## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

CHERVON (HK) LIMITED, CHERVON NORTH AMERICA INC.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

C.A. No. 19-1293-LPS

ONE WORLD TECHNOLOGIES, INC., TECHTRONIC INDUSTRIES CO. LTD.,

Defendants.

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

#### **DEFENDANTS' INITIAL INVALIDITY CONTENTIONS**

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to Paragraph 4(d) of the Delaware Default Standard for Discovery, Defendants One World Technologies, Inc. and Techtronic Industries Co. Ltd. ("Defendants") submit their initial invalidity contentions with respect to the asserted claims identified by Plaintiffs Chervon (HK) Ltd. and Chervon North America Inc. ("Plaintiffs") in their February 21, 2020 Initial Infringement Contentions ("infringement contentions") as to U.S. Patent Nos. 9,060,463 ("the '463 patent"); 9,596,806 ("the '806 patent"); 9,826,686 ("the '26686 patent"); 9,986,686 ("the '86686 patent"); 10,070,588 ("the '588 patent"); 9,648,805 ("the '805 patent"); 10,477,772 ("the '772 patent"); 10,485,176 ("the '176 patent"); and 10,524,420 ("the '420 patent") (the "asserted patents"). With respect to the asserted claims and based on their investigation to date,

Defendants: (1) identify each item of prior art that anticipates or renders obvious each asserted

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Plaintiffs' infringement contentions assert '463 patent, claims 1-3 and 12-13; '806 patent, claims 1-4, 6-9, and 11-13; '26686 patent, claims 1-20; '86686 patent, claims 1-20; '588 patent, claims 1-12, 15-17, and 19-21; '805 patent, claim 1; '772 patent, claims 1-11 and 16-18; '176 patent, claims 1-16 and 19-30; and '420 patent, claims 1-2 and 6-15 (the "asserted claims")—a total of 129 asserted claims.



claim; (2) specify whether each item of identified prior art anticipates or renders obvious (either alone or in combination) each asserted claim; (3) submit charts identifying where in each item of prior art each limitation of each asserted claim is found; and (4) identify grounds of invalidity based on 35 U.S.C. §§ 101 and 112 for certain of the asserted claims.

#### II. RESERVATION OF RIGHTS

Defendants' invalidity contentions are subject to amendment and/or revision in light of Defendants' ongoing investigation and discovery into the prior art, the defenses asserted by Defendants, the Court's claim construction rulings, and/or expert discovery. Discovery is not complete, and Defendants' search for and analysis of prior art is ongoing. Defendants also reserve the right to amend these contentions if Plaintiffs amend their infringement contentions and/or produce any information they should have provided with their infringement contentions. Defendants also reserve the right to contest Plaintiffs' understanding or interpretation of any claim limitations during the claim construction phase of this case, or thereafter.

Defendants' claim charts cite particular teachings, disclosures and figures of the prior art as applied the asserted claims. The cited portions are examples, and Defendants reserve the right to rely on uncited portions of the prior art, and on other publications, expert testimony, and other evidence as aids in understanding and interpreting the cited portions. Defendant's cited prior art may disclose the limitations of the asserted claims explicitly or inherently, and may be cited to show the state of the art at the relevant time. Furthermore, for each claim limitation Defendants contend is indefinite, Defendants have used their best efforts to interpret the claims to chart a given prior reference against that indefinite claim limitation.

Defendants reserve the right to contend that the asserted claims are invalid under 35 U.S.C. § 102(f) if discovery demonstrates that the named inventors did not invent the subject



matter claimed in the asserted patents. Defendants also reserve the right to challenge the asserted claims under 35 U.S.C. § 112 on any grounds, including indefiniteness, lack of written description, lack of enablement, or failure to disclose the best mode.

#### III. IDENTIFICATION OF PRIOR ART

Pursuant to Paragraph 4(d) of the Delaware Electronic Discovery Default Standard,
Defendants identify below each item of prior art that they contend anticipate and/or render
obvious each asserted claim. For each United States or foreign patent reference listed, the
identification of the reference includes corresponding patents and applications filed in other
countries pursuant to the Patent Cooperation Treaty. For prior art systems, the identification of
the system includes the physical system and all related documentation describing that system.
Additionally, for each Asserted Patent, the prior art and foreign priority references cited in the
prosecution histories of the Asserted Patent, its continuations, its ancestors, and any foreign
counterparts are expressly incorporated by reference herein.

