Jim Gandy

5961 Spikerush Trail Southport, NC 28461

Telephone No.: (910) 599-1329 email: gandy_2@msn.com

Design Patent Consultant

QUALIFICATIONS

Broad legal and technical background in examining design patent applications with more than 32 years experience at the United States Patent and Trademark Office, (USPTO). Expert knowledge of design patent examination practice and procedure and the application of statutes, rules and relevant case law for determining patentability of a claim in design patent applications. Extensive speaking experience on behalf of the USPTO regarding the filing and examination of design patent applications to various audiences such as the American Intellectual Property Law Association, Independent Inventors Conference and the Visiting Scholars program. I am currently a registered patent agent with the USPTO specializing in the field of design patents.

EDUCATION

Bachelor of Science in Architectural Design Technology, 1972 Temple University, Philadelphia, PA.

PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE

Design Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2911, USPTO, 1972-1996

As an examiner I worked primarily in class D12, "Transportation". In 1979 I was promoted to primary examiner and granted full signatory authority. I have experience in initiating interference proceedings, preparing examiner's answers for applications on appeal to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences and have examined both reexamination and reissue applications. As a primary examiner I trained new examiners and occasionally assumed supervisory patent examiner duties in the absence of the art unit supervisor. In my 24 years as an examiner I made patentability determinations in over 10,000 design patent applications examined.

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2913, USPTO, 1996-1998

In my capacity as supervisor I was in charge of distributing and monitoring the flow of work for examiners in the art unit as well as reviewing the overall quality and timeliness of the work product performed by examiners. It was also my responsibility to train junior examiners on practice and procedure for



examining design patent applications, including the proper application of the statutes and rules. In addition, I would provide refresher training to primary examiners when necessary to assure consistency of the work product. As supervisor I was responsible for evaluating and rating all examiners in the art unit at the end of the fiscal year based on the criteria for the elements under their performance appraisal plan. I was also in charge of the program for uniformity of examination practice for the entire Design Patent Technology Center. In that capacity I was responsible for the development of the Design Examiner Supplemental Training Guide.

Design Patent Practice Specialist, Technology Center 2900, USPTO, 1998-2005

As the design patent practice specialist I was in charge of all training for new and junior examiners as well as continuing education training for all examiners in Technology Center 2900. I was also in charge of making updates to Chapter 1500 Design Patents of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure as well as the Design Examiner Supplemental Training Guide. I also responded to inquiries about design patent practice and procedure and filing design patent applications from external customers on a daily basis. In my capacity as the practice specialist I reviewed all cases coming back from the Courts, the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences and the Office of Patent Quality Review for learning points and corrective action if necessary. I monitored all reissue and reexamination applications filed in the technology center and reviewed Office actions prepared by examiners for technical accuracy under the Office's inprocess review program. In addition, I would make presentations to attorneys and inventor groups on behalf of the USPTO to share information and learn from each other.

Since retiring from the USPTO I have on occasion counseled patent attorneys and agents in their filings of design patent applications at the United States Patent and Trademark Office. I have also prepared expert reports and testified in a deposition and at trial as an expert witness in the following matters:

Complaint of Ford Global Technologies, LLC under Section 337 of The Tariff Act of 1930, As Amended

Prepared expert report on sufficiency of disclosure of claim under 35 USC § 112, Re U.S. Design Patent Nos. D498,444, D500,717, D500,969, D500,970, D501,162, D508,223, D510,551 and D539,448 (2008).

Magnadyne Corp. vs. Best Buy Co., Inc.

- Prepared expert report on infringement of U.S. Design Patent No. D522,457 and lack of anticipation or obviousness under 35 USC § 102 and 103(a) respectively, (2010).
- Gave deposition on expert report, (2010).

Weber-Stephen Products LLC v. Sears Holdings Corporation

Prepared expert report on invalidity of U.S. Design Patent Nos. D564,834 and D609,045 as being obvious under 35 USC § 103(a) – (D564,834), and nonenabling and indefinite under 35 USC § 112(a)



and (b) and failing to comply with the written description requirement of 35 USC § 112(a) – D564,834 and D609,045, (2015).

- Prepared expert report on non-infringement of U.S. Design Patent Nos. D564,834 and D609,045, (2015).
- Gave deposition on expert reports, (2015).

Trinity Manufacturing, L.L.C.; Campbell Soup Company; and Campbell Sales Company v. Gamon Plus, Inc.

- Prepared Declaration in support of a Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Design Patent Nos. D595,074, D621,644, D621,645 and D621,646, (2016).
- Prepared Supplemental Declaration in support of Petitioner's Reply to Patent Owner's Merits Response and Declaration and Supplemental Declaration of Terry Johnson for the *Inter Partes* review of U.S. Design Patent Nos. D621,645 and D621,646, IPR2017-00091 and IPR2017-00094 respectively, (2017).
- Gave deposition on Supplemental Declaration, (2017).

Nite Glow Industries, Inc., I Did It, Inc., and Marni Markell Hurwitz v. Central Garden & Pet Company and Four Paws Pet Company, d/b/a Four Paws Products, LTD.

- Prepared expert report on design patent practice regarding the filing of a request for expedited examination under 37 CFR 1.155 and its availability to design patent applications on certain flea and tick dispensers and cartridges identified in the report, (2016).
- Gave deposition on expert report, (2017).
- Testified on expert report at trial, (2018).

<u>AWARDS</u>

Received outstanding rating at the end of fiscal year under performance appraisal plan both as an examiner, supervisor patent examiner and design patent practice specialist for 32 consecutive years.

Received Department of Commerce Bronze Medal Award in March of 1983 for outstanding competence in the performance of official duties in the design patent field over a long period of time.

Received Distinguished Career Award from the Patent and Trademark Office in December 2000, for sustained superior performance in the examination of design patent applications and in the leadership of art units.

Received Norman P. Morgenstern Award in June of 2004 for recognition of the Supervisory Patent Examiner whose work exemplifies bold leadership and innovative activities that make a significant contribution to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.

