UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC., Petitioner,

v.

COREPHOTONICS LTD.,
Patent Owner

U.S. Patent No. 10,330,897

Declaration of José Sasián, Ph.D. under 37 C.F.R. § 1.68



TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INT	FRODUCTION5					
II.	QU	ALIFICATIONS AND PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE					
III.	LE	VEL	VEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART12				
IV.	RELEVANT LEGAL STANDARDS						
	A.	An	ticipation	14			
	B.	Ob	viousness	14			
V.	OVERVIEW OF THE '897 PATENT						
	A.	Summary of the Patent15					
	B.	Pric	ority Date of the '897 Patent	19			
	C.	Prosecution History of the '897 Patent20					
VI.	. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION						
VII.	IDENTIFICATION OF HOW THE CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE2						
	A.	Cla	ims 1, 4, 9-15, 17, 20, and 25-29 are anticipated by Ogino	23			
		1.	Summary of Ogino	23			
		2.	Detailed Analysis	26			
	B.		ims 2, 5, 6, 18, and 21-23 are obvious over the combination of ino and Bareau.	54			
		1.	Summary of Bareau	54			
		2.	Reasons to combine Ogino and Bareau	56			
		3.	Detailed Analysis	61			
	C.		ims 3, 8, 19, and 24 are obvious over the combination of Ogino, reau, and Kingslake.	67			
		1.	Summary of Kingslake	67			



		2.	Reasons to combine Ogino, Bareau, and Kingslake	67
		3.	Detailed Analysis	72
	D.		ims 16 and 30 are obvious over the combination of Chen, Iwasa Beich.	
		1.	Summary of Chen	76
		2.	Summary of Iwasaki	77
		3.	Reasons to combine Chen and Iwasaki	79
		4.	Summary of Beich	80
		5.	Reasons to combine Chen and Beich	82
		6.	Detailed Analysis	85
VIII.	CO	NCL	USION	100
IX.	APPENDIX			
	A.	Ogi	no Example 5 using Zemax (v. 02/14/2011)	101
		1.	Fig. 1A – Ray Trace Diagram	101
		2.	Fig. 1B – Relative Illumination	102
		3.	Fig. 1C – Analysis	103
	B.	Ogi	no Example 5 modified for F#=2.8 using Zemax (v. 02/14/2011	1)104
		1.	Fig. 2A – Ray Trace Diagram	104
		2.	Fig. 2B – Relative Illumination	105
		3.	Fig. 2C – Analysis	106
		4.	Fig. 2D – Prescription Data	107
	C.	Ogi	ino Example 5 modified for F#=2.45 using Zemax (v. 02/14/201	
		1.	Fig. 3A – Ray Trace Diagram	108



	2.	Fig. 3B – Relative Illumination	109
	3.	Fig. 3C – Analysis	110
	4.	Fig. 3D – Prescription Data	111
D.		en Example 1 modified with 0.145 mm IR filter using Zemax (v. 14/2011)	
	1.	Fig. 4A – Ray Trace Diagram	112
	2.	Fig. 4B – Relative Illumination	113
	3.	Fig. 4C – Analysis	114
	4.	Fig. 4D – Prescription Data	115
	5.	Fig. 4E – Edge Data	116



I. INTRODUCTION

- 1. I am making this declaration at the request of Apple Inc. in the matter of the *inter partes* review of U.S. Patent No. 10,330,897 ("the '897 Patent") to Dror, *et al*.
- 2. I am being compensated for my work in this matter at the rate of \$525/hour. I am also being reimbursed for reasonable and customary expenses associated with my work and testimony in this investigation. My compensation is not contingent on the outcome of this matter or the specifics of my testimony.
- 3. I have been asked to provide my opinions regarding whether the claims of the '897 Patent are unpatentable because they would have been either anticipated or obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art ("POSITA") at the time of the alleged invention, in light of the prior art. After a careful analysis it is my opinion that all of the limitations of claims 1-6 and 8-30 would have been either anticipated or obvious to a POSITA.
 - **4.** In the preparation of this declaration, I have reviewed:
 - The '897 Patent, APPL-1001;
 - The prosecution history of the '897 Patent, APPL-1002;
 - U.S. Patent No. 9,128,267 to Ogino et al. ("Ogino"), APPL-1005;
 - Warren J. Smith, Modern Lens Design (1992) ("Smith"), APPL-1006;



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

