

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
WACO DIVISION

PACT XPP SCHWEIZ AG

Plaintiff,

v.

INTEL CORPORATION

Defendant.

Case No. 6:19-cv-00273-ADA

**JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

**PACT XPP SCHWEIZ AG'S AND INTEL CORPORATION'S CORRECTED  
STIPULATION TO DISMISS WITHOUT PREJUDICE**

Whereas Plaintiff PACT XPP Schweiz AG (“PACT”) filed a complaint in Delaware on February 7, 2019 styled *PACT XPP Schweiz AG v. Intel Corporation*, Case No. 19-cv-00267 (D. Del. Feb. 7, 2019) (the “Delaware Case”), alleging that Intel Corporation (“Intel”) infringed twelve patents assigned to Plaintiff;

Whereas PACT understood that Intel and PACT stipulated that in return for a second extension to answer or otherwise respond to the complaint in the Delaware Case, Intel would waive its right to file any motion in response to said complaint, including a motion to transfer venue;

Whereas Intel understood that Intel was only waiving its right to file a motion to dismiss in the Delaware Case in return for such extension, and that Intel was not waiving its right to file a motion to transfer;

Whereas during the second extension period, Intel informed PACT that, although it would answer the complaint in the Delaware Case, it would also in response to the Delaware complaint file a motion to transfer venue to the District of Oregon;

Whereas PACT thereafter refiled its complaint in the Western District of Texas on April 23, 2019 (the “Texas Case”) asserting the same twelve patents and dismissed the Delaware Case without prejudice;

Whereas Intel then filed a declaratory action in the Northern District of California on April 25, 2019, (the “California Case”), asking the court to declare that it did not infringe any valid claim of any of the twelve patents asserted in both the Texas Case and the Delaware Case and alleging a breach of contract;

Whereas PACT and Intel are desirous of putting their dispute as to venue behind them by having their case venued in the District of Delaware; and

Whereas Intel has agreed to waive (i) any right in this case to challenge venue in the District of Delaware; (ii) the provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(B) to assert that PACT's dismissal of the Texas Case operates as an adjudication on the merits; and (iii) any rights to costs or attorney's fees under Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(d) resulting from the parties' venue dispute and the dismissal of either the Original Delaware case, the California Case, or the Texas case;

NOW THEREFORE IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED THAT IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE ABOVE AGREEMENT AND STIPULATION OF THE PARTIES:

1. Intel shall dismiss its California Case against PACT filed in the Northern District of California without prejudice;

2. PACT and Intel shall file a stipulation of dismissal signed by both parties to dismiss the Texas Case against Intel without prejudice, and that same day Intel shall dismiss its California action without prejudice and PACT shall simultaneously refile its previously dismissed action in Delaware in a form identical to that contained in its Texas complaint except for allegations relating to the venue related allegation concerning Texas, and the parties agree that the new case will be marked related to the prior case on the civil cover sheet;

3. Neither PACT's nor Intel's dismissal of their respective Texas Case and California Case shall be deemed an adjudication on the merits of any claim, nor shall it give rise to any right to costs or fees resulting from the venue dispute under Fed. R. Civ. P. 41;

4. Within 5 days of the refile of the complaint in Delaware, Intel shall file its answer to the Delaware complaint, and the parties will hold the Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 26 conference by June 14, 2019; and

5. Either party may file this stipulation if needed in the Delaware, California, or Texas Cases.

Dated: May 30, 2019

/s/ J. Stephen Ravel

J. Stephen Ravel  
Texas State Bar No. 16584975  
**KELLY HART & HALLMAN LLP**  
303 Colorado, Suite 2000  
Austin, Texas 78701  
Tel: (512) 495-6429  
Fax: (512) 495-6401  
Email: steve.ravel@kellyhart.com

James E. Wren  
Texas State Bar No. 22018200  
1 Bear Place, Unit 97288  
Waco, Texas 76798  
Tel: (254) 710-7670  
james\_wren@baylor.edu

Gregory S. Arovas (*Pro Hac Vice*)  
New York State Bar No. 2553782  
**KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP**  
601 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022  
Tel: (212) 446-4766  
Email: greg.arovas@kirkland.com

Adam R. Alper (*Pro Hac Vice*)  
California State Bar No. 196834  
**KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP**  
555 California Street  
San Francisco, CA 94104  
Tel: (415) 439-1400  
Email: adam.alper@kirkland.com

Michael W. De Vries (*Pro Hac Vice*)  
California State Bar No. 211001  
**KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP**  
333 South Hope Street  
Los Angeles, CA 90071  
Tel: (213) 680-8400  
Email: michael.devries@kirkland.com

*Attorneys for Defendant Intel Corporation*

/s/ Frederick A. Lorig

Frederick A. Lorig (SBN 057645)  
fredlorig@quinnemanuel.com  
**QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &  
SULLIVAN, LLP**  
865 S. Figueroa Street, 10th Floor  
Los Angeles, CA 90017  
Telephone: +1 213 443 3047

Craig D. Cherry  
State Bar No. 24012419  
ccherry@haleyolson.com  
**HALEY & OLSON, P.C.**  
100 N. Ritchie Road, Suite 200  
Waco, Texas 76712  
913 Franklin Ave., Suite 201  
Waco, Texas 76701  
Telephone: (254) 776-3336  
Facsimile: (254) 776-6823

J. Mark Mann  
State Bar No. 12926150  
mark@themannfirm.com  
G. Blake Thompson  
State Bar No. 24042033  
blake@themannfirm.com  
**MANN | TINDEL | THOMPSON**  
300 West Main St.  
Henderson, Texas 75652  
913 Franklin Ave., Suite 201  
Waco, Texas 76701  
Telephone: (903) 657-8540  
Facsimile: (903) 657-6003

*Attorneys for Plaintiff PACT XPP SCHWEIZ  
AG*