DOCKET NO.: 01033300-00350 Filed on behalf of Apple Inc.

By: Jason D. Kipnis, Reg. No. 40,680

David L. Cavanaugh, Reg. No. 36,476

Mary V. Sooter, Reg. No. 71,022

Richard Goldenberg, Reg. No. 38,895

Ravinder Deol, Reg. No. 62,165

Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP

60 State Street

Boston, Massachusetts 02109

Tel: (617) 526-6000

Email: Jason.Kipnis@wilmerhale.com

David.Cavanaugh@wilmerhale.com Mindy.Sooter@wilmerhale.com

Richard.Goldenberg@wilmerhale.com

Ravi.Deol@wilmerhale.com

### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

\_\_\_\_\_\_

#### BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC. Petitioner

v.

OPTIS WIRELESS TECHNOLOGY, LLC
Patent Owner

Case IPR2020-00466 U.S. Patent No. 8,411,557

# PETITIONER'S NOTICE OF CLAIMS AND GROUNDS OF INVALIDITY PRESENTED AT DISTRICT COURT TRIAL



Notice of Claims and Grounds of Invalidity Presented at District Court Trial IPR2020-00466

Pursuant to the Board's email request of August 13, 2020, Petitioner hereby submits that the following claims of U.S. Patent No. 8,411,557 "were asserted at trial to be invalid" based on the following "ground(s) of invalidity for each claim presented at trial" *Optis Wireless Technology, LLC v. Apple Inc.*, Case No. 2:19-cv-000666 (E.D. Tex.):

Claim(s)	Ground(s) of Invalidity Presented at Trial (in District Court)
1, 10	Obviousness over Sutivong (U.S. 2006/0018336) and Tan (U.S. 2007/0165567)

Defendant's expert (Mr. Mark Lanning) testified about the above-listed ground of invalidity and references at trial. Each of the above-listed references was shown to the jury and entered into evidence. <sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> The Patent Owner takes the position that testimony by Plaintiffs' expert, Dr. Vijay Madisetti, about additional prior art references that were not part of the Defendant's invalidity case qualify as "ground(s) of invalidity for each claim presented at trial." This is incorrect. First, Plaintiffs' complaint did not seek a declaration of validity, so Plaintiffs cannot independently introduce grounds of invalidity at trial that were not addressed during direct testimony by Defendant's witnesses. Second, because none of the additional references was offered or



# Notice of Claims and Grounds of Invalidity Presented at District Court Trial IPR2020-00466

Respectfully Submitted,

Dated: August 19, 2020 /Jason Kipnis/ (Registration No. 40,680)

Jason D. Kipnis

Lead Counsel for Petitioner Apple Inc.

Mary V. Sooter

Registration No. 71,022

David L. Cavanaugh Registration No. 36,476

Richard Goldenberg Registration No. 38,895

Ravinder Deol

Registration No. 62,165

admitted into evidence (much less shown to the jury), the jury could not have considered any of those references in reaching its verdict.



Notice of Claims and Grounds of Invalidity Presented at District Court Trial IPR2020-00466

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on August 19, 2020, I caused a true and correct copy of Petitioner's Notice of Claims and Grounds of Invalidity Presented at District Court Trial to be served via email on the following attorneys of record as listed in Patent Owner's mandatory notices:

Hong Annita Zhong (Reg. No. 66,530) Lead Counsel for Patent Owner hzhong@irell.com azhong@irell.com

Jason Sheasby jsheasby@irell.com

PanOptisIPRs@irell.com

/Jason Kipnis/ Jason D. Kipnis Registration No. 40,680

