

JEAN-PAUL CIARDULLO, CA Bar No. 284170
jciardullo@foley.com
FOLEY & LARDNER LLP
555 South Flower Street, Suite 3300
Los Angeles, CA 90071
Telephone: 213-972-4500
Facsimile: 213-486-0065

ELEY O. THOMPSON (*pro hac vice*)
ethompson@foley.com
FOLEY & LARDNER LLP
321 N. Clark Street, Suite 2800
Chicago, IL 60654-5313
Telephone: 312-832-4359
Facsimile: 312-83204700

RUBEN J. RODRIGUES (*pro hac vice*)
rrodrigues@foley.com
LUCAS I. SILVA (*pro hac vice*)
lsilva@foley.com
JOHN W. CUSTER (*pro hac vice*)
jcuster@foley.com
FOLEY & LARDNER LLP
111 Huntington Avenue, Suite 2500
Boston, MA 02199-7610
Telephone: (617) 342-4000
Facsimile: (617) 342-4001

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Philips North America LLC

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
WESTERN DIVISION**

Philips North America LLC,

Plaintiff,

vs.

Garmin International, Inc. and
Garmin Ltd.,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:19-cv-06301-AB-KS

**PHILIPS NORTH AMERICA LLC's
RESPONSIVE CLAIM
CONSTRUCTION BRIEF**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION 1

II. PHILIPS’S PROPOSALS ON CLAIM CONSTRUCTION SHOULD BE ADOPTED 1

A. ’007 PATENT: “MEANS FOR COMPUTING ATHLETIC PERFORMANCE FEEDBACK DATA FROM THE SERIES OF TIME-STAMPED WAYPOINTS OBTAINED BY SAID GPS RECEIVER” 1

 i. “Athletic performance feedback data” does not include calories burned 1

 ii. The claim must be construed before addressing indefiniteness for lack of support under 5 U.S.C. § 112 ¶ 6 2

 iii. No algorithm beyond the claims themselves is required 2

 iv. To the extent an algorithm is required, a person of ordinary skill would understand the specification as disclosing one 3

 v. Corresponding structure must be tied to the claimed function 4

B. ’007 PATENT: “MEANS FOR PRESENTING THE ATHLETIC PERFORMANCE FEEDBACK DATA TO AN ATHLETE” (CLAIMS 1, 21) 4

C. ’007 PATENT: “MEANS FOR SUSPENDING AND RESUMING OPERATION OF SAID MEANS FOR COMPUTING WHEN A SPEED OF THE ATHLETE FALLS BELOW A PREDETERMINED THRESHOLD” (CLAIM 7) 6

D. ’007 PATENT: “MEANS FOR EXCHANGING GPS ROUTE WAYPOINTS VIA SAID INTERNET WEB SITE” (CLAIM 25) 7

E. ’233 PATENT: “GOVERNING INFORMATION TRANSMITTED BETWEEN THE FIRST PERSONAL DEVICE AND THE SECOND DEVICE” (CLAIM 1) 8

F. ’233 PATENT: “WIRELESS COMMUNICATION” (CLAIMS 1, 13, 15, 16) 10

G. ’233 PATENT: “FIRST PERSONAL DEVICE” (1, 10, 14, 24) 10

H. ’233 PATENT: “BODY OR PHYSIOLOGICAL PARAMETERS” (CLAIMS 8, 9) 11

I. ’233 PATENT: “LOCATION DETERMINATION MODULE” (CLAIM 24) 12

J. ’233 PATENT: “THE BI-DIRECTIONAL COMMUNICATIONS MODULE HAS A POWERED-DOWN STATE.” (CLAIM 26) 13

K.	'233 PATENT: "MEANS FOR SIGNALING THE BI-DIRECTIONAL COMMUNICATIONS MODULE TO TRANSITION FROM THE POWERED-DOWN STATE TO THE POWERED-UP STATE" (CLAIM 26)	14
L.	'958 PATENT: "INTERNET-ENABLED WIRELESS WEB DEVICE" (CLAIMS 15-17)	14
M.	'958 PATENT: "HEALTH PARAMETER [OR VISUAL DATA] [INDICATIVE / CORRESPONDING TO] OF A DISEASE STATE OR CONDITION OF A PATIENT" (CLAIMS 15, 16).....	15
N.	'958 PATENT: "DISEASE STATE OR CONDITION" (CLAIMS 15, 16).....	16
O.	'958 PATENT: "HEALTH MONITORING DEVICE" (CLAIMS 15, 16).....	17
P.	'377 PATENT: "A METHOD FOR INTERACTIVE EXERCISE MONITORING" (CLAIM 1).....	18
Q.	'377 PATENT: "WEB-ENABLED WIRELESS PHONE" (CLAIM 1)...	18
R.	'377 PATENT: "WHEREIN AT LEAST ONE OF THE DATA INDICATING A PHYSIOLOGIC STATUS OF A SUBJECT OR THE DATA INDICATING AN AMOUNT OF EXERCISE PERFORMED BY THE SUBJECT IS RECEIVED FROM THE DEVICE WHICH PROVIDES EXERCISE RELATED INFORMATION, AND WHEREIN THE DATA INDICATING A PHYSIOLOGIC STATUS OF A SUBJECT IS RECEIVED AT LEAST PARTIALLY WHILE THE SUBJECT IS EXERCISING" (CLAIM 1)	19
S.	'377 PATENT: "SENDING THE EXERCISE-RELATED INFORMATION TO AN INTERNET SERVER." (CLAIM 1)	20
T.	'377 PATENT: "CALCULATED RESPONSE FROM THE SERVER, THE RESPONSE ASSOCIATED WITH A CALCULATION PERFORMED BY THE SERVER BASED ON THE EXERCISE-RELATED INFORMATION." (CLAIM 1).....	21
U.	'192 PATENT: "ANY ONE OF A PLURALITY OF POSITIONS ON A BODY OF A SUBJECT." (CLAIMS 1, 20).....	22
V.	'192 PATENT: "ANALYZING THE MEASURED VALUE FOR FEATURES THAT ARE POSITION DEPENDENT" (CLAIMS 1, 20).....	23
W.	'192 PATENT: "DERIVE A SUBJECT-RELATED VALUE FROM THE MEASURED VALUE, WHERE THE DERIVATION OF THE SUBJECT RELATED VALUE ALSO DEPENDS ON THE ONE OF THE PLURALITY OF POSITIONS OF THE SENSOR ON THE SUBJECT" (CLS. 1, 20)	23
X.	CLAIM 13 OF THE '542 PATENT IS NOT INDEFINITE	24

