

After hearing argument from the parties, and in view of the factors regarding whether to grant a stay, see Murata Mach. USA v. Daifuku Co., 830 F.3d 1357, 1361 (Fed. Cir. 2016), the court hereby ORDERS that:

1. The temporary stay will remain in effect while the PTAB considers whether to institute IPR on the ‘129 and ‘169 patents, and until further order of this court.
2. The parties shall file by the close of business on May 10, 2017, a joint proposed schedule for the completion of discovery, including production of electronically stored information (“ESI”) and financial disclosures, once the stay is lifted.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: May 4, 2017

/s/ Indira Talwani
United States District Judge