

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.,

Petitioner,

v.

COREPHOTONICS LTD.,

Patent Owner

IPR2020-00896

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF

U.S. PATENT NO. 10,317,647

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION1

II. MANDATORY NOTICES1

 A. Real Party-in-Interest 1

 B. Related Matters..... 1

 C. Lead and Back-up Counsel and Service Information 1

III. GROUNDS FOR STANDING.....2

IV. NOTE REGARDING PAGE CITATIONS AND EMPHASIS.....2

V. OVERVIEW OF THE '647 PATENT3

 A. Summary of the Patent 3

 B. Priority Date of the '647 Patent..... 5

 C. Prosecution History 6

VI. LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART7

VII. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION8

VIII. RELIEF REQUESTED AND THE REASONS FOR THE REQUESTED RELIEF9

IX. IDENTIFICATION OF HOW THE CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE.....9

 A. Challenged Claims 9

 B. Statutory Grounds for Challenge..... 9

 C. Ground 1: Claims 1-3 and 5 are obvious over Iwasaki..... 12

 1. Summary of Iwasaki 12

 2. Claim 1 14

3.	Claim 2	21
4.	Claim 3	21
5.	Claim 5	22
D.	Ground 2: Claims 1 and 4 are obvious over the combination of Ogino and Chen II.	23
1.	Summary of Ogino.....	23
2.	Summary of Chen II.....	24
3.	Reasons to Combine Ogino and Chen II	26
4.	Claim 1	36
5.	Claim 4.....	45
E.	Ground 3: Claims 2, 3, 5, and 8-11 are rendered obvious over the combination of Ogino, Chen II, and Bareau.	46
1.	Summary of Bareau	46
2.	Reasons to combine Ogino and Bareau	47
3.	Claim 2	52
4.	Claim 3	53
5.	Claim 5	54
6.	Claim 8	54
7.	Claim 9	62
8.	Claim 10.....	63
9.	Claim 11	63
F.	Ground 4: Claim 6 is obvious over the combination of Ogino, Chen II, Bareau, and Kingslake	64

1.	Summary of Kingslake	64
2.	Reasons to combine Ogino, Chen II, Bateau, and Kingslake	64
3.	Claim 6	69
G.	Grounds 5 and 6:	71
1.	Summary of Hsieh	71
2.	Summary of Chen	72
3.	Summary of Iwasaki	74
4.	Reasons to combine Chen and Iwasaki.....	75
5.	Summary of Beich	76
6.	Reasons to combine either Hsieh or Chen with Beich	78
7.	Ground 5: Claim 7 is obvious over the combination of Hsieh and Beich.	81
8.	Ground 6: Claim 12 is obvious over the combination of Chen, Iwasaki, and of Beich.	90
X.	CONCLUSION.....	97
	CERTIFICATE OF WORD COUNT.....	98
	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	99

PETITIONER'S EXHIBIT LIST

May 5, 2020

APPL-1001	U.S. Patent No. 10,317,647
APPL-1002	Prosecution History of U.S. Patent No. 10,317,647
APPL-1003	Declaration of José Sasián, Ph.D, under 37 C.F.R. § 1.68
APPL-1004	Curriculum Vitae of José Sasián
APPL-1005	U.S. Patent No. 9,128,267 to Ogino et al. (“Ogino”)
APPL-1006	Warren J. Smith, MODERN LENS DESIGN (1992) (“Smith”)
APPL-1007	William S. Beich et al., “Polymer Optics: A manufacturer’s perspective on the factors that contribute to successful programs,” SPIE Proceedings Volume 7788, Polymer Optics Design, Fabrication, and Materials (August 12, 2010), https://doi.org/10.1117/12.861364 (“Beich”)
APPL-1008	U.S. Patent No. 8,233,224 to Chen (“Chen II”)
APPL-1009	U.S. Patent No. 9,678,310 to Iwasaki et al. (“Iwasaki”)
APPL-1010	Max Born et al., PRINCIPLES OF OPTICS, 6 th Ed. (1980) (“Born”)
APPL-1011	Prosecution history of U.S. Patent No. 9,128,267 to Ogino
APPL-1012	Jane Bateau et al., “The optics of miniature digital camera modules,” SPIE Proceedings Volume 6342, <i>International Optical Design Conference 2006</i> ; 63421F (2006) https://doi.org/10.1117/12.692291 (“Bateau”)
APPL-1013	Rudolf Kingslake, OPTICS IN PHOTOGRAPHY (1992) (“Kingslake”)
APPL-1014	U.S. Patent No. 7,859,588 to Parulski et al. (“Parulski”)
APPL-1015	Japanese Patent Pub. No. JP2013106289 to Konno et al. and certified English translation
APPL-1016	Bruce J. Walker, OPTICAL ENGINEERING FUNDAMENTALS (1995)

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.