

CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION**

OPTIS WIRELESS TECHNOLOGY, LLC,
OPTIS CELLULAR TECHNOLOGY, LLC,
UNWIRED PLANET, LLC, UNWIRED
PLANET INTERNATIONAL LIMITED,
AND PANOPTIS PATENT
MANAGEMENT, LLC,

Plaintiffs,

v.

APPLE INC.,

Defendant.

Civil Action No. 2:19-CV-00066-JRG

Jury Trial Demanded

**APPENDIX 2: EXPERT REPORT OF DR. JONATHAN WELLS, PH.D. REGARDING
INVALIDITY OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,102,833**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION	1
A. Identification of the '833 Patent and Scope of Opinion	1
B. Documents and Other Materials Relied Upon	2
II. LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	2
III. BACKGROUND OF THE TECHNOLOGY	3
A. Radio communications.....	3
B. Error Correction Coding	6
C. Modulation.....	7
D. Long Term Evolution.....	8
1. OFDM & SC-FDMA	9
2. LTE Uplink Signal Processing.....	13
3. Reference Signals.....	17
E. Signal Processing Functionality.....	19
1. Interleaving	19
2. Padding, Puncturing, and Overwriting.....	20
IV. SUMMARY OF THE 3GPP SPECIFICATIONS	21
A. 3GPP TS 36.201 v2.0.0 (Sept. 2007).....	21
B. 3GPP TS 36.211 v2.0.0 (Sept. 2007).....	22
C. 3GPP TS 36.212 v2.0.0 (Sept. 2007).....	29
D. 3GPP TS 36.213 v2.1.0 (Sept. 2007).....	35
E. 3GPP TS 36.300 v8.1.0 (July 2007)	36
V. OVERVIEW OF THE '833 PATENT.....	39
A. Date of Invention for the '833 Patent	39
B. Disclosure of the '833 Patent.....	40
C. The Alleged Problem	46
D. The Proposed Solution of the '833 Patent	47
1. Arrangement of Signals	47
2. Arrangement Steps.....	50
E. Prosecution History.....	52
F. Claim Construction	54
VI. OVERVIEW OF THE PRIOR ART.....	55

A.	R1-075037 by Qualcomm (Ex. 1006).....	55
B.	U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2006/0262871 to Cho (Ex. 1005)	60
C.	R1-073094 by Samsung (Ex. 1008).....	61
D.	R1-073269 by Qualcomm (Ex. 1007).....	62
E.	R1-073926 by Qualcomm (Ex. 1066).....	62
F.	U.S. Patent No. 8,374,161 to Malladi (Ex. 1068).....	63
G.	U.S. Patent No. 8,467,367 to Malladi (Ex. 1067).....	65
H.	The Inventors of the '833 Patent Attended the 3GPP Meetings.....	82
VII.	SUMMARY OF CONCLUSIONS.....	83
VIII.	INVALIDITY BASED ON PRIOR ART.....	84
A.	Claims 1 and 8 are Obvious over Qualcomm-037 in view of Cho, Samsung-094, and Qualcomm-269.....	84
1.	Claim 1.....	84
2.	Claim 8.....	111
B.	Claims 1 and 8 are Obvious over Qualcomm-037 in view of Cho, Samsung-094, and Qualcomm-926.....	113
1.	Claim 1.....	113
2.	Claim 8.....	140
C.	Claims 1 and 8 are Obvious over Qualcomm-037 in view of Malladi '161, Samsung-094, and Malladi '367	142
1.	Claim 1.....	142
2.	Claim 8.....	171
IX.	SECONDARY CONSIDERATIONS OF NON-OBVIOUSNESS.....	173
A.	Commercial Success	174
B.	Long-Felt But Unsolved Need.....	178
C.	Failure of Others	181
D.	Copying by Others	184
E.	Unexpected Results.....	188
F.	Industry Praise	191
G.	Lack of Independent Simultaneous Invention by Others.....	195
H.	Teaching Away	197
I.	Skepticism in the Industry	199
J.	Licensing by Others	201
1.	████████ Corporation License	204

CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

2.	[REDACTED] Corporation License.....	204
3.	[REDACTED] License	205
4.	[REDACTED] License	205
5.	[REDACTED] License.....	206
6.	[REDACTED] License	206
7.	[REDACTED] License	207
8.	[REDACTED] License.....	207
9.	[REDACTED] License.....	208
X.	ACCEPTABLE NON-INFRINGEMENT ALTERNATIVES	208
XI.	REVISION OR SUPPLEMENTATION	218
XII.	DEMONSTRATIVE EXHIBITS	218

...