```
1
               IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
 2
                        MARSHALL DIVISION
 3
    REMBRANDT WIRELESS
                                      Civil Docket No.
                                      2:13-CV-213
    TECHNOLOGIES, LP,
 4
                                    Marshall, Texas
              Plaintiff,
 5
    VS.
 6
    SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO. LTD,; *
    SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS
 7
    AMERICA, LLC; SAMSUNG
    TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA,
 8
    LLC; SAMSUNG AUSTIN
    SEMICONDUCTOR, LLC,
 9
                                     February 10, 2015
                                      8:21 a.m.
              Defendants.
10
11
                     TRANSCRIPT OF JURY TRIAL
              BEFORE THE HONORABLE RODNEY GILSTRAP
12
                   UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
13
14
    APPEARANCES:
15
    FOR THE PLAINTIFF:
                              DEMETRIOS ANAIPAKOS
                              AMIR ALAVI
16
                              JAMIE A. AYCOCK
                              Ahmad, Zavitsanos, Anaipakos,
                              Alavi & Mensing, P.C.
17
                              1221 McKinney Street
                              Suite 3460
18
                              Houston, TX 77010
19
20
    APPEARANCES CONTINUED ON THE NEXT PAGE:
21
    COURT REPORTER:
                              SHELLY HOLMES, CSR, TCRR
22
                              Official Court Reporter
                              100 East Houston, Suite 125
23
                              Marshall, TX 75670
                              (903) 923-7464
24
    (Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography,
25
    transcript produced on CAT system.)
```



1	APPEARANCES CONTINUED:	
2	FOR THE PLAINTIFF: MICHAEL F. HEIM ERIC ENGER	
3		
4		
5		
6	T. JOHN WARD, JR. Ward & Smith Law Firm	
7	1127 Judson Road, Suite 2 Longview, TX 75601	20
8		
9	FOR THE DEFENDANTS: MICHAEL C. SMITH Seibman, Burg, Phillips &	
10	113 E. Austin Street	
11	Marshall, TX 75673	
12	JEFFREY K. SHERWOOD	
13	JI YOUNG PARK	
14	1825 Eye Street NW	2
15 16	Washington, DC 20006-540 GERARD A. HADDAD	3
17	JENNIFER BIANROSA	
18	1633 Broadway New York, NY 10019	
19	JEFFREY A. MILLER	
20	Dickstein Shapiro LLP 1842 Page Mill Road, Suit	e 150
21	Palo Alto, CA 94304	
22	JESSE J. JENNER BRIAN P. BIGGINGER	
23	DEANNE K. CEVASCO VINCENT Y. LING	
2 4		as
25	New York, NY 10036	



```
1
    APPEARANCES CONTINUED:
 2
    FOR THE DEFENDANTS:
                              GABRIELLE E. HIGGINS
                              REBECCA R. HERMES
 3
                              Ropes & Gray LLP
                              1900 University Avenue
 4
                              6th Floor
                              East Palo Alto, CA 94303
 5
 6
                      PROCEEDINGS
 7
              (Jury out.)
 8
              COURT SECURITY OFFICER: All rise.
 9
              THE COURT: Be seated, please.
10
              All right. I understand Defendants have an
11
    offer -- offer of proof to make; is that correct,
    Mr. Smith?
12
13
              MR. SMITH: That's correct, Your Honor.
1 4
              THE COURT: You may proceed.
15
              MR. SMITH: Your Honor, Defendants have an
16
    offer of proof this morning consisting of three
17
    documents.
18
              The first is the 2007 patent sale agreement
19
    between Zhone Technologies and Summit Technology
20
    Systems. A redacted version of that is preadmitted as
2.1
    Defendants' Exhibit 1052. That provision has the
22
    pro rata allocation provision excluded, and Offer of
23
    Proof Exhibit 1 is an unredacted copy of that document.
              Document No. 2 is Rembrandt's settlement
24
25
    agreement with BlackBerry in this case. A preadmitted
```



```
copy is Plaintiff's Exhibit 879. That copy has the
payment terms, both the total and the apportionment
amounts excluded from it. We continue to maintain our
objection to admission of that exhibit for the reasons
set forth in our -- in our Daubert motion and the motion
in limine.
          However, if the Court does admit it, we
believe that the entire document should be in. An
unredacted copy of that document is Offer of Proof
Exhibit No. 2.
          The third document is the Bandspeed license
with BlackBerry, which is -- it is not preadmitted. It
is -- it is referred to as Defendants' Exhibit 1710,
and -- and a copy of that is attached as Offer of
Proof 3.
          For the reasons set forth in the magistrate
judge's evidentiary rulings, which is Docket 263, which
has been overruled by the Court previously, we would
offer those three documents in, once again, for the
reasons set forth previously.
          THE COURT: Well, your offer of proof on these
three documents is received by the Court. The Court's
prior rulings stand unchanged.
          MR. SMITH: Thank you, Your Honor.
          THE COURT: All right. Are Plaintiffs ready
```



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1 4

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

```
to proceed to the podium and read into the record those
 1
 2
    items from the preadmitted exhibits that were used
    before the jury during yesterday's portion of the trial?
 3
 4
              MR. ALAVI: We are, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: Please proceed to do so.
 5
 6
              MR. ALAVI: Your Honor, may it please the
 7
    Court.
 8
              We have reached an agreement. The parties
    agree that these are the preadmitted exhibits that were
 9
    used at trial yesterday. We've done it by witness, for
10
11
    the record.
12
              In connection with Mr. Bremer's direct, the
    following exhibits were used: PX-1, -2, -5, -6, -7, -9,
13
    and -15; and DX-1011, -1012, -1013, and -1014.
14
15
              With respect to Mr. Bremer's cross, the
16
    following exhibits were used: PX-7, DX-1001, DX-1103,
17
    and DX-1104.
18
              With respect to the direct of Dr. Morrow, the
19
    following exhibits were used: PX-23, -24, -25, -26,
20
    -27, -42, -43, -45, -63, -68, -69, -72, -73, -78, -79,
    -238, -350 through -664, -665 through -751, -756 through
21
22
    -776, -779 through -806, -809 through -813, -815 through
23
    -819, -822 through -825, and Defendants' Exhibit 1043.
24
              Thank you, Your Honor.
25
              THE COURT: All right. Any objection to that
```



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

