

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

COREPHOTONICS, LTD.,
Patent Owner.

Case No. IPR2020-00906
U.S. Patent No. 10,225,479

PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE TO
PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT	1
III.	LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART (POSITA)	4
IV.	LEGAL STANDARDS	5
V.	OVERVIEW OF THE '479 PATENT	6
VI.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	9
A.	“to find translations between matching points in the images to calculate depth information and to create a fused image suited for portrait photos” (claim 19)	9
VII.	OVERVIEW OF SELECTED PRIOR ART	12
A.	Parulski.....	12
B.	Kawamura	15
C.	Ogata	29
VIII.	OBVIOUSNESS.....	36
A.	Claims 19 and 20 Are Not Obvious Over the Combination of Parulski, Ogata, Kawamura, and Soga (Ground 1).....	36
1.	A POSITA Would Not Have Been Motivated to Use the Ogata and Kawamura Lens Designs with Parulski	36
2.	A POSITA Would Not Have Scaled Kawamura to Use in Parulski.....	39
3.	A POSITA Would Not Have Scaled Ogata to Use in Parulski	57

4.	Apple Has Not Shown that the Limitation “to find translations between matching points in the images to calculate depth information and to create a fused image suited for portrait photos” Is Satisfied Under Its Proper Construction	59
B.	Claims 21 and 22 Are Not Obvious Over the Combination of Parulski, Ogata, Kawamura, Soga, and Morgan-Mar (Ground 2)	66
IX.	SECONDARY CONSIDERATIONS / OBJECTIVE INDICIA OF NON-OBVIOUSNESS	68
A.	Industry Praise / Licensing	70
B.	Commercial Success	77
C.	Failure of Others / Copying	78
X.	CONCLUSION	80

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

<i>Ariosa Diagnostics v. Verinata Health, Inc.</i> , 805 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	5
<i>Graham v. John Deere Co. of Kansas City</i> , 383 U.S. 1 (1966).	69
<i>Harmonic Inc. v. Avid Tech., Inc.</i> , 815 F.3d 1356 (Fed. Cir.2016)	5
<i>Heidelberger Druckmaschinen AG v. Hantscho Commercial Products, Inc.</i> , 21 F.3d 1068 (Fed. Cir. 1994)	79
<i>In re Magnum Oil Tools Int’l, Ltd.</i> , 829 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	5
<i>Mintz v. Dietz & Watson, Inc.</i> , 679 F.3d 1372 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	69
<i>SAS Inst., Inc. v. Iancu</i> , 138 S. Ct. 1348 (2018)	5
<i>Wasica Finance GMBH v. Continental Auto. Systems</i> , 853 F.3d 1272 (Fed. Cir. 2017)	6

PATENT OWNER'S EXHIBIT LIST

<i>Exhibit No</i>	<i>Description</i>
2001	Declaration of John C. Hart, Ph.D.
2002	Fredo Durand, Presentation Titled "Photography 101"
2003	Curriculum Vitae of John C. Hart, Ph.D.
2004	Complaint for Patent Infringement, Dkt. No. 1, Case No. 19-cv-4809 (United States District Court, Northern District of California)
2005	Answer to Complaint for Patent Infringement, Dkt. No. 17, Case No. 19-cv-4809 (United States District Court, Northern District of California)
2006	Corephotonics Proposal: "Dual Aperture Image Fusion Technology, Proposed Engagement Framework" (June 22, 2014)
2007	Email chain with emails dating from July and August 2014
2008	Email chain with emails dating from March 2015
2009	Email dated December 21, 2015
2010	Email chain with emails dating from August 2016
2011	Email dated May 23, 2013
2012	Email dated May 23, 2013
2013	Declaration of Eran Kali
2014	Transcript of January 21, 2021 Video-Recorded Deposition of Fredo Durand, Ph.D.
2015	Declaration of Duncan Moore, Ph.D.
2016	Rudolf Kingslake, "Optics in Photography" (1992)
2017	Curriculum Vitae of Duncan Moore, Ph.D.
2018	Email chain with emails dating from June and July 2013
2019	Email chain with emails dating from June and July 2013
2020	Email chain with emails dating from October 2013
2021	Technology Evaluation Agreement dated August 8, 2013
2022	Email chain with emails dating from September 18, 2013
2023	Email dated May 21, 2014
2024	Reserved
2025	Reserved
2026	Deposition transcript of José Sasián, November 9, 2020
2027	José Sasián, Introduction to Lens Design (2019), hardcopy

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.