UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

\_\_\_\_\_

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

\_\_\_\_\_\_

GARMIN INTERNATIONAL, INC., GARMIN USA, INC.,
AND GARMIN LTD.,

**Petitioners** 

v.

PHILIPS NORTH AMERICA LLC, Patent Owner

Case Nos. IPR2020-00910

U.S. Patent No. 7,088,233

.\_\_\_\_\_

PATENT OWNER PRELIMINARY RESPONSE UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 313 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.107



## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I.	INTRODUCTION			1	
II.	BACKGROUND				
	A.	The '233 Patent			
		1.	Specification	3	
		2.	Challenged Claims	4	
	B.	The	Parties' Related District Court Litigations	5	
III.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION				
	A.	Petitioners' Claim Constructions			
		1.	"wireless communication"	10	
		2.	"governing information transmitted between the first personal device and the second device"	11	
IV.	THE BOARD SHOULD DENY INSTITUTION PURSANT TO 35 U.S.C. § 314(a)				
	A.	The Fintiv Factors Weigh Against Institution			
		1.	Neither Garmin Nor Fitbit Has Sought to Stay Either District Court Litigation	16	
		2.	The Progression of the Fitbit and Garmin Litigations Weigh Heavily in Favor of Denial	16	
		3.	The District Courts and the Parties Have Invested a Significant Amount of Resources in the District Court Litigations	17	
		4.	The Issues Raised in the Petitions Will Be Resolved by the District Court Litigations	18	
		5.	Garmin and Fitbit Are Also Parties in the District Court Litigations	19	



		6.	The Weakness of the Petition Also Weighs in Favor of Denying Institution	19	
V.	THE PETITION SHOULD BE DENIED BECAUSE IT FAILS TO DEMONSTRATE A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD OF SUCCESS19				
	A.	Leve	l of Ordinary Skill in the Art	20	
	В.		use Jacobsen Does Not Disclose All of the Limitations of n 1, Ground 1 Fails	20	
		1.	Jacobsen	20	
		2.	Jacobsen Does Not Disclose the Claimed "wireless communication module[s]"	23	
		3.	Jacobsen Also Does Not Disclose the Claimed "security mechanism governing information transmitted between the first personal device and the second device"	25	
	C.		use Say Does Not Disclose All of the Limitations of Claim ound 2 Fails	26	
		1.	Say	26	
		2.	Say Does Not Disclose the Claimed "security mechanism governing information transmitted between the first personal device and the second device"	28	
	D.		use the Combination of Jacobsen and Say Does Not ose All of the Limitations of Claim 1, Ground 3 Fails	29	
		1.	Jacobsen in View of Say	29	
		2.	Because Jacobsen Does Not Disclose the Claimed "wireless communication module[s]," the Petition Fails to Show How the Jacobsen-Say Combination Discloses All of the Limitations of Claim 1	29	
		3.	Because Say Does Not Disclose the Claimed "security mechanism," the Petition Fails to Show the Jacobsen-Say Combination Meets All of the Limitations of Claim 1	30	



	Е.	The Other Grounds Fail For Much the Same Reasons as Discussed Above		
		1.	Because Neither Jacobsen nor Say Disclose All of the Claim Limitations, Grounds 4-7 Fail	31
		2.	Ground 4 Does Not Overcome the Failings of the Jacobsen-Say Combination	31
		3.	Ground 5 Also Fails to Overcome the Issues Associated With Jacobsen and Say	33
		4.	Ground 6 Also Fails to Overcome the Deficiencies of Jacobsen and Say	34
		5.	Ground 7 Fails to Overcome the Deficiencies of Say	35
<b>1/1</b>	CON	JCHIS	SION	30



## **TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

	Page(s)
Cases	
Apple Inc. v. Fintiv, Inc., IPR2020-00019, Paper 11 (PTAB Mar. 20, 2020) (Fintiv I)	passim
General Plastic Indus. Co., Ltd. v. Canon Kabushiki Kaisha, IPR2016-01357, Paper 19, 15-19 (PTAB, Sept. 6, 2017)	14
Harmonic Inc. v. Avid Tech., Inc., 815 F.3d 1356 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	14
NHK Spring Co., Ltd. v. Intri-Plex Techs. Inc., IPR2018-00752, Paper 8 (PTAB Sept. 12, 2018)	14, 15, 17
WesternGeco LLC v. ION Geophysical Corp., 889 F.3d 1308 (Fed. Cir. 2018)	14
ZTE (USA) Inc. v. Fractus, S.A., IPR2018-01451, Paper No. 12 (PTAB Feb. 19, 2019)	17
Statutes	
35 U.S.C. § 101	5
35 U.S.C. § 313	1
35 U.S.C. § 314(a)	14
Other Authorities	
37 C.F.R. § 42.107	1



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

### **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

#### **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

#### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

