

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO. LTD.; SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS
AMERICA, INC.; SAMSUNG TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC;
AND SAMSUNG AUSTIN SEMICONDUCTOR, LLC;
Petitioner

v.

REMBRANDT WIRELESS TECHNOLOGIES, LP
Patent Owner

Case IPR2015-00118
Patent 8,023,580

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,023,580**

Qualcomm Incorporated

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	MANDATORY NOTICES	1
A.	Real Parties-in-Interest	1
B.	Related Matters and Joinder Motion	1
C.	Counsel	2
D.	Service Information	2
E.	Certification of Grounds for Standing.....	2
F.	Fee For Inter Partes Review	2
G.	Proof Of Service.....	2
II.	OVERVIEW OF CHALLENGE AND RELIEF REQUESTED	2
III.	HOW THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE TO BE CONSTRUED	3
IV.	RELEVANT INFORMATION CONCERNING THE `580 PATENT	3
A.	Subject Matter Of The `580 Patent	3
1.	Technology Described In The `580 Patent	3
2.	Admissions Made In `580 Patent Regarding Prior Art.....	6
B.	Effective Filing Date And Prosecution History Of The `580 Patent	8
C.	Person Of Ordinary Skill In The Art.....	9
V.	PRECISE REASONS FOR RELIEF REQUESTED	10
A.	Claims 23, 25, 30 And 41 Are Rendered Obvious By Boer	10
1.	Overview Of Boer.....	10
2.	The Prior Institution Decisions Regarding Claims 23, 25, 29-30 and 41	11
3.	Claims 23, 25, 29 And 30 Are Obvious.....	19

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 8,023,580

a. Claim 23	19
b. Claims 25 and 30	20
4. Claim 41 Obvious	27
B. Claim 29 is Rendered Obvious Under 35 U.S.C. § 103 By Admitted Prior Art (“APA”)In View Of Boer	34
1. The APA Demonstrates That Multipoint Communication Systems Were Prior Art	34
2. Motivation To Combine.....	35
3. Claim 29 Is Obvious	37
VI. CONCLUSION	38

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

Constant v. Advanced Micro–Devices, Inc., 848 F.2d 1560 (Fed.Cir.1988).....8
Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1 (1966)18
Pharmastem Therapeutics, Inc. v. Viacell, Inc., 491 F.3d 1342 (Fed. Cir. 2007)....8,
34

Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 102(b)9
35 U.S.C. § 102(e) 10, 25
35 U.S.C. § 103 ii, 2, 3, 9, 32

Rules

37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b)3
37 C.F.R. § 42.101(b)2
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a).....2

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 8,023,580

Attachment A: Proof of Service of the Petition

Attachment B: List of Evidence and Exhibits Relied Upon in Petition

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.