

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MYLAN LABORATORIES LTD.
Petitioner

v.

JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICA NV
Patent Owner

Case IPR2020-00440
Patent 9,439,906

PATENT OWNER'S AUTHORIZED SURREPLY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

1. The *Apple* Factors Favor Denying Mylan’s Petition Under § 314(a) 1

2. The Intrinsic Record Demonstrates that PI-74 and PI-75 are Antedated Under the *Stempel* Doctrine.....5

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Apple Inc. v. Fintiv, Inc.</i> , IPR2020-00019, Paper 11 (PTAB Mar. 20, 2020) (precedential).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Apple Inc. v. Fintiv, Inc.</i> , IPR2020-00019, Paper 15 (PTAB May 13, 2020) (informative).....	3, 4
<i>Apple Inc. v. Maxell, Ltd.</i> , IPR2020-00203, Paper 12 (PTAB July 6, 2020)	3
<i>Cisco Sys., Inc. v. Ramot at Tel Aviv Univ. Ltd.</i> , IPR2020-00122, Paper 15 (PTAB May 15, 2020)	4
<i>In re Clarke</i> , 356 F.2d 987 (C.C.P.A. 1966)	8
<i>In re Dardick</i> , 496 F.2d 1234 (C.C.P.A. 1974)	7
<i>Dynamic Drinkware, LLC v. Nat’l Graphics, Inc.</i> , 800 F.3d 1375 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	6
<i>Frazer v. Schlegel</i> , 498 F.3d 1283 (Fed. Cir. 2007)	8
<i>Mylan Pharm. Inc. v. Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp.</i> , IPR2020-00040, Paper 21 (PTAB May 12, 2020)	1, 2
<i>Pernix Ir. Pain DAC v. Alvogen Malta Operations, Ltd.</i> , U.S. Dist. LEXIS 81419 (D. Del. 2018) (Bryson, C.J.)	8
<i>Pfizer Inc. v. Teva Pharm. USA, Inc.</i> , No. 04-754 (JCL), 2006 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 98765 (D.N.J. Oct. 25, 2006)	1, 4, 7, 10
<i>In re Spiller</i> , 500 F.2d 1170 (C.C.P.A. 1974)	9, 10

In re Stempel,
241 F.2d 755 (C.C.P.A. 1957)*passim*

In re Stryker,
435 F.2d 1340 (C.C.P.A. 1971)7, 9, 10

In re Tanczyn,
347 F.2d 830 (C.C.P.A. 1965)6, 7, 8, 9

Statutes

21 U.S.C. § 355(j)(5)(B)(iii)2

35 U.S.C. § 119(a)8

35 U.S.C. § 314(a)1, 3, 5, 10

1. The *Apple* Factors Favor Denying Mylan’s Petition Under § 314(a)

In about two months, District Judge Cecchi will hold a bench trial to consider Teva’s obviousness challenges to each and every claim of the 906 Patent. In a related case before Judge Cecchi, Mylan also challenges the validity of the 906 Patent claims. Both challenges rely on the same or similar art that Mylan relies on here. In light of the advanced stages of these two co-pending Hatch-Waxman litigations, and given the substantive flaws in the merits of Mylan’s Petition, the Board should deny the Petition under 35 U.S.C. § 314(a).

Apple Factor 1: Mylan’s Reply argues that this factor is neutral. That is not correct. Neither co-pending case is stayed, *Teva* is scheduled for trial in September, and Mylan has not indicated it would seek a stay (which would be an unlikely move by a defendant in a Hatch-Waxman case). This factor favors denial. *See Mylan Pharm. Inc. v. Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp.*, IPR2020-00040, Paper 21, at 33 (PTAB May 12, 2020) (“Petitioner and Patent Owner agree that a stay has not been sought and is unlikely, which weighs in favor of denial.”).

Apple Factor 2: Mylan’s Reply argues that the trial dates of the co-pending litigations favor institution. That, too, is incorrect. Trial in the *Teva* case is imminent (ordered to commence on September 28, 2020). Ex. 2005 ¶ 4; *see Apple Inc. v. Fintiv, Inv.*, IPR2020-00019, Paper 11, at 14 (PTAB Mar. 20, 2020) (precedential) (“*Apple*”) (discretionary denial appropriate “[e]ven when a petitioner

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.