#### A. Prior Art for the '463 Patent.

Prior Art References for the '463 Patent
Akiba - US Patent No. 4,899,446
ANSI B71.1-2012
DE 3 827 926
Funabashi - JP 2013 165676
Guoxiong - CN 201 146 132 Y
Hesson - US Patent No. 6,006,434
Hurst - US Patent Application Publication No. 2006/096135
JP-H 0584102U
Keesee - US Patent No. 3,702,016
Legendre - FR 2,780,375
Letzel - US Patent No. 4,659,884
Lindermeir - EP 2 425 700
Matsunaga - US Patent No. 8,098,036
Reichart - GB 2,386,813



#### Prior Art References for the '463 Patent

Scott - US Patent No. 3.230,695

CN'551 - CN 202 019 551 U

Tseng - US Patent Application Publication No. 2009/293655

Wick - US Patent No. 4,573,307

#### Prior Art Products or Systems for the '463 Patent

Sun Joe SB600E electric trimmer

Homelite UT41110 electric trimmer

Greenworks 21212 electric string trimmer

Earthwise CST00012 electric string trimmer

Greenworks 21052 electric string trimmer

Ryobi RY14110 electric lawn mower

Ryobi RY40100 electric lawn mower

Neuton CE6 electric lawn mower

Cub Cadet CC 500 BAT electric lawn mower

Black and Decker CM2040 electric lawn mower

Toro e-Cycler 20360 electric lawn mower

Recharge Ultralite PMLI-14 electric lawn mower

Worx WG789 electric lawn mower

# B. Prior Art for the '806 Patent Family (the '806, '26686, '86686, '588, '772, and '176 Patents).

#### **Prior Art References for the '806 Patent Family**

Abe - US Patent Application Publication No. 2012/317948

Adolfsson - WO 2012/115543

Akiba - US Patent No. 4,899,446

ANSI B71.1-2012

Braun - US Patent No. 5,020,308

CN'186 - CN 102 845 186 A

CN'363 - CN 202 873 363 U

Frey - US Patent No. 1,899,564

Fujioka - US Patent No. 4,882,897

Fuku - JP 2009 034000

Fuku II - JP 2011 072211

Fuku III - JP 2013 153753

Guoxiong - CN 201 146 132 Y



Prior Art References for the '806 Patent Family

Hayashi - JP 2013 063052

Hayashi II - JP 2013 066401A

Hilchey - US Patent No. 4,476,643

Huang - CN 2009 53749Y

Jonischus - US Patent No. 5,558,210

Joseph - US Patent No. 6,698,173

Kalleicher - EP 0 047 416

Kitamura - JP-H 05284834

Kober - EP 1 543 711

Langdon - US Patent No. 5,209,051

Li - CN 202 455 826 U

Matsunaga - US Patent No. 8,098,036

Meldahl - US Patent No. 3,253,391

Milcoy - US Patent No. 3,823,291

Nakano - WO 2013/122,266

Nofel - US Patent No. 4,161,639

Nottingham - US Patent Application Publication No. 2006/075732

Oka - JP-H 0530835

Outils Moteurs - FR 2,768,300

Owens - US Patent No. 4,221,108

Park - US Patent Application Publication No. 2011/302895

Reichart - GB 2,386,813

Reichart II - DE 102004020985A1

Roelle - US Patent No. 4,753,062

Schantz - US Patent No. 3,209,887

Smith - US Patent No. 2,702,448

Takeda - EP 2 622 953

CN'817 - CN 102 523 817 A

#### Prior Art Products or Systems for the '806 Patent Family

Sun Joe SB600E electric trimmer

Homelite UT41110 electric trimmer

Greenworks 21212 electric string trimmer

Earthwise CST00012 electric string trimmer

Greenworks 21052 electric string trimmer

Ryobi RY14110 electric lawn mower



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

### **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

### **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

#### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

