

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 7,928,763

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

INTEL CORPORATION
Petitioner

v.

PACT XPP SCHWEIZ AG
Patent Owner

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF
U.S. PATENT NO. 7,928,763**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION1

II. MANDATORY NOTICES2

 A. 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1): Real Party-in-Interest2

 B. 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2): Related Matters2

 C. 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3): Counsel Information.....2

 D. 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4): Service Information.....3

III. PAYMENT OF FEES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.1033

IV. CERTIFICATION OF GROUNDS FOR STANDING3

V. OVERVIEW OF CHALLENGE AND RELIEF REQUESTED3

 A. 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(1): Claims for Which IPR Is Requested3

 B. 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(2): Grounds for Challenge3

 C. 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(3): Claim Construction6

 D. 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(4): How the Claims Are Unpatentable6

 E. 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(5): Evidence Supporting Challenge.....6

VI. BACKGROUND OF SEMICONDUCTOR MANUFACTURING6

 A. **Processors**7

 B. Interconnects for Multiprocessor Systems7

VII. OVERVIEW OF THE '763 PATENT8

 A. The Alleged Problem in the Art8

 B. Prosecution History10

VIII. LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART10

IX. OVERVIEW OF THE PRIMARY PRIOR ART10

 A. Balmer10

 B. Wilkinson12

 C. Miyamori13

 D. Nicol15

 E. Hennessy16

X. SPECIFIC GROUNDS FOR PETITION17

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 7,928,763

A.	Ground I: Claims 1-3, 9-14, 16-20, 22, 24, 26, 30, 31-33, 39-44, 46-50, 52, 54, 56, and 60 of the '763 patent Are Obvious In View Of Balmer	17
1.	Independent Claims 1, 31	17
2.	Dependent Claims 2, 32	32
3.	Dependent Claims 3, 33	33
4.	Dependent Claims 9, 39	35
5.	Dependent Claims 10, 40	36
6.	Dependent Claims 11, 41	37
7.	Dependent Claims 12, 42	37
8.	Dependent Claims 13, 43	38
9.	Dependent Claims 14, 44	38
10.	Dependent Claims 16, 46	41
11.	Dependent Claims 17, 47	43
12.	Dependent Claims 18, 48	44
13.	Dependent Claims 19, 49	44
14.	Dependent Claims 20, 50	45
15.	Dependent Claims 22, 52	47
16.	Dependent Claims 24, 54	47
17.	Dependent Claims 26, 56	49
18.	Dependent Claims 30, 60	50
B.	Ground II: Claims 21 and 51 of the '763 patent Are Obvious In View Of Balmer and Nicol.....	51
1.	Dependent Claims 21, 51	51
C.	Ground III: Claims 1-3, 9-14, 16-20, 22, 24, 26, 30, 31-33, 39-44, 46-50, 52, 54, 56, and 60 of the '763 patent are Obvious in View of Wilkinson in Combination with Hennessy	55
1.	Independent Claims 1, 31	55
2.	Dependent Claims 2, 32	71
3.	Dependent Claims 3, 33	72
4.	Dependent Claims 9, 39	73
5.	Dependent Claims 10, 40	74

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 7,928,763

6.	Dependent Claims 11, 41	74
7.	Dependent Claims 12, 42	75
8.	Dependent Claims 13, 43	75
9.	Dependent Claims 14, 44	76
10.	Dependent Claims 16, 46	76
11.	Dependent Claims 17, 47	77
12.	Dependent Claims 18, 48	78
13.	Dependent Claims 19, 49	78
14.	Dependent Claims 20, 50	79
15.	Dependent Claims 22, 52	79
16.	Dependent Claims 24, 54	80
17.	Dependent Claims 26, 56	81
18.	Dependent Claims 30, 60	82
D.	Ground IV: Claims 1-3, 9-14, 16-20, 22, 24, 26, 30, 31-33, 39-44, 46-50, 52, 54, 56, and 60 of the '763 patent are Obvious in View of Wilkinson in Combination with Hennessy and Miyamori	83
1.	Independent Claims 1, 31	83
2.	Dependent Claims 10-14, 40-44	91
3.	Dependent Claims 2-3, 9, 16-20, 22, 24, 26, 30, 32-33, 39, 46-50, 52, 54, 56, 60	91
4.	Motivation to Combine Wilkinson and Hennessy with Miyamori.....	91
E.	Ground V: Claims 21 and 51 of the '763 patent are Obvious in View of Wilkinson in Combination with Hennessy, Miyamori, and Nicol	92
1.	Dependent Claims 21, 51	92
XI.	CONCLUSION.....	96
	CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE.....	97

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>In re Dance</i> , 160 F.3d at 1343	91
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005)	6
Statutes	
35 U.S.C. § 102	3, 4
35 U.S.C. § 103	4, 5
Rules	
37 C.F.R. § 1.68	6
37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)	2, 3
37 C.F.R. § 42.10(b)	3
37 C.F.R. § 42.15(a)(1)	3
37 C.F.R. § 42.103	3
37 C.F.R. § 42.104	3, 6

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.