
2. Obviousness .....	9
C. Independent Claims and Dependent Claims .....	12
D. Claim Construction .....	13
VI. Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art.....	15
VII. Technical Background.....	16
A. SRAM/DRAM Memory Devices .....	16
B. On-Chip Memories.....	19
C. Input/Output Devices .....	20
D. Burst Memory Access .....	22
E. Burst Transfer Communications Between Devices In A Computing System.....	25
VIII. The '134 Patent.....	31

A.	Priority Date of the '134 Patent.....	31
B.	Overview of the '134 Patent.....	32
IX.	Overview of Wada .....	42
X.	Overview of Secondary References.....	46
A.	Barrett .....	46
B.	Fujioka .....	51
C.	Reeves.....	52
D.	Lysinger .....	53
XI.	Claim Construction .....	54
A.	All Challenged Claims: “non-interruptible” .....	54
B.	Claim 16: “means for reading data . . . / means for generating a predetermined number of said internal address signals in response to (i) an external address signal, (ii) a clock signal and (iii) one or more control signals”.....	55
XII.	Summary of Opinions .....	56
XIII.	Opinions About the Challenged Claims.....	57
A.	Wada does not disclose all elements of Claims 1-3, 8, 12-13, 16, or 17 (Grounds 1 and 2).....	57
1.	Wada Does Not Disclose “generating a predetermined number of said internal address signals.”.....	58
a.	Wada’s Conventional Embodiment Does Not Generate A Predetermined Number Of Internal Address Signals.....	60
b.	Wada’s Second Embodiment Does Not Generate A Predetermined Number Of Internal Address Signals. ....	66

2.	Wada Does Not Disclose “wherein said generation of said predetermined number of internal address signals is non-interruptible.....	71
a.	Wada’s Conventional And Second Embodiments Disclose Terminating Bursts. ....	72
b.	Wada Is Not Directed To Preventing Interruptions Within A Burst.....	76
3.	Claims 1-4, 8, 12-14, and 16-17 are not obvious over Wada (Ground 2) .....	79
4.	In February 2000, a POSITA would not have had reason to modify Wada to achieve the challenged claims. ....	80
5.	A Person Of Ordinary Skill In The Art Would Not Have Expected The Modified Version Of Wada To Succeed. ....	81
B.	Claims 1-4, 8, 12-14, 16, and 17 Are Not Obvious Over Wada In Combination With Barrett (Ground 2a).....	82
1.	The Combination Of Wada And Barrett Does Not Disclose “generating a predetermined number of [said] internal address signals”.....	83
2.	The Combination of Wada And Barrett Does Not Disclose “wherein said generation of said predetermined number of internal address signals is non-interruptible”.....	84
3.	In February 2000, A POSITA Would Not Have Been Motivated To Combine And Modify Wada And Barrett To Achieve The Challenged Claims.....	88
a.	Wada And Barrett Describe Different Burst Operations.....	88
b.	Wada And Barrett Are Directed To Different Operational Procedures. ....	92
c.	Wada And Barrett’s Different Systems Operate At Different Speeds And Scales Of Data.....	98

d.	Wada And Barrett’s Different Systems Operate According To Different Timing Requirements.....	100
e.	Combining Wada And Barrett Would Destroy A Key Objective Of Each Reference.....	103
f.	Wada And Barrett Are Not Directed To The Same Purpose. ....	105
4.	A Person Of Ordinary Skill In The Art In February 2000 Would Not Reasonably Expect The Combination Of Wada And Barrett To Succeed. ....	105
C.	Claims 3–7, 13, 18–20, and 22 are not obvious over Wada, alone or in combination with the asserted secondary references (Grounds 3, 3a, 4, 4a, 5, 5a). ....	111
1.	Claims 4-7 and 18-20 Are Not Obvious Over Wada Combined With Fujioka (Ground 3). ....	111
2.	Claims 4-7 and 18-20 Are Not Obvious Over Wada Combined With Barrett And Fujioka (Ground 3a). ....	112
3.	Claims 9-10, 14, And 21 Are Not Obvious Over Wada Combined With Reeves (Ground 4). ....	112
4.	Claims 9-10, 14, And 21 Are Not Obvious Over Wada Combined With Barrett and Reeves (Ground 4a). ....	113
5.	Claims 11 And 15 Are Not Obvious Over Wada Combined With Lysinger (Ground 5). ....	113
6.	Claims 11 And 15 Are Not Obvious Over Wada Combined With Barrett And Lysinger (Ground 5). ....	114
7.	No Reasonable Expectation of Success .....	114
D.	Objective Indicia of Non-Obviousness .....	115
1.	JEDEC DDR-Compliant Memory Is A Commercial Embodiment Of The ’134 Patent. ....	115
a.	Independent Claim 1 .....	116

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.