| UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE |
|---|
| BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD  |
|   |
| FITBIT, INC. <sup>1</sup>                 |
| Petitioner                                |
|   |
| V.  |
| PHILIPS NORTH AMERICA LLC Patent Owner    |
|   |
| Case No. IPR2020-00783 <sup>2</sup>       |
| Patent No. 7,088,233                      |
|   |

PETITIONERS' NOTICE OF CROSS-APPEAL

<sup>&</sup>quot;Garmin"), who filed a petition in IPR2020-00910, have been joined as petitioners in this proceeding.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Fitbit, Inc. is now Fitbit LLC.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Garmin International, Inc., Garmin USA, Inc., and Garmin Ltd. (collectively,

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 90.2(a), that Petitioners

Fitbit LLC ("Fitbit") and Garmin International, Inc., Garmin USA, Inc., and

Garmin Ltd. (collectively, "Garmin") cross-appeals to the United States Court of

Appeals for the Federal Circuit from the Final Written Decision entered on

October 4, 2021 (Paper 34) (the "Final Written Decision") by the United States

Patent and Trademark Office, Patent Trial and Appeal Board (the "Board"), and

from all underlying orders, decisions, rulings, and opinions. A copy of the Final

Written Decision is attached.

This Notice of Cross-Appeal is timely because it was filed within 14 days after the date when Patent Owner Philips North America LLC's December 3, 2021 Notice of Appeal (Paper 35) was filed. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 90.3(a)(1); Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(3).

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 90.2(a)(3)(ii), Fitbit and Garmin indicate that the issues on cross-appeal include, but are not limited to, the Board's ruling that Fitbit and Garmin did not prove by a preponderance of the evidence that claim 14 of U.S. Patent No. 7,088,233 is unpatentable over the prior art, and any findings or determinations supporting or related to that ruling including, without limitation, the Board's construction and application of the claim language, the Board's interpretation of the prior art, and the Board's interpretation of the evidence.



Simultaneous with this submission, a copy of this Notice of Cross-Appeal is being filed with the Board. In addition, the Notice of Cross-Appeal and the required fee are being filed electronically with the Clerk of Court for the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: December 17, 2021

By: /Naveen Modi/

Naveen Modi (Reg. No. 46,224)

Paul Hastings LLP

Counsel for Fitbit LLC

By: /Adam P. Seitz/

Adam P. Seitz (Reg. No. 52,206)

Erise IP, P.A.

Counsel for Garmin International, Inc., Garmin USA, Inc., and Garmin Ltd.



Paper 34 Entered: October 4, 2021

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD \_\_\_\_\_

FITBIT LLC, Petitioner,

v.

PHILIPS NORTH AMERICA LLC, Patent Owner.

IPR2020-00783<sup>1</sup> Patent No. 7,088,233 B2

\_\_\_\_\_

Before STACEY G. WHITE, MICHELLE N. WORMMEESTER, and NORMAN H. BEAMER, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

BEAMER, Administrative Patent Judge.

JUDGMENT
Final Written Decision
Determining Some Claims Unpatentable
35 U.S.C. § 318(a)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Garmin International, Inc., Garmin USA, Inc., and Garmin Ltd., filed a petition in IPR2020-00910 and have been joined as petitioner in this proceeding.



### I. INTRODUCTION

In response to a Petition filed by Fitbit, Inc. ("Petitioner"), now Fitbit LLC, we instituted *inter partes* review of claims 1, 7–10, 13–16, 22, and 24–26 of U.S. Patent No. 7,088,233 B2 ("the '233 patent"). Paper 1 ("Pet."); Paper 12 ("Dec."); Paper 33. Philips North America LLC ("Patent Owner") filed a Response to the Petition, Petitioner filed a Reply, and Patent Owner filed a Sur-Reply. Paper 17 ("PO Resp."); Paper 22 ("Reply"); Paper 24 ("Sur-Reply").

An oral hearing took place on July 29, 2021. The Hearing Transcript ("Tr.") is included in the record as Paper 32. After considering the parties' arguments and supporting evidence, we determine that Petitioner has demonstrated by a preponderance of the evidence that claims 1, 7–10, 13, 15, 16, 22, and 24–26 are unpatentable. Claim 14 has not been proven to be unpatentable.

### II. BACKGROUND

### A. The '233 Patent

The '233 patent, titled "Personal Medical Device Communication System and Method," was filed on June 7, 2002, issued on August 8, 2006, and recites various continuation-in-part and continuation applications as related. Ex. 1001, codes (54), (22), (45), (63), (60).<sup>2</sup> The patent also states that it is related to "[p]rovisional application No. 60/135,862, filed on May

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The '233 patent states that it is a "[c]ontinuation-in-part of application No. 09/956,474, filed on Sep. 19, 2001, which is a continuation of application No. 09/384, 165, filed on Aug. 27, 1999, now Pat. No. 6,356,192, application No. 10/165,624, which is a continuation-in-part of application No. 10/112,669, filed on Mar. 28, 2002, and a continuation-in-part of application No. PCT/US01/18734, filed on Jun. 8, 2001." *Id.* at code (63).



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

# **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

# **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

