

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
MARSHALL DIVISION**

UNILOC 2017 LLC,

*Plaintiff,*

v.

AT&T SERVICES, INC., AT&T  
MOBILITY LLC,

*Defendants.*

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:19-CV-00102-JRG

**ORDER**

Before the Court is Uniloc 2017 LLC (“Uniloc”), Defendants AT&T Services, Inc. and AT&T Mobility LLC (collectively, “AT&T”), and Intervenor Defendant Ericsson Inc.’s (“Ericsson”) (together with AT&T and Uniloc, the “Parties”) Joint Stipulation and Motion for Stay (the “Motion”). (Dkt. No. 45.) In the Motion, the Parties move for a stay of all proceedings in the above-captioned case agree and stipulate that:

1. There are four instituted IPRs concerning U.S. Patent No. 7,167,487 (“the ’487 patent”): IPR2019-00222; IPR2019-00252; IPR2019-01282; IPR2019-01283. The asserted claims of the ’487 patent are claims 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 12 and 13. The instituted IPRs concerning the ’487 patent cover all asserted claims.
2. There is one instituted IPR concerning U.S. Patent No. 7,075,917 (“the ’917 patent”): IPR2019-00973. The asserted claims of the ’917 patent are claims 1, 2 and 10. The instituted IPR concerning the ’917 patent covers all asserted claims.
3. There is one instituted IPR concerning U.S. Patent No. 6,868,079 (“the ’079 patent”): IPR2019-00510. The asserted claims of the ’079 patent are claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7 and 17.


The instituted IPR concerning the '079 patent covers claim 17, which is one of the two asserted independent claims.

4. Ericsson has identified Tomas Landahl, a former Ericsson employee currently residing in Helsingborg, Sweden. Ericsson contends that Mr. Landahl has personal knowledge regarding a license entered into between Ericsson and N.V. Philips' Gloeilampenfabrieken, a predecessor-in-interest to the '079 patent. Ericsson contends that such license provides a complete defense to Uniloc's '079 patent infringement claim with regard to Ericsson products. (*See* Dkt. No. 37 at 13.) Because Mr. Landahl is of advanced age, Ericsson seeks to take his deposition during the pendency of the stay to preserve his testimony

Considering the joint and agreed nature of the Motion as between the Parties and the stipulations contained therein, the Court is of the opinion that the Motion should be and hereby is **GRANTED**. It is **ORDERED** that the above-captioned action is **STAYED** until further order of the Court. It is further **ORDERED** that the Parties file a joint status report within thirty (30) days of completion of any of these IPR proceedings for the three discreet patents-in-suit. The joint status report shall include attached to it as an exhibit a proposed docket control order for the Court's consideration. All other relief requested by the Parties not expressly granted is **DENIED**.

**So Ordered this**

Dec 4, 2019

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
RODNEY GILSTRAP  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE