```
1
 2
 3
 4
                       UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                      CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 5
 6
                               SOUTHERN DIVISION
            THE HONORABLE JAMES V. SELNA, JUDGE PRESIDING
 8
 9
              UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS, INC.,
10
                                 Plaintiff, )
                VS.
                                                SACV-18-01580-JVS
11
              ROKU, INC.,
                                Defendant. )
12
13
14
15
                REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
16
                         Santa Ana, California
17
                            August 5, 2019
18
19
                              SHARON A. SEFFENS, RPR
                              United States Courthouse
20
                              411 West 4th Street, Suite 1-1053
                              Santa Ana, CA 92701
21
                              (714) 543-0870
22
23
24
25
```

SHARON A. SEFFENS, U.S. DISTRICT COURT REPORTER



```
1
     APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:
 2
     For the Plaintiff:
 3
     RYAN W. KOPPELMAN
     TIMOTHY R. WATSON
 4
     ALSTON & BIRD, LLP
     1950 University Avenue, 5th Floor
 5
     East Palo Alto, CA
                         94303
     (650) 838-2000
 6
     EVAN WILLIAM WOOLLEY
     ALSTON & BIRD, LLP
     333 South Hope Street, 16th Floor
     Los Angeles, CA 90071
 8
     (213) 576-1000
 9
10
     For the Defendant:
     JONATHAN D. BAKER
11
     DICKINSON WRIGHT, PLLC
12
     800 West California Avenue, Suite 110
     Sunnyvale, CA
                     94086
     (408) 701-6200
13
     MICHAEL D. SAUNDERS
14
     DICKINSON WRIGHT, PLLC
15
     607 West 3rd Street, Suite 2500
     Austin, TX 78701
16
     (512) 770-4200
17
     ALSO PRESENT:
     Rick Firehammer
18
     General Counsel for UEI
19
     Jeremy Black
     Assistant General Counsel for UEI
20
21
     Joseph Hollinger
     Roku Vice-President of Litigation & Intellectual Property
22
23
24
25
```



SANTA ANA, CALIFORNIA; MONDAY, AUGUST 5, 2019; 2:31 P.M. 1 02:31 2 THE CLERK: Item No. 14, SACV-18-01580-JVS, 3 Universal Electronics, Inc., versus Roku, Inc. 02:31 02:31 Appearances please, counsel. 5 MR. KOPPELMAN: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Ryan 02:31 02:31 6 Koppelman for plaintiff UEI, and joining me today is Evan Woolley and Tim Watson from my firm. Also with us in the 02:31 02:32 8 gallery is Rick Firehammer, General Counsel for UEI, and 9 Jeremy Black, Assistant General Counsel for UEI. 02:32 THE COURT: Good afternoon. Welcome. 02:32 10 MR. BAKER: Good afternoon, Your Honor. 11 Jonathan 02:32 02:32 12 Baker and Michael Saunders on behalf of defendant Roku, Inc. With us today in the gallery is Joseph Hollinger, 13 02:32 Vice-President of Litigation and Intellectual Property at 02:32 14 15 Roku. 02:32 THE COURT: Good afternoon. Welcome. 02:32 16 Which terms are we going to discuss this 02:32 17 afternoon? 02:32 18 19 MR. KOPPELMAN: Good afternoon, Your Honor. 02:32 02:32 20 Koppelman. The plaintiff and defendant conferred, and what we 21 02:32 02:32 22 had agreed upon is as follows. We would like to present argument on terms numbered in the tentative 1, 2, 3, 4, and 02:32 23 24 8, those five terms. So term 1 is "key code signal;" term 2 02:32 25 "key code generator device"; term 3 "by using an identity," 02:32



dot, dot; term 4 "universal controlling device"; and 02:32 1 2 term 8 "causing the automatically created sequence of 02:33 instructions, " dot, dot, dot. 3 02:33 02:33 THE COURT: Okay. 5 MR. KOPPELMAN: What we also worked out is we 02:33 02:33 6 would like to go term by term. On the first four, Roku will go first, present first, and then we will respond. We will 02:33 8 have a short period for a reply. And then on Term 8, UEI 02:33 9 will go first, and Roku will respond, and we will have a 02:33 02:33 10 short reply. THE COURT: Very good. 11 02:33 02:33 12 MR. KOPPELMAN: Thank you, Your Honor. MR. BAKER: Your Honor, may I approach with a copy 13 02:33 02:33 14 of our presentation to the Court? THE COURT: You may. 02:33 15 I have some extra copies for the 02:33 16 MR. BAKER: 02:33 17 clerks. (Document handed to the Court) 02:34 18 19 THE COURT: Mr. Saunders. 02:34 MR. SAUNDERS: Thank you, Your Honor. 02:34 20 Let me begin with the term "key code signal," 21 02:34 02:34 22 which appears in each of the three Mui patents in this case. 23 I am going to focus on a couple of key points of law and 02:34 24 fact that we think were overlooked in the tentative order. 02:34 25 But just to refresh what the claim construction 02:34

SHARON A. SEFFENS, U.S. DISTRICT COURT REPORTER



02:34 1 02:34 2 3 02:34 02:34 5 02:34 02:34 6 02:35 02:35 8 9 02:35 02:35 10 11 02:35 02:35 12 13 02:35 14 02:35 15 02:35 16 02:35 02:35 17 18 02:35 19 02:35 02:35 20 02:35 2.1 02:35 22 02:35 23

disputes were, Roku's claim construction position had essentially three different issues on that, and they are broken up in the tentative appropriately as three different issues: the first involving modulation, the second involving a specific type of brand of device, and the third about a disclaimer of stored key codes.

Today we are going to be submitting on the tentative as to the second of those three issues, but I will be addressing the modulation issue as well as the disclaimer about storage issue. So it boils down to the two issues I will be talking about are does the key code single contain a modulated key code and has UEI disclaimed signals containing key codes to be stored on a remote control for later use in generating IR signals?

So I will turn first to the modulation and specifically the part of the tentative order dealing with modulation on pages 12 and 13 where the Court stated that:

"Roku does not otherwise sufficiently argue that the applicant demonstrated a clear intent to limit the meaning of this term in the specification or during prosecution of the patent."

It's obviously a very important doctrine in patent law, but it is not a doctrine that applies here. We cited one case in our brief that the Federal Circuit has held multiple times when we have a coined term claim like the

SHARON A. SEFFENS, U.S. DISTRICT COURT REPORTER



24

25

02:36

02:36

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

