

**UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

---

**BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

---

Motherson Innovations Co., Ltd.,  
Petitioner,

v.

Magna Mirrors of America, Inc.,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2020-00777  
Patent No. 10,261,648

---

**Patent Owner's Response**

---

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES .....	iv
LIST OF EXHIBITS .....	vi
I. Introduction.....	1
II. A Person Having Ordinary Skill In The Art.....	1
III. Claim Construction.....	1
A. “Exterior Mirror Reflective Element Fixedly Attached At Said Mirror Head” .....	1
B. “A Bracket To Which Said Exterior Mirror Reflective Element Is Fixedly Attached”.....	11
C. “Wherein The Outermost Front Perimeter Edge Of Said Exterior Mirror Reflective Element Is Rounded” .....	19
D. “Rearward Field of View”.....	24
E. “Yaw” And “Roll”.....	29
IV. The Petition Fails To Establish A Reasonable Likelihood That Petitioner Will Prevail .....	37
A. Ground 1: Lupo, Alone or In Combination With Other References, Does Not Disclose All Of The Elements Of The Challenged Claims .....	37
1. Lupo Does Not Disclose “An Exterior Mirror Reflective Element Fixedly Attached At Said Mirror Head” (Claim 1, 15, 26) .....	37
2. Lupo Does Not Disclose “A Bracket To Which Said Exterior Mirror Reflective Element Is Fixedly Attached” (Claims 3–4, 6–7, 17–18, 21–22, 29–30, 32) .....	38

3.	Lupo Does Not Disclose “Wherein Said Multi-Axis Adjustment Mechanism Is Operable For ... Roll Adjustment” (Claims 9, 25, 31, and 36) .....	42
4.	Lupo Does Not Disclose The First And Second Actuators Are “Cooperatively Operable To Adjust Said Mirror Head” (Claims 12, 27) .....	45
B.	Ground 1(b): The Combination Of Lupo and McCabe Does Not Render The Challenged Claims Obvious .....	46
1.	Lupo In Combination With McCabe Does Not Disclose “Wherein The Outermost Front Perimeter Edge Of Said Exterior Mirror Reflective Element Is Rounded” (Claims 2, 16, 33) .....	47
2.	There Is No Motivation To Combine McCabe With Lupo .....	52
C.	Ground 2(a): Tsuyama Does Not Render The Challenged Claims Obvious .....	54
1.	Tsuyama Fails To Disclose Or Render Obvious An “Exterior Rearview Mirror Assembly” As Required By Every Claim .....	54
2.	Tsuyama Does Not Disclose “An Exterior Mirror Reflective Element Fixedly Attached At Said Mirror Head” (Claims 1, 15, 26) .....	59
3.	Tsuyama Does Not Disclose “Wherein Said Multi-Axis Adjustment Mechanism Is Operable For ... Yaw Adjustment” (Claims 9, 25, 31, and 36) .....	61
4.	Tsuyama Does Not Disclose Both An “Attachment Portion” And A “Support Structure” That Moves Relative To The Vehicle (Claims 5, 20, 26) .....	63
5.	Tsuyama Does Not Disclose Wherein Said First And Second Electrically-Operable Actuators Are “Cooperatively Operable” To Adjust Said Mirror Head (Claims 12, 24, 27).....	66

D. Ground 2(b): The Combination of Tsuyama and McCabe Does Not Render the Challenged Claims Obvious .....67

1. Tsuyama In Combination With McCabe Does Not Disclose “Wherein The Outermost Front Perimeter Edge Of Said Exterior Mirror Reflective Element Is Rounded” (Claims 2, 16, 33).....67

2. Because Tsuyama Is Not A Rear-View Mirror Used For Driving, There Is No Reason To Modify It In View Of McCabe .....69

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE WITH 37 C.F.R. § 42.24.....72

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE .....73

**NOTES**

1. All *emphasis* in this brief added, unless otherwise indicated.
2. All references to “Petition” herein refer to Paper No. 1, Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 10,261,648, filed by Petitioner on March 31, 2020.
3. All references to “Institution Decision” herein refer to Paper No. 7, Decision Granting Institution of *Inter Partes* Review, filed by the Patent Trial and Appeal Board on October 7, 2020.

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

	<b><u>Page(s)</u></b>
<b>CASES</b>	
<i>AK Steel Corp. v. Sollac &amp; Ugine</i> , 344 F.3d 1234 (Fed. Cir. 2003) .....	20
<i>Continental Can Co. USA v. Monsanto Co.</i> , 948 F.2d 1264 (Fed. Cir. 1991) .....	46
<i>Continental Circuits LLC v. Intel Corp.</i> , 915 F.3d 788 (Fed. Cir. 2019) .....	12
<i>Corning Glass Works v. Sumitomo Electric USA, Inc.</i> , 868 F.2d 1251 (Fed. Cir. 1989) .....	9
<i>Halliburton Energy Servs., Inc. v. M-I LLC</i> , 514 F.3d 1244 (Fed. Cir. 2008) .....	24
<i>Media Technologies Licensing, LLC v. The Upper Deck Company</i> , No. SA CV 01-1198 AHS, 2008 WL 6023808 (C.D. Cal. 2008) .....	7
<i>Modine Mfg. Co. v. U.S. Int'l Trade Comm'n</i> , 75 F.3d 1545 (Fed. Cir. 1996) .....	10
<i>Nellcor Puritan Bennet, Inc. v. Portex, Inc.</i> , No. C 04-1934 VRW, 2005 WL 6218588 (N.D. Cal. 2005).....	13, 18
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005) (en banc) .....	1, 10, 12, 13, 21
<i>QDS Injection Molding, L.L.C. v. United Maxon, Inc.</i> , No. SACV 09-1412 DOC RNB, 2011 WL 1706512 (C.D. Cal. 2011) .....	13, 15
<i>Ruckus Wireless, Inc. v. Innovative Wireless Solutions</i> , 824 F.3d 999 (Fed. Cir. 2016) .....	9, 10
<i>Schriber-Schroth Co. v. Cleveland Trust Co., Chrysler Corp.</i> , 305 U.S. 47 (1938).....	9

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.