### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC., Petitioner,

v.

COREPHOTONICS, LTD., Patent Owner.

Case No. IPR2020-00897 U.S. Patent No. 10,324,277

DECLARATION OF TOM D. MILSTER, Ph.D. PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.68



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	BACKGROUND
II.	SUMMARY OF OPINIONS
III.	EDUCATIONAL AND EMPLOYMENT BACKGROUND
IV.	LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART (POSITA) 10
V.	RELEVANT LEGAL STANDARDS FOR OBVIOUSNESS 13
VI.	OVERVIEW OF THE '277 PATENT
A.	Overview of the '277 Patent
В.	Multiple Element Lens Design
VII.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION
VIII.	PRIOR ART REFERENCES
A.	Ogino28
В.	Bareau
IX.	OBVIOUSNESS OF THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS 39
A.	GROUND 1 – The Petition Fails to Demonstrate that Claims 1-3 and 5-8 are Unpatentable Over the Combination of Ogino Example 4 and Bareau
	1. A POSITA would not have been motivated to modify the teachings of Example 4 of Ogino with those of Bareau to arrive at the invention of claims 1-3 and 5-8 of the '277 patent 40



F	3.	GROUND 2 – The Petition Fails to Demonstrate that Claims 1-24 are Unpatentable Over the Combination of Ogino Example 5 and Bareau.	
	1.	The Petition Fails to Demonstrate that Claims 11-17 are Unpatentable Over the First Modification of Ogino Example 5	
		in view of Bareau5	4
	2.	The Petition Fails to Demonstrate that Claims 1-10 and 18-24 are Unpatentable Over the Second Modification of Ogino	
		Example 5 in view of Bareau6	3
X	D	FCLARATION 6	6



Case Nos. IPR2020-00897 U.S. Patent No. 10,324,277

#### I. BACKGROUND

- 1. I have been retained as a technical expert by Patent Owner Corephotonics Ltd. ("Patent Owner" or "Corephotonics") in this proceeding. Corephotonics has asked me to provide my expert opinions concerning certain technical aspects of imaging system design as they relate to the Petitioner Apple Inc.'s petition for *inter partes* review of U.S. Patent 10,324,277 ("'277 patent") in Case No. IPR2020-00897 ("897 IPR") and the accompanying Declaration of Dr. Jose Sasián. In particular, I have been asked to respond to Dr. Sasián's opinions set forth in his declaration, Ex. 1003 in this IPR.
- 2. The statements in this declaration summarize my opinions on these matters based on my forty years of study and research of imaging systems, my education, knowledge, skills, and my review and analysis of the materials referenced herein.
- 3. My work in this matter is being billed at the rate of \$625 per hour. I am also being reimbursed for reasonable and customary expenses associated with my work and testimony in this investigation. My compensation is not contingent on the outcome of this matter or the substance of my testimony.

### II. SUMMARY OF OPINIONS

4. In the preparation of this declaration, I have reviewed:



Case Nos. IPR2020-00897 U.S. Patent No. 10,324,277

- The '277 patent (Ex. 1001)
- Prosecution history of the '277 patent (Ex. 1002)
- The declarations of Dr. Jose Sasián (Ex. 1003)
- The curriculum vitae of Dr. Jose Sasián (Ex. 1004)
- U.S. Patent No. 9,128,267 ("Ogino") (Ex. 1005)
- Warren J. Smith, Modern Lens Design (1992) ("Smith") (Ex. 1006)
- William S. Beich, et al., "Polymer Optics: A manufacturer's perspective on the factors that contribute to successful programs," SPIE Proceedings Volume 7788, Polymer Optics Design, Fabrication, and Materials (August 12, 2010), https://doi.org/10.1117/12.861364 ("Beich") (Ex. 1007)
- U.S. Patent No. 7,777,972 to Chen ("Chen") (Ex. 1008)
- Max Born et al., PRINCIPLES OF OPTICS, 6<sup>th</sup> Ed. (1980) ("Born") (Ex. 1010)
- Prosecution history of U.S. Patent No. 9,128,267 to Ogino (Ex. 1011)
- Jane Bareau et al., "The optics of miniature digital camera modules," SPIE Proceedings Volume 6342, *International Optical Design Conference 2006*; 63421F (2006) https://doi.org/10.1117/12.692291 ("Bareau") (Ex. 1012)
- Rudolf Kingslake, OPTICS IN PHOTOGRAPHY (1992) ("Kingslake") (Ex. 1013)
- U.S. Patent No. 7,859,588 to Parulski et al. ("Parulski") (Ex. 1014)
- Japanese Patent Pub. No. JP2013106289 to Konno et al. and certified English translation ("Konno") (Ex. 1015)



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

