

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION**

UNILOC 2017 LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

GOOGLE LLC,

Defendant.

Civil Action No. 2:18-cv-00553-JRG

PATENT CASE

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

OPENING CLAIM CONSTRUCTION BRIEF OF UNILOC 2017

James L. Etheridge
Ryan S. Loveless
Etheridge Law Group, PLLC
2600 E. Southlake Blvd., Suite 120 / 324
Southlake, TX 76092
Tel.: (817) 470-7249
Fax: (817) 887-5950

Counsel for Plaintiff Uniloc 2017 LLC

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | |
|------|--|----|
| I. | BACKGROUND | 1 |
| II. | LEGAL PRINCIPLES | 1 |
| A. | Claim Construction | 1 |
| B. | Departing from the Ordinary Meaning of a Claim Term..... | 4 |
| III. | NO AGREED TERMS | 5 |
| IV. | THE DISPUTED PATENT TERMS | 5 |
| 1. | “keyfact” (all claims) | 6 |
| 2. | “keyword” (claim 6) | 7 |
| 3. | “extracting keywords without part-of-speech ambiguity” (claim 6) | 8 |
| 4. | “keyfact extracting step for analyzing a document collection and a user query, and extracting keywords without part-of-speech ambiguity from said document collection and said user query, and respectively extracting keyfacts of said document collection and said user query from said keywords” (claim 6)..... | 9 |
| 5. | “keyfact indexing step for calculating the frequency of said keyfacts of said document collection and generating a keyfact list of said document collection for a keyfact index structure” (claim 6) | 10 |
| 6. | “keyfact retrieving step for receiving said keyfact of said user query and said keyfacts of said document collection” (claim 6) | 11 |
| 7. | “keyfact retrieving step for . . . defining a keyfact retrieval model in consideration of weigh factors according to a keyfact pattern” (claim 6) | 11 |
| 8. | “keyfact retrieving step for . . . generating a retrieval result” (claim 6) | 11 |
| 9. | Order of steps of claim 6 of the ’908 patent (claim 6)..... | 13 |
| 10. | Order of steps of claim 11 of the ’908 patent (claim 11)..... | 13 |

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

| | |
|---|------|
| <i>3M Innovative Props. Co. v. Tredegar Corp.</i> , 725 F.3d 1315 (Fed. Cir. 2013) | 4 |
| <i>Alloc, Inc. v. Int'l Trade Comm'n</i> , 342 F.3d 1361 (Fed. Cir. 2003) | 1 |
| <i>Apple Inc. v. Motorola, Inc.</i> , 757 F.3d 1286 (Fed. Cir. 2014) | 2 |
| <i>Athletic Alternatives, Inc. v. Prince Mfg.</i> , 73 F.3d 1573 (Fed. Cir. 1996) | 3 |
| <i>Avid Tech., Inc. v. Harmonic, Inc.</i> , 812 F.3d 1040 (Fed. Cir. 2016) | 4 |
| <i>Azure Networks, LLC v. CSR PLC</i> , 771 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2014) | 1 |
| <i>Bell Atl. Network Servs., Inc. v. Covad Commc'ns Group, Inc.</i> , 262 F.3d 1258 (Fed. Cir. 2001) | 1 |
| <i>C.R. Bard, Inc. v. U.S. Surgical Corp.</i> , 388 F.3d 858 (Fed. Cir. 2004) | 1, 3 |
| <i>Centricut, LLC v. Esab Grp., Inc.</i> , 390 F.3d 1361 (Fed. Cir. 2004) | 6 |
| <i>Comark Commc'ns, Inc. v. Harris Corp.</i> , 156 F.3d 1182 (Fed. Cir. 1998) | 2 |
| <i>Constant v. Advanced Micro-Devices, Inc.</i> , 848 F.2d 1560 (Fed. Cir. 1988) | 2 |
| <i>Continental Paper Bag Co. v. Eastern Paper Bag</i> , 210 U.S. 405 (1908) | 2 |
| <i>Cordis Corp. v. Boston Sci. Corp.</i> , 561 F.3d 1319 (Fed. Cir. 2009) | 4 |
| <i>Elcommerce.com, Inc. v. SAP AG</i> , 564 Fed. Appx 599 (Fed. Cir. Jun. 6, 2014) | 6 |
| <i>Elcommerce.com, Inc. v. SAP AG</i> , 745 F.3d 490 (Fed. Cir. 2014) | 6 |
| <i>GE Lighting Solutions, LLC v. AgiLight, Inc.</i> , 750 F.3d 1304 (Fed. Cir. 2014) | 4 |

| | |
|--|-----------|
| <i>Golden Bridge Tech., Inc. v. Apple Inc.</i> , 758 F.3d 1362 (Fed. Cir. 2014) | 4 |
| <i>In re Hiniker Co.</i> , 150 F.3d 1362 (Fed. Cir. 1998)..... | 2 |
| <i>Innova/Pure Water Inc. v. Safari Water Filtration Sys., Inc.</i> , 381 F.3d 1111 (Fed. Cir. 2004) | 1 |
| <i>Interactive Gift Express, Inc. v. Compuserve Inc.</i> , 256 F.3d 1323 (Fed. Cir. 2001)..... | 13 |
| <i>Liebel-Flarsheim Co. v. Medrad, Inc.</i> , 358 F.3d 898 (Fed. Cir. 2004)..... | 2 |
| <i>Markman v. Westview Instruments, Inc.</i> , 52 F.3d 967 (Fed. Cir. 1995) (en banc) | 2 |
| <i>Mobile Telecommunications Techs., LLC v. Sprint Nextel Corp.</i> , 2014 WL 10726788 (E.D. Tex. May 2, 2014)..... | 6 |
| <i>Nautilus, Inc. v. Biosig Instruments, Inc.</i> , 572 U.S. 898 (2014)..... | 6 |
| <i>On Demand Mach. Corp. v. Ingram Indus., Inc.</i> , 442 F.3d 1331 (Fed. Cir. 2006) | 5 |
| <i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005) (en banc) | 1, 2, 3 |
| <i>Renishaw PLC v. Marposs Societa' per Azioni</i> , 158 F.3d 1243 (Fed. Cir. 1998)..... | 2, 4 |
| <i>Schumer v. Lab. Computer Sys., Inc.</i> , 308 F.3d 1304 (Fed. Cir. 2002)..... | 7 |
| <i>Seal-Flex, Inc. v. Athletic Track and Court Const.</i> , 172 F.3d 836 (Fed. Cir. 1999)..... | 9, 10, 12 |
| <i>Sonix Tech. Co. v. Publ'ns Int'l, Ltd.</i> , 844 F.3d 1370 (Fed. Cir. 2017)..... | 7 |
| <i>Teleflex, Inc. v. Ficosa N. Am. Corp.</i> , 299 F.3d 1313 (Fed. Cir. 2002)..... | 2 |
| <i>Teva Pharm. USA, Inc. v. Sandoz, Inc.</i> , 135 S. Ct. 831 (2015)..... | 3 |
| <i>Thorner v. Sony Computer Entm't Am. LLC</i> , 669 F.3d 1362 (Fed. Cir. 2012) | 4 |
| <i>Trs. of Columbia Univ. v. Symantec Corp.</i> , | |

| | |
|--|---|
| 811 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2016)..... | 5 |
| <i>Vitronics Corp. v. Conceptronic, Inc.,</i> 90 F.3d 1576 (Fed. Cir. 1996) | 2 |

Statutes

| | |
|-------------------------|---------------|
| 35 U.S.C. § 112..... | passim |
| 35 U.S.C. § 112(f)..... | 9, 10, 11, 12 |

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.