Trials@uspto.gov 571-272-7822 Paper 10 Date: August 24, 2020

### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

### SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., Petitioner,

v.

BELL NORTHERN RESEARCH, LLC, Patent Owner.

> IPR2020-00613 Patent 8,416,862 B2

Before BRYAN F. MOORE, MELISSA A. HAAPALA, and STACY B. MARGOLIES, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

MARGOLIES, Administrative Patent Judge.

DOCKET

Δ

DECISION Denying Institution of *Inter Partes* Review 35 U.S.C. § 314

### I. INTRODUCTION

Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. ("Petitioner") filed a petition for *inter partes* review of claims 9–12 of U.S. Patent No. 8,416,862 B2 (Ex. 1001, "the '862 patent"). Paper 1 ("Pet."). Bell Northern Research, LLC ("Patent Owner") filed a Preliminary Response. Paper 7 ("Prelim. Resp."). Petitioner also filed a Notice Regarding Multiple Petitions ("Notice," Paper 3) and Patent Owner filed a Response to Petitioner's Notice Regarding Multiple Petitions ("Notice Response," Paper 9).

Institution of an *inter partes* review is authorized by statute when "the information presented in the petition . . . and any response . . . shows that there is a reasonable likelihood that the petitioner would prevail with respect to at least 1 of the claims challenged in the petition." 35 U.S.C. § 314(a); *see* 37 C.F.R. § 42.108. Upon consideration of the Petition and the Preliminary Response, we conclude that the information presented does not show that there is a reasonable likelihood that Petitioner would prevail in establishing the unpatentability of claims 9–12 of the '862 patent.

### A. Related Matters

The parties collectively identify the following judicial proceedings in which the '862 patent is or was asserted and which may affect, or be affected by, a decision in this proceeding: *Bell Northern Research, LLC v. Samsung Elecs. Co.*, Case No. 2:19-cv-00286 (E.D. Tex.); *Bell Northern Research, LLC v. LG Elecs. Co.*, Case No. 3:18-cv-02864 (S.D. Cal.); *Bell Northern Research, LLC v. Coolpad Techs., Inc.*, Case No. 3:18-cv-01783 (S.D. Cal.); *Bell Northern Research, LLC v. Huawei Device (Dongguan) Co.*, Case No. 3:18-cv-01784 (S.D. Cal.); *Bell Northern Research, LLC v. Kyocera Corp.*, Case No. 3:18-cv-01785 (S.D. Cal.); and *Bell Northern Research, LLC v.* 

*ZTE Corp.*, Case No. 3:18-cv-01786 (S.D. Cal.). Pet. 1–2; Paper 6, 1; *see* 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2).

Claims 9–12 of the '862 patent also were challenged in IPR2020-00108, which recently terminated. *See LG Electronics, Inc. v. Bell Northern Research, LLC*, IPR2020-00108 ("the '108 IPR"), Paper 14 at 39 (PTAB May 14, 2020) (instituting review), Paper 22 (PTAB July 29, 2020) (terminating proceeding).

### B. The '862 Patent

The '862 patent relates to wireless communications using beamforming. Ex. 1001, 1:20–22. The '862 patent describes that, "[i]n general, beamforming is a processing technique to create a focused antenna beam by shifting a signal in time or in phase to provide gain of the signal in a desired direction and to attenuate the signal in other directions." Id. at 2:67–3:4. The '862 patent explains that, "[i]n order for a transmitter to properly implement beamforming," the transmitter "needs to know properties of the channel over which the wireless communication is conveyed." Id. at 3:14–17. For example, the receiver may "determine the channel response (H)" and "provide it as the feedback information." Id. at 3:19–22. The '862 patent explains that the size of the feedback packet "may be so large that, during the time it takes to send it to the transmitter, the response of the channel has changed." Id. at 3:22-25. To reduce the size of the feedback, "the receiver may decompose the channel using singular value decomposition (SVD) and send information relating only to a calculated value of the transmitter's beamforming matrix (V) as the feedback information." Id. at 3:26–30. According to the '862 patent, "[w]hile this approach reduces the size of the feedback information, its size is still an

**CKET A R M** Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>. IPR2020-00613 Patent 8,416,862 B2

issue for a [multiple-input-multiple-output] wireless communication." *Id.* at 3:33–35. Therefore, according to the '862 patent, a need exists "for reducing beamforming feedback information for wireless communications." *Id.* at 3:49–51.

Figure 7 of the '862 patent, shown below, illustrates an embodiment of the invention for providing beamforming feedback information from a receiver to a transmitter. *Id.* at 13:25–27.



Figure 7 above illustrates a method of providing beamforming feedback information for multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) wireless

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

### IPR2020-00613 Patent 8,416,862 B2

communication systems. *Id.* at 2:33–35, 13:25–27, 13:31–32. At step 702, a wireless communication device receives a preamble sequence from a transmitting wireless device. *Id.* at 13:36–39. Next, at step 704, the receiving wireless device determines an estimated transmitter beamforming unitary matrix (V) based on the channel response and a known receiver beamforming unitary matrix (U). *Id.* at 13:44–47. In the embodiment shown in Figure 7, the receiving wireless device produces V in Cartesian coordinates and then converts V to polar coordinates (step 706). *Id.* at 13:54–58. The receiving wireless device then decomposes V to produce the transmitter beamforming information (step 708) and sends the beamforming information to the transmitting wireless device then uses the feedback components to generate a new beamforming matrix (V), which the device uses for subsequent transmissions (step 712). *Id.* at 14:9–12.

The '862 patent discloses that, according to one embodiment, the decomposition operations of step 708 employ a Givens Rotation operation. *Id.* at 13:63–65. The '862 patent explains that the Givens Rotation relies on the observation that, for a particular condition, some of the angles "are redundant" and thus, "the set of angles fed back to the transmitting wireless device are reduced." *Id.* at 13:65–14:3.

### C. Illustrative Claim

Among the challenged claims (claims 9–12), claim 9 is independent. Claim 9 is illustrative of the subject matter of the challenged claims and reads as follows:

# DOCKET



## Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

### **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

### **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

### **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

