

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

ROKU, INC.,  
Petitioner,

v.

UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS, INC.,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case No. IPR2020-00951  
U.S. Patent 9,911,325

---

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW  
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 9,911,325**

***Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD"***  
Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
U.S. Patent & Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I. Introduction.....1

II. Grounds for the Unpatentability of the '325 patent.....2

III. Overview of the '325 patent .....4

    A. Embodiment 2 – Transmitting a Key Code from a Key Code Generator to an Electronic Consumer Device.....6

    B. The Examiner Provided No Rationale for Allowance During Prosecution of the '325 Patent .....7

IV. Level of ordinary skill in the art .....8

V. Claim Construction .....9

    A. “Key code” and “Keystroke indicator” .....9

    B. “Key code signal” .....10

VI. GROUND 1: Claim 1 of the '325 Patent Is Unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 Over Rye In view of Skerlos.....10

    A. Overview of Rye .....11

    B. Overview of Skerlos.....12

    C. Independent Claim 1 .....14

        1. [1.P]: “A first device for transmitting a command to control a functional operation of a second device, the first device comprising:”.....14

        2. [1.1]: “a receiver;”.....15

        3. [1.2] “a transmitter;” .....15

        4. [1.3] “a processing device coupled to the receiver and the transmitter; and” .....16

        5. [1.4] “a memory storing instructions executable by the processing device, the instructions causing the processing device to:” .....18

        6. [1.4.1] “generate a key code using a keystroke indicator received from a third device in communication with first device via use of the receiver, the keystroke indicator having data that indicates an input element of the third device that has been activated;”.....19

        7. [1.4.2] “format the key code for transmission to the second device; and”22

*Petition for Inter Partes Review of  
U.S. Patent No. 9,911,325*

8.	[1.4.3] “transmit the formatted key code to the second device in a key code signal via use of the transmitter;” .....	27
9.	[1.4.4] “wherein the generated key code comprises a one of a plurality of key code data stored in a codeset, wherein the one of the plurality of key code data is selected from the codeset as a function of the keystroke indicator received from the third device, wherein each of the plurality of key code data stored in the codeset comprises a series of digital ones and/or digital zeros, and” .....	27
10.	[1.4.5] “wherein the codeset further comprises time information that describes how a digital one and/or a digital zero within the selected one of the plurality of key code data is to be represented in the key code signal to be transmitted to the second device.” .....	29
VII.	GROUND A: Claims 8, 9, 11-13, and 15-16 of the ’325 Patent are Unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 Over Rye In view of Skerlos and Woolgar.....	33
A.	Overview of Woolgar.....	33
B.	Claims 8 and 16.....	35
C.	Independent Claim 9 .....	38
D.	Claim 11 .....	43
E.	Claim 12 .....	44
F.	Claim 13 .....	44
G.	Claim 15 .....	45
VIII.	GROUND B: Claim 6 of the ’325 Patent is Unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 Over Rye In view of Skerlos And Gutman.....	46
A.	Overview of Gutman.....	46
B.	Claim 6 .....	48
IX.	GROUND C: Claim 14 of the ’325 Patent Is Unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 Over Rye In view of Skerlos, Woolgar, And Gutman.....	50
X.	GROUND 2.A: Claims 1, 6, 8, and 16 of the ’325 Patent are Unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 Over Caris In view of Dubil.....	51
A.	Overview of Caris .....	51
B.	Overview of Dubil.....	54

*Petition for Inter Partes Review of  
U.S. Patent No. 9,911,325*

C.	Independent Claim 1 .....	55
1.	[1.P] “A first device for transmitting a command to control a functional operation of a second device, the first device comprising:” .....	55
2.	[1.1] “a receiver;” .....	56
3.	[1.2] “a transmitter;” .....	57
4.	[1.3] “a processing device coupled to the receiver and the transmitter; and” .....	58
5.	[1.4] “a memory storing instructions executable by the processing device, the instructions causing the processing device to:” .....	59
6.	[1.4.1] “generate a key code using a keystroke indicator received from a third device in communication with first device via use of the receiver, the keystroke indicator having data that indicates an input element of the third device that has been activated;” .....	60
7.	[1.4.2] “format the key code for transmission to the second device; and” .....	61
8.	[1.4.3] “transmit the formatted key code to the second device in a key code signal via use of the transmitter;” .....	64
9.	[1.4.4] “wherein the generated key code comprises a one of a plurality of key code data stored in a codeset, wherein the one of the plurality of key code data is selected from the codeset as a function of the keystroke indicator received from the third device, wherein each of the plurality of key code data stored in the codeset comprises a series of digital ones and/or digital zeros, and” .....	65
10.	[1.4.5] “wherein the codeset further comprises time information that describes how a digital one and/or a digital zero within the selected one of the plurality of key code data is to be represented in the key code signal to be transmitted to the second device.” .....	68
D.	Claim 6 .....	70
E.	Claims 8 and 16.....	71
XI.	GROUND D: Claims 8, 9 and 11-16 of the ’325 Patent are Unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 Over Caris In view of Dubil and Woolgar.....	72
A.	Claims 8 and 16.....	72
B.	Independent Claim 9 .....	73
C.	Claim 11 .....	75

*Petition for Inter Partes Review of  
U.S. Patent No. 9,911,325*

D.	Claim 12 .....	75
E.	Claim 13 .....	76
F.	Claim 14 .....	76
G.	Claim 15 .....	76
XII.	Patent Owner is unaware of any secondary considerations of non-obviousness .....	77
XIII.	Conclusion .....	77
XIV.	Standing (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a)) .....	78
XV.	Mandatory Notices (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(a)(1)) .....	78
A.	Real Party In Interest (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)) .....	78
B.	Related Matters (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)).....	78
C.	Lead and Back-up Counsel (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3)).....	79
D.	Service Information (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4)) .....	80

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.