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>ABS Global, Inc. v. Inguran, LLC</i> , No. 14-cv-503-WMC, 2016 WL 3963246 (W.D. Wisc. July 21, 2016).....	25
<i>Absolute Software, Inc. v. Stealth Signal, Inc.</i> , 659 F.3d 1121 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	6, 14
<i>Acacia Media Techs. Corp. v. New Destiny Internet Grp.</i> , No. C 05-0114, 2007 WL 678317 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 2, 2007)	13
<i>Alfred E. Mann Found. for Sci. Research v. Cochlear Corp.</i> , 841 F.3d 1334 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	4
<i>Asyst Tech., Inc. v. Empak, Inc.</i> , 268 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2001)	4, 5, 7
<i>Bancorp Servs., L.L.C. v. Hartford Life Ins. Co.</i> , 359 F.3d 1367 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	12
<i>Biedermann Motech GmbH v. Acme Spine, LLC</i> , No. 06-3619 SJO, 2007 WL 6210841 (C.D. Cal. Aug. 31, 2007)	13
<i>Celgene Corp. v. Hetero Labs Ltd.</i> , No. 17-3387 (ES) (MAH), 2020 WL 3249117 (D. N.J. June 16, 2020).....	13
<i>Chiuminatta Concrete Concepts, Inc v. Cardinal Indus., Inc.</i> , 145 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 1998)	4, 5, 7
<i>Datamize, LLC v. Plumtree Software, Inc.</i> , 417 F.3d 1342 (Fed. Cir. 2005)	25
<i>Ecolab USA Inc. v. Diversey, Inc.</i> , No. 12-CV-1984, 2014 WL 258570 (D. Minn. January 23, 2014).....	16
<i>Finisar Corp. v. DirecTV Grp., Inc.</i> , 523 F.3d 1323 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	4
<i>Gemalto S.A. v. HTC Corp.</i> , No. 6:10-CV-561 LED-JDL, 2012 WL 2505745 (E.D. Tex. June 28, 2012).....	3, 7

Grober v. Mako Prods., Inc.,
686 F.3d 1335 (Fed. Cir. 2012) 21

Internal Licensing LLC v. AOL, Inc.,
766 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2014) 25

JVW Enters., Inc. v. Interact Accessories, Inc.,
424 F.3d 1324 (Fed. Cir. 2005) 2

In re Katz Interactive Call Processing Patent Litigation,
639 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2011) 8

Lockheed Martin Corp. v. Space Sys./Loral, Inc.,
324 F.3d 1308 (Fed. Cir. 2003) 2

Nutrition 21, LLC v. General Nutrition Corp.,
No. 6:05-CV-228, 2006 WL 2385279, *15 (E.D. Tex. Aug. 17, 2006) 13

Norix Grp., Inc. v. Correctoinal Techs., Inc.,
No. 17-cv-07914, 2020 WL 1157369 (N.D. Ill. Mar. 10, 2020)..... 13

In re Schreiber,
128 F.3d 1473 (Fed. Cir. 1997) 10

Signal IP v. Am. Honda Motor Co.,
No. LA CV14-02454 JAK (JEMx), 2015 WL 5768344 (C.D. Cal. Apr.
17, 2015) 3, 7

Sinorgchem Co. v. Int’l Trade Com’n,
511 F.3d 1132 (Fed Cir. 2007) 11

Sipex Corp. v. Maxim Integrated Prods., Inc.,
No. 99-10096-RWZ, 2002 WL 1046699 (D. Mass. May 24, 2002)..... 5

Thought, Inc. v. Oracle Corp.,
No. 12–cv–05601–WHO, 2014 WL 5408179 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 22, 2014)..... 25

Typemock, Ltd. v. Telerik, Inc.,
No. 17-10274-RGS, 2018 WL 4189692 (D. Mass. Aug. 31, 2018)..... 3, 7

Ventana Med. Sys., Inc. v. Biogenex Labs., Inc.,
473 F.3d 1173 (Fed. Cir. 2006) 16

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.