1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	LAMKIN IP DEFENSE RDL@LamkinIPDefense.com Rachael D. Lamkin (246066) One Harbor Drive, Suite 304 Sausalito, CA 94965 (916) 747-6091 Telephone Michelle L. Marriott (pro hac vice) michelle.marriott@eriseip.com Erise IP, P.A. 7015 College Blvd. Suite 700 Overland Park, KS 66211 (913) 777-5600 Telephone (913) 777-5601 Facsimile Attorneys for Defendants Garmin		
12 13	International, Inc. and Garmin Ltd.		
14	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
15	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
16) C N- 2-10 0(201 AD VC	
17	PHILIPS NORTH AMERICA LLC, Plaintiff,) Case No. 2:19-cv-06301-AB-KS	
18 19	V.		
20	GARMIN INTERNATIONAL, INC.	DEFENDANTS' OPENING	
21	AND GARMIN LTD.,) CLAIM CONSTRUCTION BRIEF	
22	Defendants.		
23		.)	
24			
25			
26			
27		IDD2020 00040	
28		IPR2020-00910	



1	TABLE OF CONTENTS	
2	I.	INTRODUCTION
3	II.	LEGAL AUTHORITY1
4	III.	GARMIN'S PROPOSED CONSTRUCTIONS
4	A.	US Patent No. 6,013,007
5	1. Means For Computing Athletic Performance Data, Claims 1, 21, limitation	
6		1(b)
	2. Means For Presenting Athletic Performance Feedback Data, Claims 1, 21,	
7	limitation 1(c)	
8		Means For Suspending And Resuming Operation, Claim 7
9	4. Comprising Means for Exchanging GPS Route Waypoints Via Said Internet Web Site, Claim 25	
9	B.	US Patent No. 7,088,233
0		First Personal Device, Claims 1, 10, 14, 24, Limitation (a)
1		A Security Mechanism Governing Information Transmission Between the
		First and Second Devices, Claim 1(c).
2	3.	Body or Physiological Parameters, Claims 8-9
3		Location Determination Module, Claim 24
4		Powered Down State, Claim 26
	6.	Means for Signaling, Claim 26
5	C.	US Patent No. 9,314,192
16	1. Any One of a Plurality of Positions, Claims 1, 20	
	2.	Analyzing the Measured Value For Features That Are Position Dependent,
17	2	Claims 1, 20
8	D.	Derive a Subject-Related Value, Claims 1, 20
9		"web-enabled wireless phone" /"internet-enabled wireless web device" 14
	2 "mosthed for interpolitive examining monitoring" and "conding the example.	
20		related information to an internet server" ('377)
21	3.	"calculation performed by the server based on the exercise-related
22		information" ('377)
		"physiological status" ('377)
23	5. disease management limitations: "health monitoring device," "disease state	
24		or condition," and "health parameter" ('958)
		a) Health monitoring device ('958 Patent Claims 15, 16)
25		b) Disease state or condition ('958 Claims 15, 16)
26	F	c) Health parameter ('958 Claims 15, 16)
27	E.	U.S. Patent No. 9,801,542 (Claims 13 and 15)
27		IPR2020-0091



1 2 TABLE OF AUTHORITIES 3 Cases Acacia Media Techs. Corp. v. New Destiny Internet Group, No. C-05-01114, 2007 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 19314, 2007 WL 678317 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 2, 5 Aristocrat Techs. Austl. PTY Ltd. v. Int'l Game Tech., 521 F.3d 1328 (Fed. Aylus Networks, Inc. v. Apple Inc., 856 F.3d 1353 (Fed. Cir. 2017)............ 2, 16, 17 Becton, Dickinson and Co. v. Tyco Healthcare Grp., LP, 616 F.3d 1249 10 Biedermann Motech GmbH v. Acme Spine, LLC, No. 06-3619, 2007 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 98330, 2007 WL 6210841 (C.D. Cal. Aug. 31, 2007)............. 10 11 Datamize LLC v. Plumtree Software, Inc., 417 F.3d 1342 (Fed. Cir. 2005)........... 23 12 13 EON Corp. IP Holdings LLC v. AT&T Mobility LLC, 785 F.3d 616 (Fed. 14 Interval Licensing LLC v. AOL, Inc., 766 F.3d 766 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 15 2014)......23, 24 **16** Luminara Worldwide, LLC v. Liown Elecs. Co. Ltd.., 814 F.3d 1343 (Fed. 17 Lydall Thermal/Acoustical, Inc. v. Fed.-Mogul Corp., 344 F. App'x 607 18 19 O2 Micro Int'l Ltd. v. Beyond Innovation Tech. Co., Ltd., 521 F.3d 1351 20 21 22 Poly-America, L.P. v. API Indus., Inc., 839 F.3d 1131 (Fed. Cir. Oct. 14, 2016)...... 23 Prolifiq Software Inc. v. Veeva Sys. Inc., C 13-03644 SI, 2014 WL 3870016 24 Regents of the Univ. of Minn. v. AGA Med. Corp., 717 F.3d 929 (Fed. Cir. **26** 27 IPR2020-00910 28 Dhiling North America LLC EX2015



