DOCKET NO.: 01033300-00348

Filed on behalf of Apple Inc.

Jason D. Kipnis, Reg. No. 40,680 By: David L. Cavanaugh, Reg. No. 36,476 Mary V. Sooter, Reg. No. 71,022 Richard Goldenberg, Reg. No. 38,895 Ravinder Deol, Reg. No. 62,165 Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP 60 State Street Boston, Massachusetts 02109 Tel: (617) 526-6000 Email: Jason.Kipnis@wilmerhale.com David.Cavanaugh@wilmerhale.com Mindy.Sooter@wilmerhale.com Richard.Goldenberg@wilmerhale.com Ravi.Deol@wilmerhale.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

### BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

#### APPLE INC. Petitioner

v.

OPTIS CELLULAR TECHNOLOGY, LLC Patent Owner

> Case IPR2020-00465 U.S. Patent No. 8,102,833

### PETITIONER'S NOTICE OF CLAIMS AND GROUNDS OF INVALIDITY PRESENTED AT DISTRICT COURT TRIAL

Notice of Claims and Grounds of Invalidity Presented at District Court Trial IPR2020-00465

Pursuant to the Board's email request of August 13, 2020, Petitioner hereby submits that the following claims of U.S. Patent No. 8,102,833 "were asserted at trial to be invalid" based on the following "ground(s) of invalidity for each claim presented at trial" in *Optis Wireless Technology, LLC v. Apple Inc.*, Case No. 2:19-cv-000666 (E.D. Tex.):

Claim(s)	Ground(s) of Invalidity Presented at Trial (in District Court)
8	Obviousness over Qualcomm R1-075037, Malladi 161 (U.S. Patent No. 8,374,161), Samsung R1-073094, and Malladi 367 (U.S. Patent No. 8,467,367)

Defendant's expert (Dr. Jonathan Wells) testified about the above-listed ground of invalidity and references at trial. Each of the above-listed references was shown to the jury and entered into evidence.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> The Patent Owner takes the position that testimony by Plaintiffs' expert, Dr. Vijay Madisetti, about additional prior art references that were not part of the Defendant's invalidity case qualify as "ground(s) of invalidity for each claim presented at trial." This is incorrect. First, Plaintiffs' complaint did not seek a declaration of validity, so Plaintiffs cannot independently introduce grounds of invalidity at trial that were not addressed during direct testimony by Defendant's witnesses. Second, because none of the additional references was offered or

## Notice of Claims and Grounds of Invalidity Presented at District Court Trial IPR2020-00465

Respectfully Submitted,

Dated: August 19, 2020

DOCKE

ARM

<u>/Jason Kipnis/</u> (Registration No. 40,680) Jason D. Kipnis Lead Counsel for Petitioner Apple Inc.

David L. Cavanaugh Registration No. 36,476

Mary V. Sooter Registration No. 71,022

Richard Goldenberg Registration No. 38,895

Ravinder Deol Registration No. 62,165

admitted into evidence (much less shown to the jury), the jury could not have considered any of those references in reaching its verdict.

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on August 19, 2020, I caused a true and correct copy of Petitioner's Notice of Claims and Grounds of Invalidity Presented at District Court Trial to be served via email on the following attorneys of record as listed in Patent Owner's mandatory notices:

Hong Annita Zhong (Reg. No. 66,530) Lead Counsel for Patent Owner <u>hzhong@irell.com</u> azhong@irell.com

Jason Sheasby jsheasby@irell.com

PanOptisIPRs@irell.com

<u>/Jason Kipnis/</u> Jason D. Kipnis Registration No. 40,680