

Judge Indira Talwani: ELECTRONIC ORDER entered. Acronis's Motion to Stay 52 ALLOWED in part and DENIED in part. In light of the PTAB's institution of two IPR proceedings on the '204 patent, and after consideration of the stage of the litigation, whether a stay will simplify the issues in question, and whether a stay will unduly prejudice or present a clear tactical disadvantage to Plaintiff, those proceedings relating solely to the '204 patent, including construction of the 4th and 9th terms identified by the parties in their Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement 76 , are stayed pending further court order, and the Motion is otherwise DENIED. In the interest of efficient case management, further discovery as to all patents is stayed during claim construction, except as necessary for claim construction. Any disputes as to discovery that is permitted during this period may be brought to court's attention by a brief email to the courtroom clerk (with a copy to opposing counsel) requesting a conference. The scope of further discovery as it relates to the '204 parent may be raised at the claim construction hearing or following the court's issuance of a claim construction decision. (adminn, ) (Entered: 03/12/2019)

As of March 13, 2019, PACER did not contain a publicly available document associated with this docket entry. The text of the docket entry is shown above.

*Realtime Data LLC d/b/a IXO v. Acronis, Inc.*  
1-17-cv-11279 (MAD), 3/12/2019, docket entry 79