I. INTRODUCTION

1

2

13

14

15

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

26

27

28

Garmin is the world leader in the manufacture of sophisticated activity 3 tracking and lifestyle devices, devices that bear no resemblance to the Patents-in-Suit, which are drawn to medical devices, archaic technologies, or both. For example, Garmin's state-of-the-art GPS fitness watches are worlds apart from the decades-old '007 Patent, which covers a GPS receiver velcroed to the top of a baseball cap or wired headphones. Dkt. 45-1 ('007 Patent 5:11-14). As a second example, Garmin's accused fitness watches are expressly distinct from the '958 Patent, which "only relate to disease states or conditions of a patient" and "do not 10 relate to exercise parameters." Declaration of Michelle Marriott ("Marriott Dec.") Ex. K (8,712,510 File History, Office Action Response dated October 22, 2012, at p. 17, discussed infra). Further, many of the Patents-in-Suit have expired or are near expiration, further evidencing their outdated subjects.

Philips, by its own admission, doesn't make or sell any products embodying the Patents-in-Suit. Philips is simply engaging in an ongoing rent-seeking campaign. 16 A campaign that failed in the United Kingdom where Garmin invalidated the sister patent to the '007. A campaign that failed in Germany, where Garmin invalidated a sister patent to the two asserted Quy ('377 and '958) patents. And a campaign that Garmin respectfully believes will fail here. The asserted claims are far afield Garmin's cutting-edge fitness watches, as is clear from Philips' proposed claim constructions, which are unmoored from the Patents-in-Suit in an attempt to capture Garmin's truly pioneering technologies.

II. LEGAL AUTHORITY

"It is a bedrock principle of patent law that the claims of a patent define the invention to which the patentee is entitled the right to exclude." Phillips v. AWH Corp., 415 F.3d 1303, 1312 (Fed. Cir. 2005) (en banc, quotation marks excluded).

"[T]he claims themselves provide substantial guidance as to the meaning of

Dhiling North America II



10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1 particular claim terms." *Id.* at 1314. However, the claims "do not stand alone[.]" *Id*. 2 at 1315. They are part of "a fully integrated written instrument," consisting 3 principally of a specification that concludes with the claims[,]" and must therefore "be read in view of the specification[.]" *Id*. Moreover, patentees are precluded from recapturing through claim interpretation specific meanings disclaimed during prosecution. Aylus Networks, Inc. v. Apple Inc., 856 F.3d 1353, 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2017). When the patentee unequivocally and unambiguously disavows a certain meaning to obtain a patent, the doctrine of prosecution history disclaimer narrows the meaning of the claim consistent with the scope of the claim surrendered. *Id*.

Secondary to the intrinsic evidence, "we have also authorized district courts to rely on extrinsic evidence, which consists of all evidence external to the patent and prosecution history, including expert and inventor testimony, dictionaries, and learned treatises." *Phillips*, at 1317. But "while extrinsic evidence 'can shed useful light on the relevant art," it is "less significant than the intrinsic record in determining 'the legally operative meaning of claim language'." *Id*.

"When the parties present a fundamental dispute regarding the scope of a claim term, it is the court's duty to resolve it." O2 Micro Int'l Ltd. v. Beyond Innovation Tech. Co., Ltd., 521 F.3d 1351, 1362 (Fed. Cir. 2008).

III. GARMIN'S PROPOSED CONSTRUCTIONS

US Patent No. 6,013,007

The '007 Patent, filed more than twenty-two (22) years ago, covers a portable system comprising a GPS receiver attached to a hat or headphones and a Walkman® like unit clipped to the waist of a runner or other athlete. The archaic unit has no wifi or internet capability:

25

24

26

27

28

Dhiline North America I I



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

