Paper No. ____ Filed: March 10, 2020

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.,
Petitioner
V.
BELL NORTHERN RESEARCH, LLC,
Patent Owner
Patent No. 7,039,435

MOTION FOR JOINDER TO INTER PARTES REVIEW IPR2019-01365

TABLE OF CONTENTS

				Page
I.	STATEMENT OF THE PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED			
II.	STATEMENT OF MATERIAL FACTS			
III.	STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR RELIEF REQUESTED			
	A.	Legal Standard		
	B.		of the Relevant Factors Weighs in Favor of the Board ating the Motion for Joinder	3
		1.	Joinder with the ZTE IPR Is Appropriate	4
		2.	Petitioner Does Not Propose New Grounds of Unpatentability	5
		3.	Joinder Will Not Negatively Impact the ZTE IPR Trial Schedule	5
		4.	Procedures to Simplify Briefing and Discovery	6
IV.	CONCLUSION			8



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page((s)
Cases	
Dell, Inc. v. Network-1 Security Solutions, Inc., IPR2013-00385, Paper 17 (July 29, 2013)	3
HTC v. Parthenon Unified Memory Architecture LLC., IPR2017-00512, Paper No. 12 (June 1, 2017)	1
LG v. Memory Integrity, LLC., IPR2015-01353, Paper No. 11 (Oct. 5, 2015)	, 8
Noven Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Novartis AG, IPR2014-00550, Paper No. 38 (Apr. 10, 2015)	7
Par Pharmaceutical, Inc. v. Novartis AG, IPR2016-01023, Paper No. 20 (Oct. 27, 2016)	5
Samsung Elecs. Co., Ltd. v. Raytheon Co., IPR2016-00962, Paper No. 12 (Aug. 24, 2016)	.4
Statutes	
35 U.S.C. § 315(c)	, 3
Other Authorities	
37 C.F.R. § 1.7(a)	1
37 C.F.R. § 42.1(b)	, 5
37 C.F.R. § 42.22	1
37 C.F.R. § 42.122(b)	1



I. STATEMENT OF THE PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED

Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., ("Petitioner" or "Samsung") respectfully submits this Motion for Joinder, concurrently with a Petition ("the Samsung Petition") for *inter partes* review of U.S. Patent No. 7,039,435 ("the '435 patent") filed herewith.

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 315(c), 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.22 and 42.122(b), Samsung requests institution of an *inter partes* review and joinder with *ZTE (USA), Inc. v. Bell Northern Research, LLC*, IPR2019-01365 ("the ZTE IPR" or "the ZTE proceeding"), which the Board instituted on February 11, 2020, concerning the same claims 1-3, and 6 of the '435 patent at issue in the Samsung Petition. This request is being submitted within the time frame set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 42.122(b).

Samsung submits that this request for joinder is consistent with the policy surrounding *inter partes* reviews, as it is the most expedient way to "to secure the just, speedy, and inexpensive resolution of every proceeding." *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.1(b); *see also HTC v. Parthenon Unified Memory Architecture LLC.*, IPR2017-00512, Paper No. 12 at 5-6 (June 1, 2017). The Samsung Petition and the Petition in the ZTE IPR are substantially identical; they contain the same grounds (based on the same prior art combinations and supporting evidence) against the same claims. (*See* Ex. 1018, illustrating changes between the instant Petition and the Petition in IPR2019-01365.) Further, upon joining the ZTE IPR, Samsung will act as an



"understudy" and will not assume an active role unless the current Petitioner ceases to participate in the instituted IPR. Accordingly, the proposed joinder will neither unduly complicate the ZTE IPR nor delay its schedule. As such, the joinder will promote judicial efficiency in determining the patentability of the '435 patent without prejudice to Patent Owner.

II. STATEMENT OF MATERIAL FACTS

- 1. The '435 patent is at issue in an infringement action against Samsung in the Eastern District of Texas, Case No. 2:19-cv-00286.
- 2. The '435 patent is also at issue in other patent infringement actions: Case Nos. 3:18-cv-02864 (S.D. Cal.) and 3:18-cv-01786 (S.D. Cal.).
 - 3. The '435 patent is also at issue in IPR2019-00319, and was at issue in IPR2019-01186, which was terminated on December 13, 2019.
- 4. On July 24, 2019, ZTE (USA), Inc. filed a petition for *inter partes* review (IPR2019-01365) ("the ZTE Petition") requesting cancellation of claims 1-3, and 6 of the '435 patent.
- 5. On February 11, 2020, the Board instituted the ZTE IPR.
- 6. The Samsung Petition and the ZTE Petition are substantially identical; they contain the same grounds (based on the same prior art combinations and supporting evidence) against the same claims.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

