

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

APPLE INC.  
Petitioner

v.

PARUS HOLDINGS, INC.  
Patent Owner

---

Case No. IPR2020-00686  
U.S. Patent No. 7,076,431

---

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW  
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,076,431**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

**I. INTRODUCTION ..... 1**

**II. SUMMARY OF THE '431 PATENT ..... 1**

A. DESCRIPTION OF THE ALLEGED INVENTION OF THE '431 PATENT ..... 1

B. PRIORITY DATE OF THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ..... 3

C. SUMMARY OF UNPATENTABILITY OF THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS..... 3

D. LEVEL OF SKILL OF A PHOSITA..... 6

E. OPINIONS OF A PHOSITA..... 6

**III. THE BOARD'S DISCRETION UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 314(A)..... 7**

**IV. REQUIREMENTS FOR IPR UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104..... 9**

A. GROUNDS FOR STANDING UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(A)..... 9

B. IDENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(B)  
AND RELIEF REQUESTED ..... 10

C. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(B)(3) ..... 11

**V. SHOWING OF ANALOGOUS PRIOR ART ..... 11**

A. *LADD* IS ANALOGOUS ..... 11

B. *KUROSAWA* IS ANALOGOUS..... 14

C. *GOEDKEN* IS ANALOGOUS ..... 15

D. *MADNICK* IS ANALOGOUS ..... 15

E. *HOUSER* IS ANALOGOUS ..... 16

F. *RUTLEDGE* IS ANALOGOUS ..... 16

**VI. GROUND 1: THERE IS A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD  
CLAIMS 1- 6, 9-10, 13-14, 18, 20-21, AND 25 ARE OBVIOUS  
OVER *LADD* IN VIEW OF *KUROSAWA* IN FURTHER VIEW  
OF *GOEDKEN* ..... 17**

A. CLAIM 1 ..... 17

1. Claim 1[Preamble]..... 17

2. Claim 1(a)..... 18

3. Claim 1(b)..... 19

4. Claim 1(c)..... 22

5. Claim 1(d)..... 23

6. Claim 1(e)..... 25

7. Claim 1(f) ..... 32

8. Claim 1(g)..... 34

9.	Claim 1(h).....	35
10.	Claim 1(i) .....	36
11.	Claim 1(j) .....	37
12.	Claim 1(k).....	39
13.	Claim 1(l) .....	47
B.	CLAIMS 2-3 .....	48
C.	CLAIM 4 .....	49
D.	CLAIM 5 .....	49
E.	CLAIM 6 .....	51
F.	CLAIM 9 .....	52
G.	CLAIMS 10 AND 13.....	54
H.	CLAIM 14 .....	56
I.	CLAIM 18 .....	58
1.	Claim 18[Preamble].....	58
2.	Claim 18(a).....	58
3.	Claim 18(b).....	58
4.	Claim 18(c).....	58
5.	Claim 18(d).....	58
6.	Claim 18(e).....	59
7.	Claim 18(f) .....	60
8.	Claim 18(g).....	60
9.	Claim 18(h).....	60
10.	Claim 18(i) .....	60
11.	Claim 18(j) .....	61
12.	Claim 18(k).....	61
13.	Claim 18(l) .....	61
J.	CLAIM 20 .....	61
K.	CLAIM 21 .....	61
L.	CLAIM 25 .....	61
<b>VII.</b>	<b>GROUND 2: THERE IS A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD CLAIMS 7, 19, AND 26-30 ARE OBVIOUS OVER <i>LADD</i> IN VIEW OF <i>KUROSAWA</i> IN FURTHER VIEW OF <i>GOEDKEN</i> AND <i>MADNICK</i>.....</b>	<b>62</b>

A.	CLAIMS 7 AND 19.....	62
B.	CLAIM 26 .....	64
1.	Claim 26[Preamble].....	64
2.	Claim 26(a).....	64
3.	Claim 26(b).....	64
4.	Claim 26(c).....	64
5.	Claim 26(d).....	64
6.	Claim 26(e).....	65
7.	Claim 26(f) .....	65
8.	Claim 26(g).....	65
9.	Claim 26(h).....	65
10.	Claim 26(i) .....	65
11.	Claim 26(j) .....	65
12.	Claim 26(k).....	65
13.	Claim 26(l) .....	65
14.	Clam 26(m).....	66
15.	Claim 26(n).....	66
C.	CLAIM 27 .....	66
D.	CLAIM 28 .....	66
E.	CLAIM 29 .....	66
F.	CLAIM 30 .....	67
<b>VIII.</b>	<b>GROUND 3: THERE IS A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD CLAIMS 5-6 ARE OBVIOUS OVER <i>LADD</i> IN VIEW OF <i>KUROSAWA</i> IN FURTHER VIEW OF <i>GOEDKEN</i> AND <i>HOUSER</i>.....</b>	<b>67</b>
A.	CLAIMS 5 AND 6.....	67
<b>IX.</b>	<b>GROUND 4: THERE IS A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD CLAIMS 9 AND 25 ARE OBVIOUS OVER <i>LADD</i> IN VIEW OF <i>KUROSAWA</i> IN FURTHER VIEW OF <i>GOEDKEN</i> AND <i>RUTLEDGE</i>.....</b>	<b>69</b>
A.	CLAIM 9 .....	69
B.	CLAIM 25 .....	71
<b>X.</b>	<b>CONCLUSION .....</b>	<b>71</b>

<b>XI.</b>	<b>MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(A)(1).....</b>	<b>72</b>
A.	REAL PARTY-IN-INTEREST .....	72
B.	RELATED MATTERS .....	72
C.	LEAD AND BACK-UP COUNSEL .....	73

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.