

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

GOOGLE LLC, SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., SAMSUNG
ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., LG ELECTRONICS INC., and LG
ELECTRONICS U.S.A., INC.,
Petitioners,

v.

PARUS HOLDINGS INC.,
Patent Owner.

Case No. TBD
Patent No. 7,076,431

PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW
UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.1 et seq

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	vi
LISTING OF EXHIBITS.....	ix
MANDATORY NOTICES	xi
A. Real Party-In-Interest	xi
B. Related Matters.....	xi
1. U.S. District Court for the Western District of Texas	xi
2. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	xi
C. Counsel and Service Information - § 42.8(b)(3) and (4).....	xiii
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. GROUNDS FOR STANDING.....	1
III. GROUNDS FOR UNPATENTABILITY	1
IV. '431 PATENT.....	2
A. Technology	2
B. Prosecution History	5
C. Challenged Claims	5
V. PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	5
VI. CLAIM INTERPRETATION	6
VII. EXPLANATION OF GROUNDS.....	6
A. Ground 1: Claims 1-2, 4-7, 10, and 13-14 Would Have Been Obvious over Kovatch in View of Neal	6
1. Kovatch's Disclosure	6
2. Kovatch Is 102(e) Prior Art	8
3. The Kovatch/Neal Combination	12
4. Claim 1	16
a. [1pre].....	16
(1) "A system for retrieving information from pre- selected web sites".....	16

(2)	“by uttering speech commands into a voice enabled device”	17
(3)	“and for providing to users retrieved information in an audio form via said voice enabled device”	17
b.	[1.a] “a computer... operatively connected to the internet”	18
c.	[1.b] “a voice enabled device operatively connected to said computer,...[and] configured to receive speech commands from users”	18
d.	[1.c] “at least one speaker-independent speech recognition device... operatively connected to said computer and to said voice enabled device”	19
e.	[1.d] “at least one speech synthesis device... operatively connected to said computer and to said voice enabled device”	20
f.	[1.e]	21
(1)	“at least one instruction set [associated with said computer] for identifying said information to be retrieved”	21
(2)	“said instruction set comprising: a plurality of pre-selected web site addresses, each... identifying a web site containing said information to be retrieved”	22
g.	[1.f].....	24
(1)	“at least one recognition grammar associated with said computer”	24
(2)	“each said recognition grammar corresponding to each said instruction set and corresponding to a speech command... comprising an information request selectable by the user	25
h.	[1.g] “said speaker-independent speech recognition device configured to receive from users via said voice enabled device said speech command and to select the corresponding recognition grammar upon receiving said speech command”	29

i.	[1.h] “said computer configured to retrieve said instruction set corresponding to said recognition grammar selected by said speaker-independent speech recognition device”	31
j.	[1.i] “said computer further configured to access at least one of said plurality of web sites identified by said instruction set to obtain said information to be retrieved”	32
k.	[1.j] “said computer configured to first access said first web site... and, if said information to be retrieved is not found...,... sequentially access said plurality of web sites until said information to be retrieved is found or until said plurality of web sites has been accessed”	32
l.	[1.k] “said speech synthesis device configured to produce an audio message containing any retrieved information from said pre-selected web sites, and... transmit said audio message to said users via said voice enabled device”	34
5.	Claim 2: “said internet is the Internet”	34
6.	Claim 4: “said voice enabled device is a standard telephone, [other devices], or any other device capable of transmitting said audio message”	34
7.	Claim 5: “said speaker-independent speech recognition device is configured to analyze phonemes to recognize said speech commands”	35
8.	Claim 6: “said speaker-independent speech recognition device is configured to recognize naturally spoken speech commands”	36
9.	Claim 7: “said instruction set further comprises a content descriptor associated with each said web site address...[and] pre-defining a portion of said web site containing said information to be retrieved”	36
10.	Claim 10: “said instruction set further comprises a ranking associated with each said web site address,... indicating the	

order in which the plurality of pre-selected web sites are accessed.”	38
11. Claim 13: “said computer is configured to access said plurality of web sites in order of ranking to retrieve said information requested by said user,...[and] to first access said web site having the highest ranking”	38
12. Claim 14: “a database operatively connected to said computer,...[and] configured to store said information gathered from said web sites in response to said information requests.”	39
B. Ground 2: All Challenged Claims Would Have Been Obvious over Kovatch in View of Neal and Chakrabarti	39
1. Chakrabarti	39
2. The Kovatch/Neal/Chakrabarti Combination	41
3. Claim 9: “said computer is further configured to periodically search said internet to identify new web sites and to add said new web sites to said plurality of web sites.”	44
4. All Challenged Claims	44
C. Ground 3: Claim 14 Would Have Been Obvious over Kovatch in View of Neal and DeSimone	45
1. DeSimone	45
2. The Kovatch/Neal/DeSimone Combination	46
D. Ground 4: Claim 14 Would Have Been Obvious over Kovatch in View of Neal, Chakrabarti, and DeSimone	49
E. Ground 5: Claim 9 Would Have Been Obvious Over Kurganov-262 in View of Chakrabarti	50
1. Claim 9 Is Not Entitled to the ’431 Patent’s Priority Claim	50
a. The “First Embodiment” Does Not Provide Written Description for Claim 9	51
b. The “Second Embodiment” Does Not Provide Written Description for Claim 9	51
c. Written Description Cannot Be Found by Plucking Elements from the Different Embodiments	53

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.