1 | Jonathan D. Baker (SBN 196062) DICKINSON WRIGHT RLLP 800 W. California Avenue, Suite 110 Sunnyvale, CA 94086 Telephone: (408) 701-6200 Facsimile: (844) 670-6009 jdbaker@dickinsonwright.com Steven R. Daniels (SBN 235398) Michael D. Saunders (SBN 259692) DICKINSON WRIGHT PLLC 303 Colorado St., Ste. 2050 9 Austin, Texas 78701 Telephone: (512) 770-4200 Facsimile: (844) 670-6009 11 sdaniels@dickinsonwright.com msaunders@dickinsonwright.com 12 13 Attorneys for Defendant Roku, Inc. 14 15 16 17 a Delaware Company, 18 19 Plaintiff,

Steven A. Caloiaro (SBN 284410) DICKINSON WRIGHT PLLC 100 West Liberty Street, Ste. 940 Reno, NV 89501 Telephone: (775) 343-7500 Facsimile: (844) 670-6009 scaloiaro@dickinsonwright.com

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS INC.,

v.

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

ROKU, INC., a Delaware Company,

Defendant.

Case No. 8:18-cv-01580-JVS-ADS

ROKU, INC.'S INITIAL INVALIDITY CONTENTIONS AND **DOCUMENT PRODUCTION** (N.D. CAL. P.R. 3-3, 3-4)



ROKU'S INITIAL INVALIDITY CONTENTIONS



Pursuant to the Scheduling Order and N.D. Cal. Patent L.R. 3-3, Defendant Roku, Inc. ("Roku" or "Defendant") hereby provides notice of Defendant's Initial Invalidity Contentions, including by reference the entirety of the exhibits hereto, for:

- Claims 1-6, 19, 22-25 of U.S. Patent No. 7,589,642 ("'642 Patent"), Claims 2-16 of U.S. Patent No. 8,004,389 ("'389 Patent"), and Claims 1-2, 4, and 7 of U.S. Patent No. 9,911,325 ("'325 Patent") (collectively, the "Mui Patents");
- Claims 1-3 and 5-8 of U.S. Patent No. 9,716,853 ("'853 Patent" or the "Arling Patent");
- Claims 1-6 and 8 of U.S. Patent No. 7,782,309 ("'309 Patent"), Claims 1-5, 7, 14-16, 18, 20, 21, and 27-29 of U.S. Patent No. 7,821,504 ("'504 Patent"), and Claims 1, 2, 4-10, 12-14, 16-17, 19, 21, 38-42, 45-47, 49, 51, 52, 58, 69-76, 78, 79, 81, 83, and 84 of U.S. Patent No. 7,821,505 ("'505 Patent") (collectively, the "Janik Patents");
- Claims 10-12 of U.S. Patent No. 7,895,532 ("'532 Patent") and Claims 1-4 of U.S. Patent No. 8,015,446 ("'446 Patent") (collectively, the "Scott Patents");

(collectively "Asserted Claims").

As set forth in further detail below, Roku contends that each of the Asserted Claims is invalid under at least 35 U.S.C. §§ 101, 102, 103, and/or 112. Roku reserves the right to amend, modify and/or supplement these Initial Invalidity Contentions based on, among other things, amendments, modifications or supplements to Plaintiff's infringement contentions, further investigation, fact or expert discovery and/or evaluation of the scope and content of the prior art, disclosure of the parties' claim constructions, an order construing the Asserted Claim(s), or any other basis contemplated by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure,

ROKU'S INITIAL INVALIDITY CONTENTIONS

the Court's Local Rules, and any other applicable order entered by the Court.

