

PATENT/TRADEMARK

**U.S. District Court [LIVE]
Western District of Texas (Midland)
CIVIL DOCKET FOR CASE #: 7:18-cv-00147-ADA**

Continental Intermodal Group-Trucking LLC v. Sand
Revolution LLC et al
Assigned to: Judge Alan D Albright
Cause: 35:271 Patent Infringement

Date Filed: 08/21/2018
Jury Demand: Both
Nature of Suit: 830 Patent
Jurisdiction: Federal Question

Plaintiff

**Continental Intermodal Group-
Trucking LLC**

represented by **Andrew Harper Estes**
Lynch, Chappell & Alsup, PC
300 N. Marienfeld, #700
Midland, TX 79701
(432) 683-3351
Fax: 432/683-2587
Email: hestes@lcalawfirm.com
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Eric D. Sidler
Lathrop Gage LLP
2345 Grand Blvd.
Suite 2200
Kansas City, MO 64108
816-292-2000
Fax: 816-292-2001
Email: esidler@lathropgage.com
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Luke M. Meriwether
Lathrop Gage LLP
2345 Grand Blvd.
Suite 2200
Kansas City, MO 64108
816-292-2000
Fax: 816-292-2001
Email: lmeriwether@lathropgage.com
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Travis W. McCallon
Lathrop GPM LLP
2345 Grand Blvd.

Parus Exhibit 2016

		Joint MOTION to Extend Scheduling Order Deadlines 101 by Sand Revolution II LLC, Sand Revolution LLC. (Attachments: # 1 Proposed Order)(Shahdadi, Armon) (Entered: 07/09/2020)
07/12/2020	103	ORDER GRANTING 102 Motion to Extend Scheduling Order Deadlines. Signed by Judge Alan D Albright. (slt) (Entered: 07/13/2020)
07/21/2020	104	MOTION to Stay Case <i>After Institution of Inter Partes Review of Asserted Patent</i> by Sand Revolution II LLC, Sand Revolution LLC. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit A - IPR Paper 24, # 2 Exhibit B - IPR Paper 25, # 3 Exhibit C - WSJ Article, # 4 Proposed Order)(Shahdadi, Armon) (Entered: 07/21/2020)
07/22/2020		Text Order DENYING 104 Motion to Stay Case entered by Judge Alan D Albright. Before the Court is Defendants' motion to stay pending conclusion of an instituted IPR. The Court DENIES this motion for at least the following reasons: (1) The Court strongly believes the Seventh Amendment, (2) This case has been pending since 2017 and staying the case would only further delay its resolution, (3) Denying the stay would allow the Parties to obtain a more timely and complete resolution of infringement, invalidity, and damages issues, and (4) Plaintiff opposes the stay. (This is a text-only entry generated by the court. There is no document associated with this entry.) (jy) (Entered: 07/22/2020)
07/24/2020	105	Sealed Order. Signed by Judge Alan D Albright. (slt) (Entered: 07/27/2020)