

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

GOOGLE LLC,
Petitioner,

v.

UNILOC 2017 LLC,
Patent Owner.

IPR2020-00755
Patent 6,366,908 B1

Before SALLY C. MEDLEY, KRISTEN L. DROESCH, and
SHEILA F. McSHANE, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

MEDLEY, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER
Setting Oral Argument
37 C.F.R. § 42.70(a)

I. ORAL ARGUMENT

Petitioner, Google LLC (“Google”), and Patent Owner, Uniloc 2017 LLC (“Uniloc”), have requested oral argument pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.70(a). Papers 21, 22. In accordance with the terms set forth in this Order, the parties’ requests for oral argument are *granted*.

A. Time and Format

Oral arguments will commence at 1:00 PM ET on Thursday, July 15, 2021, by video.¹ The Board will provide a court reporter for the hearing, and the reporter’s transcript will constitute the official record of the hearing.

Google will have a total of forty-five (45) minutes to present argument in this case and Uniloc will have a total of forty-five (45) minutes to respond. Google bears the burden of persuasion so Google will open the hearing by presenting its case regarding the challenged claims for which the Board instituted trial. 35 U.S.C. § 316(e)(“[T]he petitioner shall have the burden of proving a proposition of unpatentability by a preponderance of the evidence.”) Thereafter, Uniloc will respond to Google’s arguments. Google may reserve rebuttal time to respond to arguments presented by Uniloc. In accordance with the Consolidated Trial Practice Guide² (“CTPG”), issued in November 2019, Uniloc may request to reserve time for a brief sur-rebuttal; however, Uniloc’s sur-rebuttal is limited to the issues raised during Google’s rebuttal. *See* CTPG 83.

¹ If there are any concerns about disclosing confidential information, the parties must contact the Board at Trials@uspto.gov at least ten (10) business days before the hearing date.

² Available at <https://www.uspto.gov/TrialPracticeGuideConsolidated>.

The parties may request a pre-hearing conference in advance of the hearing. *See id.* at 82. “The purpose of the pre-hearing conference is to afford the parties the opportunity to preview (but not argue) the issues to be discussed at the hearing, and to seek the Board’s guidance as to particular issues that the panel would like addressed by the parties.” *Id.* If either party desires a pre-hearing conference, the parties should jointly contact the Board at Trials@uspto.gov at least seven (7) business days before the hearing date to request a conference call for that purpose.

B. Demonstratives

As set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 42.70(b), demonstratives shall be served on opposing counsel at least seven (7) business days before the hearing date and filed at least five (5) business days before the hearing.

Demonstratives are not a mechanism for making new arguments. Demonstratives also are **not evidence**, and will not be relied upon as evidence. Rather, demonstratives are visual aids to a party’s oral presentation regarding arguments and evidence previously presented and discussed in the papers. Accordingly, demonstratives shall be clearly marked with the words “DEMONSTRATIVE EXHIBIT – NOT EVIDENCE” in the footer. *See Dell Inc. v. Accelaron, LLC*, 884 F.3d 1364, 1369 (Fed. Cir. 2018) (holding that the Board is obligated under its own regulations to dismiss untimely argument “raised for the first time during oral argument”). “[N]o new evidence may be presented at the oral argument.” CTPG 85; *see also St. Jude Med., Cardiology Div., Inc. v. The Bd. of Regents of the Univ. of Mich.*, IPR2013-00041, Paper 65, 2–3 (PTAB Jan. 27, 2014) (explaining that “new” evidence includes evidence already of record but not previously discussed in any paper of record).

Furthermore, because of the strict prohibition against the presentation of new evidence or arguments at a hearing, it is strongly recommended that each demonstrative include a citation to a paper in the record, which allows the Board to easily ascertain whether a given demonstrative contains “new” argument or evidence or, instead, contains only that which is developed in the existing record.

Due to the nature of the Board’s consideration of demonstratives and the opportunity afforded for the parties to reach an agreement without involving the Board, the Board does not anticipate that objections to demonstratives are likely to be sustained. Nevertheless, to the extent that a party objects to the propriety of any demonstrative, the parties shall meet and confer in good faith to resolve any objections to demonstratives prior to filing the objections with the Board. If such objections cannot be resolved, the parties may file any objections to demonstratives with the Board no later than the time of the hearing. The objections shall identify with particularity which portions of the demonstratives are subject to objection (and should include a copy of the objected-to portions) and include a one (1) sentence statement of the reason for each objection. No argument or further explanation is permitted. The Board will consider any objections, and may reserve ruling on the objections.³ Any objection to demonstratives that is not presented timely will be considered waived.

Finally, the parties are reminded that each presenter should identify clearly and specifically each paper (e.g., by slide or screen number for a

³ If time permits, the Board may schedule a conference call with the parties to discuss any filed objections.

demonstrative) referenced during the hearing to ensure the clarity and accuracy of the court reporter's transcript and for the benefit of all participants appearing electronically.

C. Presenting Counsel

The Board generally expects lead counsel for each party to be present at the hearing. *See* CTPG 11. Any counsel of record may present the party's argument, in part or in total, as long as that counsel is present by video.

D. Video Hearing Details⁴

To facilitate planning, each party must contact the Board at PTABHearings@uspto.gov at least five (5) business days prior to the hearing date to receive video set-up information. As a reminder, all arrangements and the expenses involved with appearing by video, such as the selection of the facility from which a party will attend by video, must be borne by that party. If a video connection cannot be established, the parties will be provided with dial-in connection information, and the hearing will be conducted telephonically.

If one or both parties would prefer to participate in the hearing telephonically, they must contact the Board at PTABHearings@uspto.gov at least five (5) business days prior to the hearing date to receive dial-in connection information.

⁴ U.S. Patent and Trademark Office facilities remain closed to the public. If and when conditions allow in-person hearing attendance, the parties will be notified and will be permitted to submit a joint request to convert the current video hearing to an in-person hearing. The requests will be considered on a case-by-case basis, and subject to resource availability.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.