Roku's Initial Invalidity Contentions are based on information reasonably available at this time with respect to the Asserted Claim(s), and are necessarily preliminary and may require subsequent amendment, modification, and/or supplementation. In particular, as Roku has explained to counsel for UEI, UEI's infringement contentions are inadequate and fail to adequately apprise Roku of UEI's infringement theories or where in the accused Roku products UEI alleges the limitations of the claims are found. Accordingly, these contentions may require amendment, modification and/or supplementation when UEI has provided additional information regarding its contentions. Moreover, fact discovery is ongoing and Roku has not obtained deposition testimony from any of the named inventors of the Asserted Patents or any third party. To date, Roku has not received from UEI a copy of any of the invalidity contentions served by any defendant in any prior case in which UEI has asserted any of the Asserted Patents. Accordingly, Roku incorporates by reference each such invalidity contention as if fully set forth herein. Roku's investigation regarding the issues of infringement and validity is therefore ongoing. These disclosures are made without prejudice to Roku' right to supplement or amend its contentions as additional facts are ascertained, analyses are made, research is completed, and/or claims are construed. Roku expects further discovery will reveal additional prior art, including related disclosures and corresponding evidence for many of the prior art references identified below. As such, Roku has not yet completed its investigation, discovery or analysis of matters relating to the infringement, validity, or enforceability of the Asserted Claim(s), including, without limitation, invalidity due to on-sale statutory bars, public use statutory bars or improper inventorship, or unenforceability due to inequitable conduct. The disclosures herein are not and should not be construed as a statement that no other persons have discoverable information, that no other

DOCKET A L A R M

28

2

3

4

2

documents, data compilations, and/or tangible things exist that Roku may use to support their claims or defenses, or that no other legal theories or factual bases will be pursued. Accordingly, Roku reserves the right to amend, modify and supplement these Initial Invalidity Contentions as additional information is discovered, identified or otherwise appreciated, including testimony about the Asserted Claims and the scope and content of the prior art.

Roku's Initial Invalidity Contentions should not be taken to mean that: (i) Roku agrees with Plaintiff's bases for infringement; (ii) Roku agrees with Plaintiff regarding the scope of any of the Asserted Claims; (iii) Roku agrees with Plaintiff's claim constructions advanced expressly or implicitly by Plaintiff's Infringement Contentions or in any other pleading, discovery request or response, or written or verbal communication; (iv) Roku is precluded from propounding alternative claim constructions or requesting Plaintiff's actual claim construction positions in the future; or that (v) Roku agrees or believes that the claims at issue are amenable to a meaningful construction or satisfy the requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 112. Roku expressly reserves the right to propose and advocate for alternative constructions to those apparently advocated by Plaintiff. In addition, nothing in these Initial Invalidity Contentions shall be treated as an admission that any of Roku's accused products meets any limitation of the Asserted Claims. Roku denies that the accused products infringe any claim of the Asserted Patents. To the extent that any prior art reference identified by Roku contains a claim element that is the same as or similar to an element in an accused product, based on a claim construction inferred from Plaintiff's infringement contentions, inclusion of that reference in Roku's Invalidity Contentions shall not be deemed a waiver by Roku of any claim construction or non-infringement position. Any use of these Initial Invalidity Contentions to support any allegation of infringement would be misleading, false and wrong as a matter of law and fact.

28

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

11

15

16

18

20

2.1

22

ROKU'S INITIAL INVALIDITY CONTENTIONS



Unless otherwise specified, the Invalidity Contentions set forth herein are in reliance on the alleged priority dates of the Asserted Patent(s) asserted by Plaintiff in its Infringement Contentions. To the extent Plaintiff asserts entitlement to a different priority date for prior art purposes, Roku reserves the right to amend these contentions. Further, nothing in these contentions constitutes an admission concerning the priority dates, conception date or reduction to practice of the Asserted Claims of the Asserted Patents.

Roku reserves the right to amend these Initial Invalidity Contentions. The information and documents that Roku produce are provisional and subject to further revision as follows. Roku expressly reserve the right, consistent with the Patent Rules, local rules, and the Court's orders, to amend these disclosures and the accompanying document production should Plaintiff provide any information that it failed to provide in its Infringement Contentions, or should Plaintiff amend its Infringement Contentions in any way. Further, because discovery (including discovery from third parties) is not complete, Roku reserves the right to, consistent with the Patent Rules, local rules, and the Court's order, revise, amend, and/or supplement the information provided herein, including identifying and relying on additional prior art references, should Roku's further search and analysis yield additional information or references. Moreover, Roku reserves the right to revise its ultimate contentions concerning the invalidity of the asserted claims, which may change, for example, depending upon the Court's construction of the Asserted Claims, any findings as to the priority or invention date of the Asserted Claims, and/or positions that Plaintiff or its expert witness(es) may take concerning claim construction, infringement, and/or invalidity issues.

Prior art not included in this disclosure, whether known or unknown to Roku, may become relevant. In particular, Roku is currently unaware of the extent, if any, to which Plaintiff will contend that limitations of the asserted claims are not

28

ROKU'S INITIAL INVALIDITY CONTENTIONS



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

