

979323169 PCT/US97/11160 2

BAR CODE LABEL 	U.S. PATENT APPLICATION		
---	-------------------------	--	--

SERIAL NUMBER 08/674,510	FILING DATE 06/28/96	CLASS 395	GROUP ART UNIT 2412
-----------------------------	-------------------------	--------------	------------------------

APPLICANT  
GEORGE HEIDORN, BELLEVUE, WA; KAREN JENSEN, BELLEVUE, WA.

\*\*CONTINUING DATA\*\*\*\*\*  
VERIFIED

\_\_\_\_\_

REC'D 12 AUG 1997  
WIPO PCT

\*\*FOREIGN/PCT APPLICATIONS\*\*\*\*\*  
VERIFIED

\_\_\_\_\_

**PRIORITY DOCUMENT**

FOREIGN FILING LICENSE GRANTED 11/20/96


STATE OR COUNTRY	SHEETS DRAWING	TOTAL CLAIMS	INDEPENDENT CLAIMS	FILING FEE RECEIVED	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
WA	69	36	7	\$1,544.00	661005.447

ADDRESS  
SEED AND CARRY  
6300 COLUMBIA CENTER  
SEATTLE WA 98104-7092

TITLE  
METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR COMPUTING SEMANTIC LOGICAL FORMS FROM SYNTAX TREES

This is to certify that annexed hereto is a true copy from the records of the United States Patent and Trademark Office of the application which is identified above.

By authority of the  
COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Date: JUL 23 1997 Certifying Officer 

DescriptionMETHOD AND SYSTEM FOR COMPUTING SEMANTIC  
LOGICAL FORMS FROM SYNTAX TREES

5

Technical Field

The present invention relates to the field of natural language processing ("NLP"), and more particularly, to a method and system for generating a logical form graph from a syntax tree.

10

Background of the Invention

Computer systems for automatic natural language processing use a variety of subsystems, roughly corresponding to the linguistic fields of morphological, syntactic, and semantic analysis to analyze input text and achieve a level of machine understanding of natural language. Having understood the input text to some level, a computer system can, for example, suggest grammatical and stylistic changes to the input text, answer questions posed in the input text, or effectively store information represented by the input text.

Morphological analysis identifies input words and provides information for each word that a human speaker of the natural language could determine by using a dictionary. Such information might include the syntactic roles that a word can play (e.g., noun or verb) and ways that the word can be modified by adding prefixes or suffixes to generate different, related words. For example, in addition to the word "fish," the dictionary might also list a variety of words related to, and derived from, the word "fish," including "fishes," "fished,"

25

"fishing," "fisher," "fisherman," "fishable," "fishability," "fishbowl,"  
"fisherwoman," "fishery," "fishhook," "fishnet," and "fishy."

Syntactic analysis analyzes each input sentence, using, as a starting  
point, the information provided by the morphological analysis of input words  
5 and the set of syntax rules that define the grammar of the language in which the  
input sentence was written. The following are sample syntax rules:

sentence = noun phrase + verb phrase

noun phrase = adjective + noun

verb phrase = adverb + verb

Syntactic analysis attempts to find an ordered subset of syntax rules that, when  
applied to the words of the input sentence, combine groups of words into  
phrases, and then combine phrases into a complete sentence. For example,  
10 consider the input sentence: "Big dogs fiercely bite." Using the three simple  
rules listed above, syntactic analysis would identify the words "Big" and "dogs"  
as an adjective and noun, respectively, and apply the second rule to generate the  
noun phrase "Big dogs." Syntactic analysis would identify the words "fiercely"  
and "bite" as an adverb and verb, respectively, and apply the third rule to  
15 generate the verb phrase "fiercely bite." Finally, syntactic analysis would apply  
the first rule to form a complete sentence from the previously generated noun  
phrase and verb phrase. The result of syntactic analysis, often represented as an  
acyclic downward branching tree with nodes representing input words,  
punctuation symbols, phrases, and a root node representing an entire sentence, is  
20 called a parse.

Some sentences, however, can have several different parses. A classic example sentence for such multiple parses is: "Time flies like an arrow." There are at least three possible parses corresponding to three possible meanings of this sentence. In the first parse, "time" is the subject of the sentence, "flies" is the verb, and "like an arrow" is a prepositional phrase modifying the verb "flies." However, there are at least two unexpected parses as well. In the second parse, "time" is an adjective modifying "flies," "like" is the verb, and "an arrow" is the object of the verb. This parse corresponds to the meaning that flies of a certain type, "time flies," like or are attracted to an arrow. In the third parse, "time" is an imperative verb, "flies" is the object, and "like an arrow" is a prepositional phrase modifying "time." This parse corresponds to a command to time flies as one would time an arrow, perhaps with a stopwatch.

Syntactic analysis is often accomplished by constructing one or more hierarchical trees called syntax parse trees. Each leaf node of the syntax parse tree generally represents one word or punctuation symbol of the input sentence. The application of a syntax rule generates an intermediate-level node linked from below to one, two, or occasionally more existing nodes. The existing nodes initially comprise only leaf nodes, but, as syntactic analysis applies syntax rules, the existing nodes comprise both leaf nodes as well as intermediate-level nodes. A single root node of a complete syntax parse tree represents an entire sentence.

Semantic analysis generates a logical form graph that describes the meaning of input text in a deeper way than can be described by a syntax parse tree alone. The logical form graph is a first attempt to understand the input text at a level analogous to that achieved by a human speaker of the language.

The logical form graph has nodes and links, but, unlike the syntax parse tree described above, is not hierarchically ordered. The links of the logical form graph are labeled to indicate the relationship between a pair of nodes. For example, semantic analysis may identify a certain noun in a sentence as the deep subject or deep object of a verb. The deep subject of a verb is the doer of the action and the deep object of a verb is the object of the action specified by the verb. The deep subject of an active voice verb may be the syntactic subject of the sentence, and the deep object of an active voice verb may be the syntactic object of the verb. However, the deep subject of a passive voice verb may be expressed in an agentive-by phrase, and the deep object of a passive voice verb may be the syntactic subject of the sentence. For example, consider the two sentences: (1) "Dogs bite people" and (2) "People are bitten by dogs." The first sentence has an active voice verb, and the second sentence has a passive voice verb. The syntactic subject of the first sentence is "Dogs" and the syntactic object of the verb "bite" is "people." By contrast, the syntactic subject of the second sentence is "People" and the verb phrase "are bitten" is modified by the agentive-by phrase "by dogs." For both sentences, "dogs" is the deep subject, and "people" is the deep object of the verb or verb phrase of the sentence. Although the syntax parse trees generated by syntactic analysis for sentences 1 and 2, above, will be different, the logical form graphs generated by semantic analysis will be the same, because the underlying meaning of the two sentences is the same.

Further semantic processing after generation of the logical form graph may draw on knowledge databases to relate analyzed text to real world concepts in order to achieve still deeper levels of understanding. An example



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.