

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

APPLE INC.,  
Petitioner,

v.

COREPHOTONICS LTD.,  
Patent Owner

---

**Declaration of José Sasián, PhD**  
**under 37 C.F.R. § 1.68**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I.	INTRODUCTION .....	6
II.	QUALIFICATIONS AND PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE .....	9
III.	LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART .....	13
IV.	RELEVANT LEGAL STANDARDS .....	14
	A. Anticipation.....	15
	B. Obviousness .....	15
V.	OVERVIEW OF THE '647 PATENT .....	17
	A. Summary of the Patent.....	17
	B. Priority Date of the '647 Patent .....	21
	C. Prosecution History of the '647 Patent.....	22
VI.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION .....	23
VII.	IDENTIFICATION OF HOW THE CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE....	24
	A. Claims 1-3 and 5 are obvious over Iwasaki.....	24
	1. Summary of Iwasaki .....	24
	2. Detailed Analysis .....	27
	B. Claims 1 and 4 are obvious over the combination of Ogino .....	42
	1. Summary of Ogino.....	42
	2. Summary of Chen II.....	45
	3. Reasons to combine Ogino and Chen II .....	48
	4. Detailed Analysis .....	58
	C. Claims 2, 3, 5, and 8-11 are obvious over the combination of Ogino, Chen II, and Bareau. ....	72
	1. Summary of Bareau.....	72

2.	Reasons to combine Ogino, Chen II, and Bateau .....	74
3.	Detailed Analysis .....	80
D.	Claim 6 is rendered obvious over the combination of Ogino, Chen II, Bateau, and Kingslake .....	95
1.	Summary of Kingslake.....	95
2.	Reasons to combine Ogino, Bateau, and Kingslake .....	96
3.	Detailed Analysis .....	100
E.	Claim 7 is rendered obvious over the combination of Hsieh and Beich. .....	102
1.	Summary of Hsieh.....	102
2.	Summary of Beich.....	104
3.	Reasons to combine Hsieh and Beich.....	106
4.	Detailed Analysis .....	108
F.	Claim 12 is obvious over the combination of Chen, Iwasaki, and Beich. .....	119
1.	Summary of Chen .....	120
2.	Summary of Iwasaki .....	121
3.	Reasons to combine Chen and Iwasaki.....	122
4.	Reasons to combine Chen and Beich.....	124
5.	Detailed Analysis .....	127
VIII.	CONCLUSION.....	142
IX.	APPENDIX.....	143
A.	Fig. 1: Ogino Example 5 using Zemax (v. 02/14/2011).....	143
1.	Fig. 1A – Ray Trace Diagram.....	143
2.	Fig. 1B – Relative Illumination.....	144

3.	Fig. 1C – Analysis.....	145
1.	Fig. 1D – Prescription Data.....	146
B.	Fig. 2: Ogino Example 5 modified for L2 meniscus shape using Zemax (v. 02/14/2011).....	147
1.	Fig. 2A – Ray Trace Diagram.....	147
2.	Fig. 2B – Relative Illumination at F/3.94 at CRA=31.8° .....	148
3.	Fig. 2C – Analysis.....	149
4.	Fig. 2D – Prescription Data.....	150
C.	Fig. 3: Ogino Example 5 modified for meniscus L2 and F#=2.8 using Zemax (v. 02/14/2011) .....	151
1.	Fig. 3A – Ray Trace Diagram.....	151
2.	Fig. 3B – Relative Illumination at F/2.8 and CRA=37.9° .....	152
3.	Fig. 3C – Analysis.....	153
4.	Fig. 3D – Prescription Data.....	154
D.	Fig. 4: Ogino Example 5 modified for second meniscus lens, F#=2.8, and D7 distance adjusted for D7/f<0.2 using Zemax (v. 02/14/2011)	155
1.	Fig. 4A – Ray Trace Diagram.....	155
2.	Fig. 4B – Relative Illumination at F/2.8 and CRA 30.1°.....	156
3.	Fig. 4C – Analysis.....	157
4.	Fig. 4D – Prescription Data.....	158
E.	Fig. 5: Ogino Example 5 modified for meniscus L2 and F#=2.45 using Zemax (v. 02/14/2011) .....	159
1.	Fig. 5A – Ray Trace Diagram.....	159
2.	Fig. 5B – Relative Illumination.....	160
3.	Fig. 5C – Analysis.....	161

4.	Fig. 5D – Prescription Data.....	162
F.	Fig. 6: Hsieh Example 1 using Zemax (v. 02/14/2011).....	163
1.	Fig. 6A – Ray Trace Diagram.....	163
2.	Fig. 6B – Relative Illumination.....	164
3.	Fig. 6C – Analysis.....	165
4.	Fig. 6D – Prescription Data.....	166
5.	Fig. 6E – Edge Data .....	167
G.	Fig. 7: Chen Example 1 modified with 0.145 mm IR filter using Zemax (v. 02/14/2011).....	168
1.	Fig. 7A – Ray Trace Diagram.....	168
2.	Fig. 7B – Relative Illumination.....	169
3.	Fig. 7C – Analysis.....	170
4.	Fig. 7D – Prescription Data.....	171
5.	Fig. 7E – Edge Data .....	172
H.	Fig. 8: Iwasaki Example 1 using Zemax (v. 02/14/2011) .....	173
1.	Fig. 8A – Ray Trace Diagram.....	173
2.	Fig. 8B – Prescription Data.....	174

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.