

Paper No. _____

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

COREPHOTONICS, LTD.,
Patent Owner

IPR2020-00897
Patent No. 10,324,277

PETITIONER'S REPLY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. Introduction.....1

II. Claims 1-3 and 5-8 are obvious over Ogino’s Example 4 in view of Bateau.....1

 A. A POSITA would have been motivated to modify Ogino’s Example 4 as shown.....1

 1. Dr. Sasián used the same techniques that a POSITA would have used to generate the modified Ogino Example 4.2

 B. The modified Example 4 does not include overlapping lenses7

 C. Manufacturing considerations are not required by the claims nor can they be imported to avoid unpatentability.9

 1. Patent Owner seeks to import manufacturing requirements into the claims where there are none.9

 2. Patent Owner’s arguments contradict its statements in a related case that lens design is separate from manufacturing.11

 3. Manufacturing considerations are preferences, and do not show that lenses cannot be physically produced.....13

 4. The modified Example 4 would be suitable for applications outside of large-scale manufacturing.14

 5. Whether a prior art lens design is “finished” is not relevant.....15

 D. The claims do not include relative illumination requirements.....16

III. Claims 11-17 are obvious over Ogino’s Example 5 in view of Bateau (first modification).17

A. A POSITA would have been motivated to generate the first modified Example 5 as discussed in the Petition.18

B. The first modified Example 5 lens assembly does not include overlapping lenses.21

C. Manufacturing considerations are not required by claims 11-17 nor can they be imported to avoid unpatentability.22

D. A POSITA could have further modified Example 5 to meet Patent Owner’s “manufacturing” requirements.24

E. Petitioner does not rely on a combination of two modifications of Ogino’s Example 5.27

F. Patent Owner’s arguments about differences in relative illumination plots for the Example 5 are irrelevant.28

IV. Claims 1-10 and 18-24 are obvious over Ogino’s Example 5 in view of Bareau (second modification).30

A. A POSITA would have been motivated to generate the second modified Example 5 as discussed in the Petition.....30

B. Manufacturing considerations are not required by the claims, nor can they be imported to avoid unpatentability.35

V. Conclusion37

VI. Certificate of Word Count38

PETITIONER'S EXHIBIT LIST

Updated: June 21, 2021

APPL-1001	U.S. Patent No. 10,324,277
APPL-1002	Prosecution History of U.S. Patent No. 10,324,277
APPL-1003	Declaration of José Sasián, Ph.D., under 37 C.F.R. § 1.68
APPL-1004	Curriculum Vitae of José Sasián
APPL-1005	U.S. Patent No. 9,128,267 to Ogino et al. ("Ogino")
APPL-1006	Warren J. Smith, MODERN LENS DESIGN (1992) ("Smith")
APPL-1007	William S. Beich et al., "Polymer Optics: A manufacturer's perspective on the factors that contribute to successful programs," SPIE Proceedings Volume 7788, Polymer Optics Design, Fabrication, and Materials (August 12, 2010), https://doi.org/10.1117/12.861364 ("Beich")
APPL-1008	U.S. Patent No. 7,777,972 to Chen et al. ("Chen")
APPL-1009	Reserved
APPL-1010	Max Born et al., PRINCIPLES OF OPTICS, 6 th Ed. (1980) ("Born")
APPL-1011	Prosecution history of U.S. Patent No. 9,128,267 to Ogino
APPL-1012	Jane Bateau et al., "The optics of miniature digital camera modules," SPIE Proceedings Volume 6342, <i>International Optical Design Conference 2006</i> ; 63421F (2006) https://doi.org/10.1117/12.692291 ("Bateau")
APPL-1013	Rudolf Kingslake, OPTICS IN PHOTOGRAPHY (1992) ("Kingslake")
APPL-1014	U.S. Patent No. 7,859,588 to Parulski et al. ("Parulski")
APPL-1015	Japanese Patent Pub. No. JP2013106289 to Konno et al. and certified English translation

APPL-1016	Bruce J. Walker, OPTICAL ENGINEERING FUNDAMENTALS (1995) (“Walker”)
APPL-1017	Robert E. Fischer, Optical System Design (2008) (“Fischer”)
APPL-1018	Michael P. Schaub, THE DESIGN OF PLASTIC OPTICAL SYSTEMS (2009) (“Schaub”)
APPL-1019	Optical Society of America, HANDBOOK OF OPTICS, vol. II 2nd ed. (1995) (“Handbook of Optics”)
APPL-1020	U.S. Patent No. 10,324,273 to Chen et al. (“Chen”)
APPL-1021	U.S. Patent No. 9,857,568
APPL-1022	U.S. Patent No. 9,568,712
APPL-1023	Deposition Transcript of Duncan Moore, Ph.D. in IPR2018-01140
APPL-1024	U.S. Patent No. 7,321,475 to Wang et al.
APPL-1025	U.S. Patent No. 8,508,648 to Kubota et al.
APPL-1026	Reserved
APPL-1027	Email from Patent Owner’s counsel authorizing electronic service
APPL-1028 (NEW)	Deposition Transcript of Tom Milster, Ph.D.
APPL-1029 (NEW)	IPR2019-00030, Paper 21
APPL-1030 (NEW)	IPR2019-00030, Ex. 2005
APPL-1031	Reserved
APPL-1032	Reserved
APPL-1033	Reserved
APPL-1034	Reserved

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.