

Zhong, Annita

From: Sheasby, Jason
Sent: Thursday, July 2, 2020 9:08 PM
To: Sewall, Michaela P.
Cc: PanOptis_Apple2; #Hilco/PanOptis v Apple [Int]; Ppm_Apple@grayreed.com; WH Apple Optis Service; ~Smith, Melissa; Tom Gorham
Subject: Re: Optis v. Apple: correspondence

Counsel.

Apple's letter represents an abuse of the judicial process. Apple is withdrawing prior art on the 557 and 833 patents as a tool for attempting to convince the PTAB not to deny initiation of Apple's duplicative IPRs. PanOptis intends to present all of the art Apple has cited as to these patents to the jury and obtain a definitive ruling on validity.

If Apple does not agree to this we request a lead to lead meet and confer as we will file a motion with the court.

Best
JS

On Jul 2, 2020, at 6:43 PM, Sewall, Michaela P. <Michaela.Sewall@wilmerhale.com> wrote:

Counsel,

Please see the attached correspondence.

Thanks,
Michaela

Michaela P. Sewall | WilmerHale
60 State Street
Boston, MA 02109 USA
+1 617 526 6770 (t)
+1 617 526 5000 (f)
michaela.sewall@wilmerhale.com

Please consider the environment before printing this email.

This email message and any attachments are being sent by Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP, are confidential, and may be privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify us immediately—by replying to this message or by sending an email to postmaster@wilmerhale.com—and destroy all copies of this message and any attachments. Thank you.

For more information about WilmerHale, please visit us at <http://www.wilmerhale.com>.

<2020-07-02 Selwyn to Sheasby re Case Narrowing.pdf>